

**ORIGINAL**

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

CHONG HAO SU PETITIONER : Case No: 2011-0108

Martha W Lee

VS : **pro bono publico**

Ohio Cincinnati city :



RESPONDER

MOTION TO QUICKEN PACE FOR THE ORDER TO SUSPEND THE  
CBC VIOLATION JUDGMENTS AND WARRANT UNTIL THIS COURT JUDGMENT.

Pro Se

Author: Chong H Su

suchong5@gmail



Cincinnati city APPELLEE

Prosecutor office

Room 202 Cincinnati city Hall

801 Palam St. Cincinnati city Ohio45202

Since the last month, the petitioner filed the motion to hang the Cincinnati Building Code violation judgments and warrant until this court judgment with the evidences. Cincinnati court still has hid the head petitioner appeal information and defies this court authority. Hereof the petitioners respectfully request to expedite the motion' process for the order to suspend the judgment and warrant under the following grounds and the uncontrollable difficulties:

Cincinnati city does not reply the motion and evidences so far. Wherefore this court can grant the motion according to the court rule.

Our three constitutional challenge motions moved the constitutional question and the Federal issue. The court record system only has the one motion. The head petitioner filed the appeal and the motion to suspend the judgment until the appeal court judgment twice on the trial date and after the trial. The evidences validate that we filed the many motions for the return of the deprived jury trial right and the appeal right and defense right. Cincinnati court has suppressed these unalienable inherent rights so far. The evidences are E① and the filed evidence list book. It is a screaming farce that the judges feared to say law in front of a jury. Cincinnati court altered the court record in the court record system. The evidences are E② and the filed evidence list book. Jail released the head petitioner early before the sentence finished. Otherwise he would die in jail. The Const. prohibited the double convictions for the same offense. The double convictions were after our home was foreclosure, regardless of the foreclosure judgment. The evidences are in the filed evidence list book.

Judge Brad Greenburg did not notice the second conviction for the same minor misdemeanor charge in speaking and notice. Notwithstanding the all victimized owner could hire the best attorney team, but all of them likewise situated suffered the similarly serious violations of their constitutional rights and civil liberties, like us.

What are the whys and wherefores of the Cincinnati court' transgressions?

If the higher jurisdiction court accepts the appeal, Cincinnati court really can't reply why the court has supported the city rebellion to deprive the public of the equal survival right and why the court, by implementing the city novel criminal law, twice convicted the victimized owners for the same minor misdemeanor charge. The implications of the issue will expose the big plot as follows: The unconstitutional ordinance effective existence and the suppression of the jury trial motions validate the court entirely was the willful massive resistance against their office oath binding force on the rest of the honest law enforcement. The court, by implementing the city novel criminal law and the property law, has deliberately struck down the longstanding criminal evidence act and the sentence law in the daily practice, regardless of the numerous of the best attorneys defense. Every week the same court enforces the CBC to damage the public vital interest. A striking similitude between the unconstitutional CBC existence and the color discriminatory law existence ought to arrest a reader attention to issue the order. The best evidence is that the cat has gotten the tongues of the prosecutors and will get the tongue of Cincinnati court judges if this court asks to

reply the conflicts.

Where is Cincinnati court judicial integrity in the true administrative of justice?


Because the head petitioner exposed the court trick for protection of the constitutional order and for the emancipation of the owners and the tenants from autocratic tyranny; by all means, Cincinnati court judges stubbornly deprived us of the constitutional prescribed above rights without the fig leaf. To defy the Ohio Supreme court authority embodies in the above violations.

For the humanism, the grant of the motion is necessary as soon as possible. This court granted the appeal, so that the head petitioner went home for the appeal from Australia. Australia is the hottest summer now. He met the snow and has been sick , so he dare not to see the doctor. Since the jail terrified to die in jail, then released him early for his worst health condition. He filed the several motions to suspend the warrants in Cincinnati court with the Ohio Supreme court certificate. Cincinnati court refused. The police look for the head petitioner. This is the uncontrollable Act of God. In addition the head petitioner needs the liberty to look for an attorney and to prepare the brief of the merit,...

Based on the foregoing, we respectfully request to expedite such motion process for the order to suspend Cincinnati Building Code violation judgments and warrants. We believe history will record the people thank.

Petitioners: Chong Hao Su (author)    Signature: 苏崇豪

Martha W Lee(wife)    Signature: 李慧娟




E①and E ② are the court schedules copy and enclosed.

Case Summary	
Case Number:	1071GRB147127
Common Pleas Case Number:	
Case Caption:	STATE OF OHIO vs. SU CHONG
Judge:	BRAD GREENBERG
Filed Date:	12/17/2007
Case Type:	
Race:	UNKNOWN
Sex:	M
Age:	62
Date of Birth:	3/24/1948
Bond Amount:	\$20000 10% CASH
Count :	BUILD CODE 1101 71 CMCN
Disposition:	12/19/2008 712 P V WARRANT ISSUED

- Case Options**
- Case History
  - Case Schedules
  - Case Documents
  - Party/Attorney Information
  - Certified Mail Service
  - New Case Search
  - New Name Search
  - Add Case to My Portfolio

