

ORIGINAL

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Akron Bar Association, :
: Relator, : Case No. 2011-0866
: v. : Before the Board of
: Betty Groner, : Commissioners On
: Respondent, : Grievances and Discipline

**RELATOR'S RESPONSE TO GRONER, BETTY GRONER'S,
OBJECTIONS TO FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ON
GRIEVANCES AND DISCIPLINE, AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT THEREOF**

Donald J. Malarcik, Jr. Esq. (0061902)
Gorman, Malarcik, Pierce & Vuillemin
54 E. Mill St., Suite 400
Akron, Ohio 44308
Tel: 330-253-0785
Fax: 330-253-7432
djm@gmpvllaw.com
Relator (COUNSEL OF RECORD)

Betty Groner (0030130)
3584 Ridgewood Road
Akron, Ohio 44333
Tel: 330-666-7765
Fax: 330-777-0067
bettygroner@mac.com
Respondent
(COUNSEL OF RECORD)

Alfred E. Schrader, Esq. (0001837)
441 Wolf Ledges Suite 400
Akron, Ohio 44311
Tel: 330-762-0765
Fax: 330-762-2255
alschrader@choiceonemail.com
Relator (COUNSEL OF RECORD)




TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ii

INTRODUCTION 1

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS 1

II. ARGUMENT 3

 A. The Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline accurately
 determined by clear and convincing evidence that Groner violated Prof.
 Cond. R. 4.1, 3.1, 3.3(a)(1) and (3), and 8.4(c), (d), and (h). 3

 B. The sanction recommended by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and
 Discipline is in accordance with both Ohio Case Law and the ABA Standards on
 Imposing Lawyer Sanctions. 6

III. CONCLUSION 8

APPENDIX 10

 A. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law 11

 B. Intelius Report 12

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

Cases

<i>Disciplinary Counsel v. Robinson</i> , 2010-Ohio-3829, 126 Ohio St.3d 371, 933 N.E.2d 1095 (Ohio2010).	6-7
<i>In re Estate of Henne</i> , 66 Ohio St.2d 232, 421 N.E.2d 506 (1981).	4

ABA Standards

ABA Center for Professional Responsibility, Standards for Imposing Lawyers Sanctions (1991 & Amend. 1992).	7
--	---

Rules

Prof. Cond. Rule 8.4(c)	1, 3, 5
Prof. Cond. Rule 8.4 (d)	1, 3, 5
Prof. Cond. Rule 8.4 (h)	1, 3, 5
Prof. Cond. Rule 3.1	1, 3-5
Prof. Cond. Rule 3.3(a)(1)	1, 3, 5
Prof. Cond. Rule 3.3(a)(3)	1, 3, 5
Prof. Cond. Rule 4.1	1, 3-5

INTRODUCTION

Now comes the Relator, the Certified Grievance Committee of the Akron Bar Association, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court to uphold the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations of the Board of Commissioners on Grievance and Discipline herein. As set forth more fully in the Brief in Support below, both the Board's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, as well as recommended sanctions, are reasonable and should be upheld.

Relator points out that its Brief replies to Respondent Groner's (hereinafter "Groner's") objections in two (2) parts. Thus, Relator has combined Groner's Objective I, Objection II and Objection III, into one (1) general discussion of why the Board of Commissioners on Grievance and Discipline accurately determined, by clear and convincing evidence, that Groner violated Professional Conduct Rule 4.1, 3.1, 3.3(a)(1) and (3) and 8.4(c), (d) and (h). Relator has separately in Part B of its Argument, discussed the sanction and why it should be upheld.

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Respondent, Betty Groner (hereinafter "Groner"), submitted a pleading and documents to the Probate Court of Summit County, which alleged felonious conduct and a bankruptcy on the part of Brenda Fowler. Respondent need not have made any of those allegations. It is clear under Ohio law that an objection by several beneficiaries of an estate is sufficient for the Probate Court to consider an independent attorney as executor or executrix of a Probate estate.

Respondent Groner found an Internet website called *Intelius*. It clearly listed criminal records and bankruptcies for several different Brenda Fowler. The website, itself, indicates that information found on it should not be relied upon, and should be vetted for its accuracy. In this

case, the Brenda Fowler who sought to be the executor, is an African American. The Brenda Fowler that committed the felonies and bankruptcy is Caucasian. The two (2) Brenda Fowlers live in different parts of Ohio. Their birthdays are vastly different. Yet Groner failed to note those differences. In doing so, Groner represented to the Court that the Brenda Fowler in question was both a felon and a bankrupt. That Brenda Fowler was neither.

