

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

ORIGINAL

JQ SOLUTIONS

relator

ORIGINAL ACTION

VS.


SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

STATE OF OHIO
Licences and permits et. Al

respondent


NOTICE OF APPEAL and TO QUASH THE DISMISSAL OF CASE 2010-0313
BY JQ SOLUTIONS VS THE DIVISION OF FINANCIAL SERVICES OF OHIO

Mark Johnson CEO (self representation)
JQ SOLUTIONS
2797 Banning Rd.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45239
Phone (513) 245-0177
Fax 1-(513) 245-0177
jqolutions@fuse.net



COUNCIL FOR RELATOR, - MARK JOHNSON

STATE OF OHIO
C/O LICENSES AND PERMITS
DIV. OF FINC. SERVS.
OHIO ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE
ASST ATTY JANICE KATZ
30.E. BROAD ST. 26TH FLR.
COLUMBUS OHIO
43215



COUNCIL FOR RESPONDENT- ASSISTANT ATTORNEY JANICE KATZ

STATEMENT OF FACTS

PROPOSITIONS OF LAW OVER THE STATES (ALL STATES)

Proposition of Law No.1

**First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States
Freedom of speech-neutral , reasonable,and non-punishable**

Proposition of Law No.2

**Constitutional Law of the US Supreme Court
Article VI “ Supremacy Clause”
States must follow federal laws and US Supreme Court decisions**

Proposition of Law No. 3

**14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States
Equal Protection of the federal laws when law is for some, not all**

PROPOSITIONS OF AUTHORITIES

**US Supreme Court rulings
Speech related conduct
Non-public forum**

- 1) (Perry Ed. v. Perry Local;US Supreme Court)
Gov't regulation of speech must be reasonable and view point neutral
- 2) (Bd of Co Com. Wabaunsee v. Umbehr ; US Supreme Court)
Independent contractors are protected from government retaliation for
Exercising their free speech rights
- 3) (Skenck v. Pro Choice ; US Supreme Court)
A “ Floating buffer zone “ exist. limitations on speech are struck down as
Burdening more speech that necessary

ALGORTHYUM

**FEDERAL---STATES-----COUNTY----- MUNICIPLE AND CITY
SUPREME COURT RULINGS—STATE RULING-----COUNTY RULING**

INTRODUCTION

THIS MOTION TO QUASH THE DISMISSAL OF CASE 2010-0313 REPEEL AND REWRITING OF THE PRECIOUS METAL DEALERS PERMIT OF OHIO IS BASED ON THE FACT THE STATE HAS FAILED TO OFFER A CREDITABLE DEFENSE OF THE FEDERAL LAWS AND RULING OF LAW AND PRESEDENCE AT THE FEDERAL LEVEL TO DISMISS THIS CASE...THE MOTION TO DISMISS BY THE ASST . ATTY OFFICE IS NOT BASED IN SOUND DEFENSE AND JUDGEMENT PARRALLEL TO THE CASE 2010-013.

THE CONTENT OF THE MOTION OF DISMISSAL IS FULL OF REFERENCES THAT ARE WITHOUT CONNECTION TO THE SUBJECT MATTER AT HAND AND A SEEMINGLY WEEK ATTEMPT TO DEFEND THE MATTER AT HAND WITH PANIC AND DISORGANIZATION.

REJECTION AS A VIABLE DEFENSE AGIANST THE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS OF US CITIZENS FOR WHICH THE CASE 2010-0313 EXPOUNDS UPON AND PROTECTS ABOVE STATE CITIZENSHIP . THE STATE HAS ONLY QUOTED STATE LEVEL CAUSATION AND CANNOT POSSABLY BE TAKEN AS SERIOUSLY AS FEDERAL RULINGS CONNECTIONG THE STATES TO LIABILITY IMPLIED VIA THE SUPREMACY CLAUSE IN CONSTUTIONAL LAWS OF WRIT BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND SUPREME COURT.

