The Supreme Court of Ohio

FILED
JUN 24 2015
CLERK OF COURT
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Disciplinary Counsel,
Relator,
v.
James William Thomas Jr.,
Respondent.

Case No. 2015-1001

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

The Board of Professional Conduct of the Supreme Court of Ohio filed a final report in the office of the clerk of this court. In this final report the board recommends that, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(12)(A)(2), respondent, James William Thomas Jr., Attorney Registration No. 0074051, be indefinitely suspended from the practice of law with credit for time served under the interim felony suspension imposed on July 22, 2014. The board further recommends that respondent's reinstatement to the practice of law be subject to conditions. The board further recommends that the costs of these proceedings be taxed to respondent in any disciplinary order entered, so that execution may issue.

On consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that the parties show cause why the recommendation of the board should not be confirmed by the court and the disciplinary order so entered.

It is further ordered that any objections to the findings of fact and recommendation of the board, together with a brief in support thereof, shall be due on or before 20 days from the date of this order. It is further ordered that an answer brief may be filed on or before 15 days after any brief in support of objections has been filed.

After a hearing on the objections, or if no objections are filed within the prescribed time, the court shall enter such order as it may find proper which may be the discipline recommended by the board or which may be more severe or less severe than said recommendation.

It is further ordered that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings and further that unless clearly inapplicable, the Rules of Practice shall apply to these proceedings. All documents are subject to Sup.R. 44 through 47 which govern access to court records.

It is further ordered that service shall be deemed made on respondent by sending this order, and all other orders in this case, to respondent's last known address.

Maureen O'Connor
Chief Justice