

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO  
(ON APPEAL FROM THE TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT)  
CIVIL DIVISION

State of Ohio, ex. rel.  
RICHARD PIERRON  
531 N. Center St.  
Versailles, OH 45380

: Case No.

07-1460

Relator-Appellant

vs.

: NOTICE OF APPEAL

INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF OHIO :  
30 W. Spring Street :  
Columbus, Ohio 43215 :

Court of Appeals # 06AP-391

and


: ORIGINAL ACTION  
IN MANDAMUS

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY :  
OF OHIO/SPRINT :  
6480 Sprint Parkway :  
Overland Park, KS 66251-6106 :


Respondents-Appellees

Now comes the Plaintiff-Appellant, Richard Pierron, by and through counsel, who hereby appeals the Judgment Entry of the Court of Appeals filed/entered on June 28, 2007, which journalized the Decision dated June 28, 2007 denying Relator-Appellant's Writ of Mandamus. This case originated in the Court of Appeals of Ohio, Tenth Appellate District.

Respectfully submitted,



Joseph E. Gibson (0047203)  
Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellant  
545 Helke Road  
Vandalia, Ohio 45377-1503  
(937) 264-1122



## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Ohio Rules of Appellate Procedure the undersigned hereby certifies that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing has been served, regular U.S. Mail, first-class postage pre-paid to Sara Rose, Counsel for Respondent-Appellee at P.O. Box 188, Pickerington, Ohio 43147, and Marc Dann, Attorney General of Ohio, Counsel for Respondent-Appellee, Industrial Commission at 150 E. Gay Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215



Joseph E. Gibson

19847113

FILED  
COURT OF APPEALS  
TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO

TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

JUN 28 PM 1:46  
CLERK OF COURTS

State of Ohio ex rel. Richard Pierron, :  
Relator, :

v. :

No. 06AP-391

Industrial Commission of Ohio :  
and United Telephone Company, :

(REGULAR CALENDAR)


Respondents. :  
:

JUDGMENT ENTRY

For the reasons stated in the decision of this court rendered herein on June 28, 2007, the objections to the decision of the magistrate are sustained, and it is the judgment and order of this court that the requested writ of mandamus is denied. Costs shall be assessed against relator.

Within three (3) days from the filing hereof, the clerk of this court is hereby ordered to serve upon all parties not in default for failure to appear notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Judith L. French

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Peggy Bryant

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge William A. Klatt