

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

STATE OF OHIO

Appellee

vs.

SHENIKQUE ANDERSON

Appellant

Case No. C 11 0030

Trial No. B 10 04516


**11-1145**

ON APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS  
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO

---


**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

---



Joseph Deters  
Hamilton County Prosecutor  
230 E. Ninth Street, Suite 4000  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
(513) 946-3000  
(513) 946-3021 (fax)

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLEE



Roger W. Kirk  
Supreme Court #0024219  
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant  
114 E. Eighth Street  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
(513) 272-1100

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

STATE OF OHIO	:	Case No.	C 11 0030
	:		
Appellee	:	Trial No.	B 10 04516
	:		
vs.	:		
	:		
SHENIKQUE ANDERSON	:		
	:		
Appellant	:		

Now comes Shenikque Anderson, Defendant-Appellant, and hereby files his Notice of Appeal to the Ohio Supreme Court in the above captioned matter. Said appeal is from the decision of the First District Court of Appeals, entered on June 8, 2011, affirming the trial court's judgment. This appeal involves a question of great public interest.


Respectfully submitted,



\_\_\_\_\_  
Roger W. Kirk  
Supreme Court #0024219  
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant  
114 E. Eighth Street  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
(513) 272-1100

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Brief of Defendant-Appellant was hand-delivered to the office of the Hamilton County Prosecutor on July 1, 2011.




\_\_\_\_\_  
Roger W. Kirk  
Attorney for Appellant

THE STATE OF OHIO  
HAMILTON COUNTY, COMMON PLEAS COURT

THE STATE OF OHIO

CASE #B1004516

VS.




ENTRY APPOINTING APPELLATE  
COUNSEL

SHENIKQUE ANDERSON

IT APPEARING TO THE COURT THAT THE DEFENDANT IS IN  
INDIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES AND UNABLE TO EMPLOY COUNSEL, AND  
HAS INDICATED TO THE COURT HIS OR HER DESIRE TO APPEAL THE  
WITHIN JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION, THE COURT HEREBY APPOINTS:

ROGER KIRK #24219

TO REPRESENT THE DEFENDANT AS COUNSEL IN THE WITHIN CAUSE  
FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROSECUTING SAID APPEAL IN THE COURT OF  
APPEALS, AND, IF NECESSARY, IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THIS  
STATE.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE STEVEN E. MARTIN

1/6/11



D91437377