When this was brought to Groner's attention, she failed to adequately correct it. She could have filed a pleading that indicated that, in no uncertain terms, the Brenda Fowler in question was not a felon and had never declared bankruptcy. She did not do so. Groner's attempted correction was to file a Motion for Mediation.

The language of that Motion can easily be interpreted as holding back the pleading in which she alleged felonious conduct and a bankruptcy for practical reasons. That is, it is not terribly conducive to settlement at a mediation to accuse the party on the other side of being a felon and a bankrupt. At no point did Groner specifically say this Brenda Fowler did not commit any felonies, and this Brenda Fowler was never a bankrupt.

A review of the transcript indicates that Betty Groner does not understand what she did wrong, and doesn't seem to get it. The filing of these objections further underlines the fact that Groner just doesn't get it.

At first, the Akron Bar felt that an appropriate sanction to this matter would have been a public reprimand. But, given Groner's conduct at the hearing before the Board of Commissioners on Grievance and Discipline, and given her objections to this matter, the Akron Bar now believes that the Board of Commissioners got it right in terms of their sanction. Further, Relator suspects that, if Groner feels so much stress in the relatively non-stressful legal area of Probate work, perhaps some monitoring of her practice would be advisable.

II. ARGUMENT

A. The Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline accurately determined by clear and convincing evidence that Groner violated Prof. Cond. R. 4.1, 3.1, 3.3(a)(1) and (3), and 8.4(c), (d), and (h).

Groner's brief is premised on the argument that there was no clear and convincing evidence cited by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline ("Board") in their Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (FFCL) (Attached hereto as Appendix A) that proved a violation of the Ohio Rules of Professional Conduct. Groner admits to making a mistake in filing her objections to Brenda J. Fowler, but Groner argues she did not make these mistakes knowingly and therefore has not violated the cited sections of the Ohio Rules of Professional Conduct.

Groner overlooks the true crux of the issue at hand. It is the duty of an attorney to analyze and carefully review all materials that he or she submits to the court, and the evidence and exhibits presented before the Board in this case clearly shows Groner failed to do so. Groner focuses significantly on the requirement of conduct being done "knowingly" in order to violate the cited Professional Rules of Conduct. This Court has previously held that the "knowing" requirement is met by the circumstances of the case. An accused will rarely admit they knew the evidence they submitted to the court was inaccurate. The sources of the information upon which Groner's objections relied are sources that any attorney (or lay person for that matter) should know require detailed examination.

The Internet is a wonderful source of information, and it has led to the advancement of the legal profession. However, sources found and used on the Internet cannot merely be taken at face value. They, just as with any other source, must be vetted for their validity, and it is the lawyers duty under Prof. Cond. Rule 3.1, to ensure that all materials presented before the court

are accurate. And if later found to be inaccurate, the attorney has a duty to correct the record before the court.

The bulk of the objections to the appointment of Brenda J. Fowler submitted by Groner were based on a 16 page online report from Intelius (Intelius Report attached in Appendix B). Groner has readily acknowledged she examined this report in haste in order to meet a “pressing” court deadline, and claims she did not knowingly submit the false information contained therein.

There was no need for this information to be submitted at all. The Ohio Supreme Court in *In re Estate of Henne*, 66 Ohio St.2d 232, 421 N.E.2d 506 (1981) determined that the mere fact that other beneficiaries of an estate object to the appointment is sufficient for the court to closely scrutinize the proposed executor. Groner gilded the lily, by embellishing her argument against Fowler’s as an executrix with false accusations.

To forego thoroughly examining the information being submitted to the court is a clear violation of Prof. Cond. Rule 3.1 and 4.1. The first page of the Intelius report clearly states, “It is important to understand that public records are only as accurate as the agencies that input them. Please be sure to closely review the public information listed about the individual that you may be researching in the report.” The first page also states, “Personal public record data can be inaccurate, so it’s important to understand what is in the public records for Brenda J. Fowler.”

