

[Cite as *State ex rel. Brown v. Ohio Dept. of Rehab. & Corr.*, 139 Ohio St.3d 433, 2014-Ohio-2348.]

**THE STATE EX REL. BROWN, APPELLANT, v. OHIO DEPARTMENT OF
REHABILITATION & CORRECTION, APPELLEE.**

[Cite as *State ex rel. Brown v. Ohio Dept. of Rehab. & Corr.*,
139 Ohio St.3d 433, 2014-Ohio-2348.]

*Mandamus—Action seeking jail-time credit is moot after inmate is released from
prison—Appeal dismissed.*

(No. 2013-1567—Submitted May 13, 2014—Decided June 5, 2014.)

APPEAL from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County,
No. 13AP-188, 2013-Ohio-4061.

Per Curiam.

{¶ 1} We dismiss this appeal from the Tenth District Court of Appeals as moot. Appellant, David E. Brown, filed an action for a writ of mandamus to compel appellee, the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction (“ODRC”), to credit him with an additional 107 days of jail-time credit.

{¶ 2} However, according to the ODRC’s Offender Search website, Brown was released from prison on November 25, 2013, and is now on postrelease control. See <http://www.drc.state.oh.us/OffenderSearch/details.aspx?id=A598641> (accessed May 20, 2014). Because he has served his full term of incarceration, his action in mandamus seeking jail-time credit is moot.¹ *State ex rel. Gordon v. Murphy*, 112 Ohio St.3d 329, 2006-Ohio-6572, 859 N.E.2d 928, ¶ 6; *State ex rel. Compton v. Sutula*, 132 Ohio St.3d 35, 2012-Ohio-1653, 968 N.E.2d 476, ¶ 5.

{¶ 3} We therefore dismiss Brown’s appeal.

1. An event that causes a case to become moot may be proved by extrinsic evidence. *Pewitt v. Lorain Corr. Inst.*, 64 Ohio St.3d 470, 472, 597 N.E.2d 92 (1992).

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Appeal dismissed.

O'CONNOR, C.J., and PFEIFER, O'DONNELL, LANZINGER, KENNEDY,
FRENCH, and O'NEILL, JJ., concur.

David E. Brown, pro se.

Michael DeWine, Attorney General, and Peter L. Jamison, Assistant
Attorney General, for appellee.