THE REMINDER OF THE FEDERAL TEXT ABOVE IS- A STRONG IMPLICATION OF THE DESPERATION OF THE STATE TO CORRUPT YOUR JUDGEMENT AGIANST US SUPREME COURT JUDGES AWIATING YOUR DECISION VS. THIERS ABOVE. WE APPEAL TO YOUR HIGHER SENSE OF ORDER IN THIS DECISION AS WE MAY SEEK A HIGHER COURT TO REFLECT ON ALL THE DOCUMENTATION THUSFAR. LET US PLEASE SOLVE IT HERE WITHOUT FUTHER FRIVOLOUS REFERENCES WITHOUT CONNECTION TO THE MATTER AT HAND BY THE STATE ATTOURNYS OFFICE

ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF CASE 2010-0313

WE HAVE SHOWN REASON TO INVOLVE OURSELVES AS US CIIZENS IN BUSINESS IN OHIO. WE HAVE DEFINED THE REASON AND ALLEGATIONS CONCISELY WITHIN THE TEXT OF CASE FILING 2010-0313. YOU HAVE NOT OFFERED FEDERAL LEVEL DEFENSE REFERENSES TO STOP THIS ACTION WITH STATE REFERENCES WHICH SCATTERED ATTEMPT IN DESPERATION MUST BE NOTED HERE IN MY TEXT TO THE COURT. HERE OBJECTIONS BY THE STATE OF OHIO ARE NO CREDITABLE OBJECTION - WHATSOEVER. THE FEDERAL BASIS OF COMPLIANT IS NOT MATCHED BY THE STATES OBJECTION AND CANNOT BE CONCIDERD WORTHY OF GRANTING A DISMISSAL BASED ON THE MOTION FILED. IT'S A COLLECTION OF UNORGANIZED , DISORIENTED PARIDIES NOT WELL CONNECTED TO EXPLIAN WITH COMPETENCE HOW THE STATE SHOULD CONTINUE TO SUPRESS ITS US CITIZENS SPEECH WITH THE THREAT OF PUNISHMENT IF"IN ANY MANNER" THE VAGUE STATEMENT CAUSES A FELONY, AS STATED, IN THE LIFE OF DECENT FOLKS.

REMEMBER , THE WORD "GOLD" HAS NOT ACTUALLY BEEN A PUNISHABLE SPEECH IN 200 YEARS. ITS NOT SLANDER, OBSCENITY, SUBVERSIVE, FIGHTING WORDS. YET AS A NON PUNISHABLE CRITERIA , THE STATE OF OHIO THREATENS AS A FELONY WITH TIME IN PRISION INVOLVED. ITS NOT LIBEL IF ITS NOT LEGAL TEXT CONCERNING CONSTUTIONAL LAWS N THE FIRST PLACE

WE HAVE COMMENTED ON ALL THE COMPANIES AND BUSINESSES THAT WILL SIDE WITH OUR COMPLIANT WHEN YOU TRY TO ENFORCE THE PERMIT PARAMETERS AGIANST LARGE CORPORATE AFFIARS PLUS, THE LACK OF ENFORCEMENT EQUALLY UMOUNG THIS CONTROL OF SPPECH ADVERTISEMENT AND SSOLICITATION "IN ANY MANNER"

THE STATE OFFERS A WEEK RENDITION OF 2 REASONS TO DISSMISS.... WE HAVE ESTABLISHED IN CASE 2010-0313 THE FACTS, PRESEDENCE, THE CONNECTIONS JQ SOLUTIONS HAS AS A BUSINESS AND AS USA CONSUMERS IN OHIOAS EVEN A COSTUMEE JEWELRY EARRING POST FORCES A SMALL BUSINESS PERSON TO BE MANHANDED WITH A PERMIT BORDERING EXTORTION . WE INDICATED THIS COULD BE A PURPOSEFULL REMEDY TO REPLACE LOSS TAXES THRU VAGUE MEANS AND FRIVELOUS ABUSE OF FEDERAL FREEDOMS TOWARD THE US CITIZENS OF OHIO.