The report contained 16 pages of both a criminal and civil judgment report for Brenda J. Fowler. Groner acknowledged she had received minimal identifying information regarding Ms. Fowler. Even is she had no information at all, the report clearly states information involving ~~more than one Brenda J. Fowler.~~ The individuals contained in the report have different identifying races, physical characteristics, different date of births, and different addresses. Even examining this report in haste, Groner should have recognized these disparities in the report and

acted accordingly to ensure they were not submitted to the court. The failure to do so (which would have likely led to no substantive failure to meet the court's deadline) shows by clear and convincing evidence that there was indeed a violation of the above cited sections of the Professional Conduct Rules.

Groner has repeatedly stated that pursuant to Prof. Cond. Rule 3.3, she made attempts to correct the false statement as soon as possible. Groner's only statement regarding a "correction" was a desire to remove any allegation of criminal charges and any statements concerning the bond. This general statement can hardly be deemed a correction of the false statements. In addition, there were substantial allegations of civil judgments contained in the Intelius report that Groner has made no attempt to remove from the record, and the criminal allegations are in fact still contained in the court record.

The ease at which Groner could have analyzed the information contained in the Intelius report along with the disclaimers printed on the first page of the report show a clear lack of due diligence on the part of Groner. The Professional Conduct Rules, specifically 3.1, 3.3(a)(1) and (3), 4.1, and 8.4(c), (d), and (h), are in place to not only ensure that attorneys do not misrepresent information to the court but to ensure that attorneys thoroughly analyze any and all documentation. Groner failed to do so. It is a fundamental principle that an attorney must thoroughly analyze all materials before presenting them to the court as evidence, and there was a clear failure to do that in this matter. Accordingly, the findings issued by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline should stand.

B. The sanction recommended by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline is in accordance with both Ohio Case Law and the ABA Standards on Imposing Lawyer Sanctions.

Groner has argued that the sanction recommended by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline, and the case law utilized to justify such a sanction is not in accord with the facts at hand. The Board's reliance on *Disciplinary Counsel v. Robinson*, 126 Ohio St.3d 371, 2010-Ohio-3829, is perhaps the most pertinent to the sanction that was recommended. (FFCL pp. 13-15). Groner wishes to focus on the facts of *Robinson* in order to show the present case is not analogous; however, the Board's use of *Robinson* is based on the similar submission of false information and the reasons given afterwards. Just as in *Robinson*, Groner cited the extreme stress of meeting a deadline imposed by the court as the cause of her actions. (FFCL pp. 13-15).

The Court's suspension of *Robinson* for a period of 12 months was directly related to his lack of remorse. (FFCL pp. 14-15). *Robinson* seemed to only give excuses for his actions rather than show a true sense of understanding of why his actions were wrong. Groner, while apologizing before the board, has continually throughout this process listed the stress and pressure of meeting the court deadline as the reasoning for not thoroughly checking the objections she submitted. (FFCL pp. 14-15). While this excuse may seem plausible for a newly practicing attorney, Groner has been practicing for 25 years. (FFCL p. 15). She is well aware of the requirements of the practice. If in fact Groner felt too overwhelmed to meet the court's "deadline," she could have requested an extension to submit her objections. And, all she needed to do to obtain a thorough court review of Brenda Fowler's suitability as an executrix was to raise an objection by the other heirs. No such request was made. Groner chose not to thoroughly check that which was being submitted.

It is this misrepresentation and excuse that could have easily been remedied for which the Board has based their recommended sanction, not on the specific facts of *Robinson*. The sanction is thus directly in line with the Ohio case law submitted by the Board. (FFCL pp. 13-15).

Groner, in arguing that this is a case of first impression and one that is not founded in analogous case law, has cited the ABA Standards on Imposing Lawyer Sanctions as evidence that the recommended suspension is not valid. However, section 7.2 of the standards clearly states, "Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer *knowingly engages* in conduct that is a violation of a duty as a professional and causes injury or potential injury to a client, the public, or the legal system."

The conduct Groner has engaged in is conduct that could have easily been remedied by conducting a thorough analysis of the materials before she presented them to the court. This was not done, and it is a clear violation of both the Ohio Professional Conduct Rules and the ABA Standards. Such actions do not send a message of confidence in the legal community. We as attorneys are held to a certain standard to thoroughly examine all that we submit to a tribunal for consideration, no matter the source. To not do this is conduct that as ABA Standard 7.2 states causes injury or potential injury to the legal system. And Brenda Fowler's character and reputation were called into question by the publication of the false materials Groner submitted to the court on the probate court website.


