

ORIGINAL

THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Akron Bar Association,
Relator,
v.

Case No.: 2009-2080

Sheri Lynn Holda,
Respondent.

AFFIDAVIT OF RESPONDENT,
SHERI L. HOLDA

Now comes the Respondent, Sheri Lynn Holda, having been duly sworn, deposes and states the following is true to the best of her knowledge and belief:

1. I am the Respondent in the above captioned case.
2. I was ordered by the Court to pay \$1,329.15 on or by July 6, 2010, for costs to the Board of Commissioners incurred in prosecuting this matter.
3. I have been diligently attempting to come up with the funds to pay this debt ever since I was ordered to do so.
4. I have been having financial difficulties ever since this case began. My family financial picture had no room for the loss of income and expenses that accompanied this case.
5. The Akron Bar Association, through the investigatory committee during the case and now through my assigned monitor, has repeatedly made it clear that they do not wish me to be engaging in a solo law practice, and have greatly discouraged me taking on more than a few clients.
6. I have been unable to find a position with a law firm or elsewhere as an attorney. I am presently employed at Fortis College in Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio, as a part-time instructor making \$20.00 per hour, teaching sixteen (16) hours per week. I am also continuing to practice law, but am limiting myself to no more than a few cases at a time.
7. I have not been able to timely meet my regular household financial obligations for the past several months. I am just now getting caught up with these, now that my husband is again receiving a regular monthly paycheck. He will continue to be paid at the end of each month from now through the end of May, 2011.
8. I am expecting payment for a divorce case in an amount sufficient to pay the Board costs in full. This payment should be received within the next thirty (30) days. I would have received it sooner, but the client is taking my payment from his retirement account, and the account was frozen during the pendency of his divorce, and continued to be frozen after the divorce decree due to transfer of the account to a new plan administrator by his employer. I fully expect this amount will be paid as promised.

FILED

OCT 26 2010

CLERK OF COURT
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