Case Schedules						
Status	Date	Time	Location	Judge	Action	
Active	12/10/2008	09:00 AM	RM 164, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	BRAD GREENBERG	REPORT	
Active	10/28/2008	09:00 AM	RM 164, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	BRAD GREENBERG	MO TO MITIGA	
Inactive	9/10/2008	09:00 AM	RM 164, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	BRAD GREENBERG	NON-JURY TR	
Active	9/10/2008	10:30 AM	RM 164, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	BRAD GREENBERG	NON-JURY TR	
Inactive	7/28/2008	09:00 AM	RM 164, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	BRAD GREENBERG	NON-JURY TR	
Active	7/17/2008	09:00 AM	RM 164, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	BRAD GREENBERG	REPORT	
Active	6/18/2008	09:00 AM	RM 164, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	BRAD GREENBERG	COUNSEL	
Active	5/14/2008	10:30 AM	RM 154, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	RICHARD BERNAT	JURY TRIAL	
Inactive	5/7/2008	10:30 AM	RM 154, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	RICHARD BERNAT	JURY TRIAL	
Active	3/20/2008	01:30 PM	RM 154, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	RICHARD BERNAT	PRE-TRIAL	
Active	2/20/2008	09:00 AM	RM 154, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	RICHARD BERNAT	PRE-TRIAL	
Active	1/11/2008	09:00 AM	RM 140, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	HOUSING DOCKET	ARRAIGNMEN	



EO The court deprived me of the jury trial right.

**Case Summary**

**Case Options**

Case Number: 107/CRB/37093  
 Common Pleas Case Number:  
 Case Caption: STATE OF OHIO vs. CHONG SU  
 Judge: TED BERRY  
 Filed Date: 9/28/2007  
 Case Type:  
 Race: UNKNOWN  
 Sex: M  
 Age: 62  
 Date of Birth: 3/24/1948  
 Bond Amount: \$1500 10% CASH  
 Count: OBSTRUCT OFFICIAL BUSINESS M2 2921 31 ORCN  
 Disposition: 09/21/2010 816 ENTRY DISMISSING APPEAL

- Case History
- Case Schedules
- Case Documents
- Party/Attorney Information
- Certified Mail Service
- New Case Search
- New Name Search
- Add Case to My Portfolio

**Case Schedules**

Status	Date	Time	Location	Judge	Action
Cancel	10/28/2008	10:30 AM	RM 164, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	BRAD GREENBERG	NON-JURY TRIAL
Active	10/8/2008	09:00 AM	RM 164, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	BRAD GREENBERG	PRE-TRIAL
Active	10/3/2008	09:00 AM	RM 164, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	BRAD GREENBERG	REPORT
Active	9/26/2008	09:00 AM	RM 164, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	BRAD GREENBERG	PRE-TRIAL
Active	9/25/2008	09:00 AM	RM 164, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	BRAD GREENBERG	PRE-TRIAL
Active	9/9/2008	09:00 AM	RM 230, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	TED BERRY	PRE-TRIAL
Active	8/5/2008	09:00 AM	RM 230, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	TED BERRY	COUNSEL
Active	7/22/2008	09:00 AM	RM 230, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	TED BERRY	MOTION
Active	7/22/2008	09:00 AM	RM 230, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	TED BERRY	NON-JURY TRIAL
Active	7/1/2008	09:00 AM	RM 230, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	TED BERRY	MOTION
Active	6/5/2008	09:00 AM	RM 230, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	TED BERRY	PRE-TRIAL
Active	5/1/2008	09:00 AM	RM 230, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	TED BERRY	REPORT
Active	3/31/2008	09:00 AM	RM 230, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	TED BERRY	REPORT
Active	2/29/2008	09:00 AM	RM 230, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	TED BERRY	REPORT
Active	2/22/2008	09:00 AM	RM 140, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	RUSSELL J MOCK	COUNSEL
Active	2/11/2008	09:00 AM	RM 230, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	TED BERRY	PRE-TRIAL
Active	1/18/2008	09:00 AM	RM 230, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	TED BERRY	PRE-TRIAL
Active	1/11/2008	09:00 AM	RM 230, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	TED BERRY	PRE-TRIAL
Active	11/28/2007	09:00 AM	RM 140, CT HOUSE, 1000 MAIN ST	RUSSELL J MOCK	NON-JURY TRIAL
Active	10/29/2007	01:00 PM	HCCH ROOM 263	RM263 COURTHOUSE ARRAIGN	CONTINUED ARRAIGNME
Active	10/15/2007	01:00 PM	HCCH ROOM 263	RM263 COURTHOUSE ARRAIGN	CONTINUED ARRAIGNME
Active	10/1/2007	09:00 AM	COURTHOUSE ROOM 121, ARGN	RM121 COURTHOUSE ARRAIGN	ARRAIGNMENT
Inactive	9/29/2007	09:00 AM	JC ROOM A	ROOM A	ARRAIGNMENT



[About the Clerk](#) | [FAQ](#) | [Links](#) | [Directions](#) | [Policies](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Site Map](#)

Alternate languages: [Deutsch](#) | [Español](#) | [Français](#) | [Italiano](#)

© 2011 Patricia M. Clancy, Hamilton County Clerk of Courts. All rights reserved.

The court altered the record. No Jury Trial requirement in the record. Actual the head petitioner filed the Jury Trial motions for his every case together.

THE CERTIFICATE OF THE SERVICE

I, Chong Hao Su service the concerned documents to the city prosecutor office in  
the Cincinnati city hall in 801 Palam street Cincinnati city Ohio 45202 by the  
general mail.

APPLICANT: Chong Hao Su

Applicants: Signature: 苏崇豪

Month 2 / Date 28 /2011