Thus, whether this Court chooses to look to the body of Ohio case law submitted by the Board or the ABA Standard on Imposing Lawyer Sanctions, a recommendation of suspension is in accordance with either body of authority.

III. Conclusion

This case can be summed up by the requirement that attorneys have a duty to diligently represent their clients, and in doing so are required to examine any and all documentation before its submission to the court. While Groner may have not had actual knowledge of the false nature of her claims, the ease by which she could have determined their lack of validity shows a violation of her duty. It is the request of the Relator that the Court impose the recommended one-year suspension with six months stayed. In doing so, this Court will remind each and every attorney that the requirement to examine all information rings true no matter the source of the information. Perhaps attorneys do need to be reminded that information found on the internet must be vetted and analyzed just as rigorously as more traditional forms of potential evidence must be evaluated before submission to the courts.

While this may be an isolated incident in the 25 year career of Groner, that above all else shows that Groner has 25 years experience of understanding the importance of analyzing that which she submits to the court prior to its submission. Groner's allegation that a sanction such as this will lead to a lower standard of justice provided to Ohio citizens is misguided. A sanction will send a message to the legal community that the high standards expected of attorneys must be followed, and when they are not, action is taken to ensure they will be in the future. The Akron Bar urges this Court to uphold the findings and recommendations of the Board of Commissioners in this matter and respectfully asks the court to impose the recommended sanction suggested by the Board. Groner's continued inability to "get it" as evidenced by her pleading in this case, suggests that some monitoring of her practice may be advisable.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Alfred E. Schrader', written over a horizontal line.

ALFRED E. SCHRADER (0001837)

441 Wolf Ledges Parkway Suite #400

P.O. Box 0079

Akron, Ohio 44309

(330) 762-0765

Fax: (330) 762-2255

alschrader@choiceonemail.com

Relator

APPENDIX

A. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

B. Intelius Report

APPENDIX A

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
ON
GRIEVANCES AND DISCIPLINE
OF
THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO**

In Re:	:	
Complaint against	:	Case No. 10-041
Betty Groner	:	Findings of Fact,
Attorney Reg. No. 0030130	:	Conclusions of Law and
	:	Recommendation of the
Respondent	:	Board of Commissioners on
	:	Grievances and Discipline of
Akron Bar Association	:	the Supreme Court of Ohio
	:	
Relator	:	
	:	

Relator and Respondent filed an agreement for consent to discipline that called for a public reprimand in this matter on October 13, 2010. The panel rejected the agreement on October 18, 2010 and set a hearing for February 8, 2011, before a panel consisting of Judge Thomas F. Bryant, Judge John B. Street, and Lynn B. Jacobs, Chair. None of the panel members is from the appellate district from which the complaint arose or served on the probable cause panel in this matter. Relator was represented by Alfred E. Schrader and Donald J. Malarcik, Jr. Respondent was represented by Rasheeda Z. Khan.

OVERVIEW

In July 2009, Zachary Hopson, (hereafter "Hopson") a Texas resident, hired Respondent to contest his sister's, Brenda Fowler (hereafter "Fowler"), application to the Probate Court of Summit County, Ohio, to be appointed fiduciary of the estate of their deceased Mother, Lee-Anner Hopson.

During the course of her representation of Hopson, Respondent received notice of a September 8, 2009 hearing in probate court. Respondent arrived with her witness on the morning of September 8 for the oral hearing she anticipated. Respondent was informed that the hearing would not be oral, but rather, she was expected to submit written objections to the appointment of Fowler as Administratrix by September 8, 2009.

This case arises from a grievance filed by Fowler stating that Respondent filed objections based on untrue and damaging statements about Fowler alleging that she had a significant criminal record, including convictions of charges involving theft and drug and alcohol abuse, and that she had filed personal bankruptcy. Respondent obtained this misinformation from Internet research, which she testified to having read too hastily and used in the document filed in court on September 8, 2009 on her client's behalf.

Furthermore, Respondent's Brief in support of objections referred to a document that appeared to be a fiduciary's bond application denying Fowler a fiduciary bond based on her criminal convictions and bankruptcy. Ten days later, Respondent filed a motion for mediation, which was granted. On November 18, 2009, the dispute over the appointment of a fiduciary was successfully completed through mediation. The offending accusations remain in the probate court record.

