

**COMMISSION ON THE OHIO JUDICIAL CENTER  
MINUTES**

**June 6, 2012  
Supreme Court of Ohio**

**Members Present:** Chad Readler (Chair); Richard Wallace (Vice-Chair); Mick Ball; Lane Beougher; Rich Simpson; Barbara Powers; Marilyn Sheridan (telephone); Jakki Nance (telephone); Mary Gray.

**Others Present:** D. Allan Asbury (Supreme Court), Mindi Wells (Supreme Court), Steve Hollon (Supreme Court), Chris Davey (Supreme Court).

1. Mr. Readler called the meeting of the Commission to order at 11:10 a.m.
2. The minutes of the March 7, 2012 meeting were approved.
3. Chris Davey from the Office of Public Information discussed the use of the kiosk in the Grand Concourse for content related to Chief Justice Moyer. Davey indicated the kiosk should be used for other purposes in addition to Justice Moyer. It could also contain the Supreme Court website, the building director, and information on the civic education center. Davey indicated the Court would have final approval for content and the use of any touch screen.

Davey is now overseeing the civic education program. He wants to start enhancing the civic education space by adding digital technology on the web, and possibly on the kiosk.

The subcommittee on civic education chaired by Richard Wallace gave details of a conference call previously held with Chris Davey. The Court is looking for input from Davey, and our role is a source of information, and if there is a project in the future, the committee is available to give input.

Chad Readler reiterated that Davey had the support of the commission on the kiosk project.

Davey indicated that the kiosk would remain in the same position on the first floor, but also pointed out there is a second kiosk on the Ground Floor. This creates the opportunity for separate content platforms.

Davey indicated there is not an exact timeframe for the kiosk. If leadership determines that a touch screen is to be used, the project could be turned around very quickly.

Davey indicated there is a larger communication effort to be undertaken for the civic education center. It is his understanding that the Foundation had granted money to the

education center for consulting. He wondered if there should be a broader effort to look at the education center as a whole, rather than just individual exhibits in the center. The consultant could help develop a plan for the entire education center.

Davey felt the touch screens could be approved in short order by Court leadership.

Davey thought a six – twelve month timeline would be in place for approval by the Court for the entire strategic plan for the civic education center.

4. The Commission reviewed the proposed plaques for the Moyer Portrait. Four designs were proposed. The Commission chose version “C”.

5. Committee Reports:

**Civic Education:** Report previously provided.

**Forum on the Law:** No report.

Lane Beougher referenced the ability to have a forum on a non-law topic, possibly courthouse design, past and future. He referenced Architecture for Justice that has provided symposiums across the country. Richard Wilson was mentioned by Barbara Powers as a possible speaker on court architecture.

Beougher felt that the format would almost require a full day conference.

Chad asked whether the committee should contact Jay Wuebbold about topic proposals. Rich Simpson agreed to contact Wuebbold.

Mick Ball asked whether the committee should put together a proposal that would include the seminar, audience, and the focus on court space. This could be discussed at the next meeting.

Beougher wondered whether a national program within the Architecture for Justice community could be held at the Ohio Judicial Center.

Simpson proposed a call with interested members of the committee and Jay Wuebbold.

Wallace raised the question how the committees should interact with Court staff on projects the Commission and committees have an interest.

Jakki Nance question whether the Commission needed to maintain two separate committees for communication and the civic education center, since the two areas are being merged at the court.

**Architectural Committee:** No report.

**Fine Art:** Two of the Columbus Museum Art loans were returned and replaced by a piece by Charles Duvall and Robert Chadeayne. Mary Gray mentioned the catalog and website would need to be updated to reflect the new additions.

An update was given regarding other temporary loans.

Allan Asbury indicated Steve Hollon believed he could request \$10,000 from the Court after the November, 2012 election.

**Communication:** No report.

6. Allan Asbury indicated the name change to the Commission on the Thomas J. Moyer Ohio Judicial Center will be proposed to the Court as voted upon during the March 7, 2012 meeting.
7. The meeting adjourned at 12:20.

Respectfully submitted,

D. Allan Asbury  
Secretary