FEDERAL RULING FIRST ...STATE DEFINITIONS OF PROTECTION SECOND.....WHICH, MAT I SAY.. ARE NOT WELL REPRESENTED HERE BY THE STATES ABITY TO DEFEND IT PROMOTION OF ILLEGAL SUBSTANSE AMONG THE TEXT DEFIENTION OF THE PRECIOUS METALS DEALERS PERMIT

IF I CHOSE TO PURCHASE ONE....I WOULD STILL WONDER QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ABILITY OF MY BUSINESS TO FUNCTION LEGGALLY AS THE VAGUENESS CAUSES THE INABILITY OF THE STATE TO ENFORCE IT WITH CONSISTANSY.....WOULD I BE LEGAL WITH OR WITHOUT A PERMIT FOR EARRINGS OR BULLION IN A BANKBOTH SELL GOLD ,BUT NOT BOTH ARE HELD ACCOUNTABLE ON THE PERMIT LISTING FOR ACTIVE LICENSURE....

SOME ARE NOT BEING HELD ACCOUNTABLE, SO A UNEQUAL DISTRIBUTION OF LAW CALLS FOR NO PERSON TO SUFFER THE PUNISHMENT REQUIRED

BANKS ,SAVINGS AND LOANS, WALMART, FEDERATED DEPT . STORES .WATCHES, COSTUME EARRINGS , GOLD FISH HOOKS, GOLDSLAGHER IN BARS,

SILVER ON SADDLES, COINS AT THE BANK

ALL FALL UNDER YOUR DEFINITION

ARE WE GOING TO ALLOW THE STATE FINANCIAL GREED TO OVERBURDEN ALL THESE, AS THEY FIT THE DEFINITION OF A PRECIOUS METAL DEALER

WE BELIEVE AN ENTIRE STATE WILL JOIN IN OUR EFFORT TO A BETTER DEFINED STATE PERMIT.

ALSO WE FINE THIS IS NOT VAGUE, BUT BUT A PRECISE AND ACCURATE REPRESENTATION OF THE UNDO BURDEN PLACED ON OHIO CITIZENS AS OVERBREATH, UNCONSTITUTIONAL, AND OVER-RULED BY THE SUPREME COURT DECISIONS THAT ACTUALLY PARALLEL AND SUPPORT THE

CASE 2010-0313 AT HAND

AS COMMON PEOPLE HERE IN OHIO OURSELVES AT JQ SOLUTIONS, WE ASK THAT THE MOTION OF DISMISSAL BE QUASHED AND THE REPEEL AND REFORMATING OF THE PERMIT MOVE TO A VOTE IN AGREEMENT OF THE CASE FILED 2010-0313 AGIANST THE DIVISION OF FIMAMCIAL INSTITUTIONS FOR THE MODERNIZATION OF TODAYS BUSINESS ATMOSHERE WHERE PRECIOUS METALS CAN BE CONCIDERED WITHOUT A SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP PUNISHMENT AS STATED IN THE 14 TH AMENDMENT....GRANT THE STATE THEIR DUE FREEDOMS OF SPEECH AND RIGHTS TO BE TREATED AS ALL OTHER INSTEAD OF A SPECIAL INTEREST GROUP WITH A BURDEN OF PUNISHBLE SPEECH ATTACHED AND A 300 USD PERMIT TO SELL 2.00 EAR RINGS WITH SILVER POST TO PROTECT AGIANST INFECTION IN 20,000 STORES ACROSS OHIO.

REGARDS

MARK JOHNSON CEO

JQ SOLUTIONS


RELATOR





By: _____
MARK JOHNSON CEO
J.Q. SOLUTIONS

.....

**I CERTIFY THAT CO A COPY HAS BEEN SERVED TO ASST ATTORNEY
GENERAL OFFICE, JANICE KATZ, AND APPROPRIATE NUMBER OF
COPIES TO THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT ON THIS DAY.....
MARCH,2010, IN THE MATTER OF THE CASE 2010-0313**




.....
MARK JOHNSON



.....
NOTARY PUBLIC

STATE OF OHIO
COUNTY OF HAMILTON
SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME
A NOTARY PUBLIC BY MARK JOHNSON
ON THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH 2010



.....



EMMANUEL ANAGNOSTOU
Notary Public, State of Ohio.
My Commission Expires
April 12, 2014