Relator alleges the following violations of the rules:

Prof. Cond. R. 3.1 (a lawyer shall not assert an issue unless there is a basis . . . for doing so that is not frivolous); Prof. Cond. R. 3.3(a)(1) (a lawyer shall not knowingly make a false statement of fact or law to a tribunal) and (a)(3) (a lawyer shall not knowingly offer evidence that the lawyer knows to be false); Prof. Cond. R. 4.1(a) (a lawyer shall not knowingly make a false statement of material fact or law to a third

person); Prof. Cond. R. 8.4(c) (conduct that involves dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation); 8.4(d) (conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice); and 8.4(h) (conduct that adversely reflects on the lawyer's fitness to practice law).

The parties submitted written stipulations of fact, factors in mitigation, and agreed to the authenticity and admissibility of fifteen documents. The parties did not stipulate to any rule violations, nor did they propose a recommended sanction. The panel has made additional findings drawn from the testimony of Respondent and the other witnesses who testified at the hearing.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent, Ohio Registration No. 0030130, was admitted to the practice of law in Ohio in 1985.
2. Her law practice includes 18 years of representing clients in probate matters. Currently, most of her practice centers on elder clients, tax matters, foreclosures (pro bono), and veterans' work.
3. Lee-Anner Hopson died leaving three daughters, Brenda Fowler, Audrey Hopson-Lawton, and Linda Hopson, and one son, Zachary Hopson. Her will named Hopson, then residing in Texas, as her Executor.
4. Fowler filed an Application on her behalf to be appointed administrator of her mother's estate. The original will had been lost.
5. A hearing on the application for Admission to Probate of a Spoliated or Lost Will was set for July 15, 2009. A notification letter to Fowler's siblings requested them to hire legal counsel if they intended to oppose the appointment of Fowler as Administratrix.

6. In July 2009, Respondent was hired by Hopson to contest this application. Respondent knew that the Summit County Probate Court would not permit Hopson to serve alone as executor since he resided in Texas. She decided to file a Motion to Allow Executor in which she requested that a close family friend who resided in Summit County, David Pierce, be appointed as co-fiduciary with Hopson.

7. Hopson's two sisters, Linda Hopson and Audrey Hopson-Lawton, also opposed Fowler's application and supported Hopson's position, but took no legal action of their own.

8. On August 17, 2009, the court issued a notice of hearing to take place on September 8, 2009, at 9:00 am and did not specify what type of hearing. (Joint Ex. 1)

9. At the appointed day and time, Respondent appeared in court for the hearing, bringing David Pierce as the potential co-applicant to serve as fiduciary with Hopson. Fowler also appeared in court without her attorney.

10. At the disciplinary hearing, Respondent testified that only upon her appearance at probate court on September 8, 2009, did she learn from the chief clerk that the magistrate was not present and that there would be no oral hearing. Instead, it was a "counter hearing," meaning that September 8 would serve as a deadline to submit written objections to the Court. (Tr. 30-31)

11. Respondent testified that she was completely unprepared to submit written objections and that she had come to court with Pierce to orally advocate for his appointment as co-fiduciary either with Hopson—or, if that was not allowed, then as Fowler's co-fiduciary.

12. Respondent testified she had never heard of a counter hearing. (Tr. 31) When the clerk informed her that it meant that this date was the deadline for submission of written objections, she panicked. The clerk told her that another attorney had tried to circumvent a deadline before this judge and insinuated that it was frowned upon. (Tr. 31) Thus, Respondent took the deadline "very seriously." She immediately returned to her office, where she set in motion several actions which gave rise to Fowler's grievance. Respondent directed her secretary to search the Internet for any criminal background on Fowler, while Respondent accessed PACER.

13. The background search on Intelius, a fee-based online service providing background checks, revealed that a Brenda Joyce Fowler, residing in New Philadelphia, Ohio, had filed for bankruptcy in 2008. (Joint Ex. 9)

14. Respondent also reviewed printouts of an Intelius search that "confirmed" that a Brenda Fowler in New Philadelphia, Ohio had filed personal bankruptcy.

15. The printout also revealed to Respondent that a Brenda Joyce Fowler had also been convicted of several misdemeanors, felonies and DUI offenses. (Joint Ex. 8, p. 10-14)

16. Armed with this information, Respondent telephoned Frank Duffy of CBS Agency, Inc., a bonding subsidiary of the Columbus Bar Association, with whom she had had previous contact.

17. She asked him whether a bond could be obtained for a fiduciary who had filed for bankruptcy and who had committed felonies. He informed her that such an applicant would be declined a bond.

18. Duffy testified that it was highly unusual to receive such a request for this information from an attorney calling on behalf of a non-client. (Tr. 99)

19. When Respondent then asked him to confirm his opinion in writing, Duffy stated that no such document existed. After she persistently pressed him further, Duffy completed a form adding in his handwriting the conditions for rejection that he had orally stated. He testified the form he used was a "pre-application" form approved by the Franklin County Probate Court to meet its particular requirements and not used by other courts. Duffy testified further that he had filled out the form and initialed it "FD" to satisfy Respondent's request.

20. Duffy testified that he would not have filled out the form denying the bond for Fowler had he known that Respondent did not represent Fowler. (Tr. 102)

21. Respondent filed her written objections attaching this document as "Exhibit A," by the deadline. The essence of her written objections was that Fowler should be denied appointment as the fiduciary of Lee-Anner Hopson's estate because of Fowler's supposed criminal record and bankruptcy filing.

22. After filing the objections in Probate Court on September 8, 2009, Respondent called Fowler's attorney to notify him and sent him a copy of the pleading. He subsequently notified his client and sent her a copy of the document.

23. Fowler was incensed upon reading the document because she had never filed personal bankruptcy and had no criminal record.

24. ~~The information from PACER and Intelius used by Respondent in the pleading~~ referred to persons named Brenda Joyce Fowler who were not the Brenda Joyce Fowler,

Hopson's sister, who had made application to become fiduciary of the estate of Lee-Anner Hopson.

25. Respondent testified that she was very upset to discover that her hasty research which was the essence of her pleading filed in the probate court was incorrect.

26. Respondent testified that she could have and should have based her written objections solely on the fact that her client (as well as his sisters with whom she had also had email correspondence) opposed the appointment of Fowler as fiduciary on grounds that they believed she had taken possessions, including jewelry and cash from their mother's house, after Lee-Anner Hopson's death and prior to the funeral, without permission of her siblings. (Tr. 125-127)

27. Ten days after filing her written objections, Respondent filed a motion for mediation of this matter.

28. A mediation hearing was held and the parties successfully resolved their dispute through mediation in November 2009.

29. On September 25, 2009, Fowler filed a grievance against Respondent with the Akron Bar Association, on the grounds of the false accusations made against her.

30. Before she found it necessary to file an objection to the appointment of Fowler as Administratrix of Lee-Anner Hopson's estate, Respondent had learned identifying information about Fowler from Hopson, her client, from the documents filed by Fowler's lawyer, and from her own observation of Fowler at probate court the morning before the objections were prepared and filed.

31. Respondent, in an attempt to gain information on Fowler for the purpose of contesting her appointment as administratrix, used an online reporting system called

“Intelius.” By entering in what limited information Respondent knew about Fowler into the Intelius website (her name, Brenda J. Fowler; her date of birth, September 28, 1957; her age, 51; and her address, 212 Rosemarie Dr., Lebanon, OH 45036), Intelius would return information about the search subject on a multitude of contents, including: address history, criminal and civil records, marriage and divorce records, property history summary, and several others. The report was presented to the panel as Joint Exhibit 8.

32. Respondent, in her haste to file her objections with the probate court, failed to carefully read the report, which led to her assuming that the entire 16-page document was about the Brenda Fowler at issue in the case. In fact, the report provides information on every “Brenda J. Fowler” that Intelius could find in the United States, some 19 separate individuals in Ohio alone. (See Ex. 8, p. 5-7) It pulled civil records that showed bankruptcy proceedings, an eviction, and state liens, all in various cities across Ohio. (See Ex. 8, p. 3-4) The report further pulled criminal records from across the United States, including felony offenses in Florida, misdemeanors in Texas, the minor offense of failing to obey a stop sign in Alaska, among others (See Ex. 8, p. 10-14) These “Brenda J. Fowler” results provided people who ranged in age, physical description, geographic location, and even sex.¹

33. The Intelius report contained several disclaimers about its accuracy, noting that positive or false matches in criminal searches may not provide confirmation of an individual’s criminal or civil judgment background. The report also, at times, provided superfluous information because none could be found using the search terms given.

Under the “Single State Criminal Check” section, the report states that “We have

¹ The criminal records results returned entries for a Brendan John Fowler, illustrating the inaccuracy of the service used by Respondent and Respondent’s lack of due diligence in vetting the results before submitting them to the probate court.

searched the following for Brenda J. Fowler in OH state matched to the date of birth Sep 28, 1957; [records listed]. No records were found.” (See Ex. 8, p. 3)

34. Respondent made no attempt to verify that the New Philadelphia address and the Social Security information given in the PACER report she found were truly those of her client’s sister. In fact, they were not.

35. Exhibits 8 and 9 clearly and unmistakably do not pertain to the person named Brenda Fowler about whom Respondent was seeking discrediting information.

36. When asked at the panel hearing how she determined the information about Brenda Johnston Fowler, a white female residing in Texas and weighing 240 lbs with green eyes who had been convicted of a theft offense in 2006, related to Brenda J. Fowler, a black 51-year old female who lives in Lebanon, Ohio about whom information was sought, Respondent acknowledged that this was a “mistake” on her part. (Tr. 156)

37. Specifically, Respondent testified that she was especially poor at predicting “measurements” and “weights” and that she had not noticed the eye color. (Tr. 41) She further diminished her credibility by stating that black persons have many different colored eyes. Asked if she knew whether Fowler lived in New Philadelphia or not, she answered: “I was fuzzy on geography.” (Tr. 166)

38. Respondent admitted that her “analysis” of the Intelius background report consisted of reading only the “right column” of each page and that she ignored the “left column” which gave not only the name, but also other verifiable facts about the person named. In response to one question at the panel hearing regarding the various Brenda Fowlers, Respondent suggested that she thought they were all the same person and she moved around a lot. (Tr. 125)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

After reviewing the record and hearing testimony in this matter, and upon the findings of fact set forth above, the panel finds, by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent violated all of the Rules of Professional Conduct charged, including Prof. Cond. R. 3.1 forbidding a lawyer from asserting an issue unless there is a basis to do so.

The panel concludes that there was no basis in fact or law for Respondent to present false assertions of facts and specious and misleading arguments in a pleading in the probate court regarding the application of Brenda J. Fowler for appointment as administratrix of her mother's estate, and that by doing so, Respondent violated Prof. Cond. Rule 3.1.

The panel concludes that Respondent knowingly made false statements of fact in a pleading based in part upon an attached document knowing that it was not what the document was represented to be and that the information stated did not support the inferences the court was urged to draw from it. The false statements and the false impressions made by Respondent to the court have never been corrected. The panel thus concludes that Respondent, by these actions and omissions, violated Prof. Cond. R. 3.3(a)(1) and (3) requiring candor toward a tribunal.

By filing a pleading containing false statements of material fact in the probate court, and thus in the public record for information and use by the magistrate and judge of the court, and available to any member of the public as well as other parties, counsel, and members of the public interested in the proceedings, Respondent violated Prof. Cond. R. 4.1.

The panel concludes that Respondent, by her knowing misrepresentations of fact, by her disregard for the truth of matter presented to a court intended to influence the court's decision on a pending matter, and by her procurement and use of misleading evidence in a legal proceeding has engaged in fundamental dishonesty and misrepresentation in violation of Prof. Cond. R. 8.4(c), (d), and (h).

AGGRAVATION AND MITIGATION

The panel considered the aggravating and mitigating factors pursuant to the requirements of BCGD Proc. Reg. 10(B)(1) and (2) with regard to this case.

The parties have submitted stipulations of mitigation, but not of aggravation, as follows:

Respondent has no prior disciplinary record; Respondent has made full and free disclosure to Relator and the Board, and has demonstrated a cooperative attitude toward these proceeding; and Respondent has good character and reputation.

The panel accepts these three mitigating factors, noting the submission of eleven character letters from Respondent's colleagues, clients, rabbi, and a fellow congregant Ph.D. psychologist.

There are, however, several aggravating factors under BCGD Proc. Reg. 10(B)(1) to be considered, including:

(b) Selfish motive: Respondent seemed more concerned with meeting a court deadline to preserve her own professional reputation than with making sure that the incriminating statements against the grievant were true.

(d) Multiple offenses: Respondent submitted false and damaging information to the court in her written objections. She also filed a paper that was not what it purported

or offered to be and it was marked Exhibit A and appended to the objections that created the false impression to the court that Brenda Fowler could not legally serve as administratrix of her mother's estate because she had been declined bond. The two actions constitute multiple offenses.

(h) Resulting harm to the victim: The panel accepted Fowler's testimony that she was personally humiliated by the false accusations asserted and filed in court by Respondent. The panel, however, does not credit her testimony that her siblings have severed their relationship with her solely because of a continuing belief in those accusations.

The record shows that several emails traveled back and forth between the siblings and Respondent prior to the September 8, 2009 hearing. The essence of these emails was distrust of Fowler for altogether different reasons. The siblings believed Fowler and her husband had removed personal property from their mother's home prior to the memorial service without their permission. (Joint Ex. 4)

The panel therefore concludes that, although personal harm to the victim did result, Respondent's false accusations per se were not the cause of an irretrievable break in family relationships.

(g) Refusal to acknowledge wrongful nature of conduct: Throughout her testimony, both on direct and cross-examination, Respondent insisted that she was sorry for her actions. However, the panel has difficulty accepting this as true remorse. The panel finds these statements to be mostly self-serving. Fowler testified (and the record shows) that Respondent never apologized for her egregious accusations.

Respondent's repeatedly expressed apologies to the panel and stated wish to now apologize to the grievant revealed a lawyer who was thoroughly embarrassed by both the quality of her work and the notoriety of this case.

The panel has trouble accepting Respondent's explanation that she was motivated only by a desire to do the best job possible for her client. The results speak for themselves.

RECOMMENDED SANCTION

The parties have not stipulated any recommended sanction. At the hearing, Respondent asked for dismissal of all charges or, in the alternative, a public reprimand. Relator recommended a six month suspension, all stayed, based on the belief that despite violations of all of the rules alleged, they did not rise to the level of two recent disciplinary cases involving the same rule violations.

In *Disciplinary Counsel v. Rohrer*, 124 Ohio St.3d 65, 2004-Ohio-5930, the respondent was given a six-month suspension. He had directed a staff member to deliver a copy of a motion to a local newspaper in violation of the trial court's verbal order prohibiting counsel from discussing the case with the media. He denied to the court that he had done so and fired his assistant for allegedly breaching confidentiality. The Court found violations of Prof. Cond. R. 3.3(a)(1), 3.4(c), 8.4(c), (d), and (h)- close to the violations in the present case. The same mitigating and aggravating factors accepted by the Court in *Rohrer* are also present here.

~~In *Disciplinary Counsel v. Robinson*, 126 Ohio St.3d 371, 2010-Ohio-3829, the~~
respondent, a former partner of a Columbus law firm, lied in a deposition about removing confidential firm documents from the firm, providing them to competitor firms with

whom he was seeking employment, and then destroying some of them. At the hearing, Robinson attempted to mitigate his failure to precisely answer questions regarding past behavior giving rise to the grievance, allegedly due to great personal stress and lack of litigation experience. Finding violations of Prof. Cond. R. 3.4(a) and 8.4(c), (d), and (h), the Court suspended him for 12 months.

Similar mitigation testimony to Robinson's has been offered by Respondent in explanation of her rule violations. She claimed that the extreme stress of meeting a deadline caused her to panic and submit false documentation. She also claimed that her inability to correctly recall the sequence of events on September 8, 2009 was also due to stress, as well as her distaste for litigation, which she repeatedly stated was counterbalanced by her strength at negotiating and resolving disputes before ever they ever got that far.

In *Robinson*, the Court found a lack of remorse in the respondent's testimony, labeling them more accurately "excuses." Likewise, the panel heard lengthy explanations for Respondent Groner's errors. Her apologies were also profuse, but centered more on why her mistakes arose from having to meet a deadline she did not know existed, rather than true remorse for violating the fundamental ethical code for attorneys. The panel is mystified why Respondent could be acutely aware of her obligations as to "form," yet totally oblivious to a concern for "substance" in her quest to "get it right."

Her testimony that, "Lord, there would be so many things I would do differently. I would never [sic] gone in any kind of detail that would ever hurt anyone. I did and I'm sorry. I can't tell you how sorry I am," (Tr. 126) may truly be sincere when made. Unfortunately, it does not erase the harm done to the victim, to the reputation of


the legal profession and to the integrity of the court proceedings. Just as in *Robinson*, this Respondent has not harmed her own clients. Nevertheless, she has impugned the personal reputation of an innocent person and took no action to correct her errors. Such behavior is unacceptable for a 25-year lawyer whose personal actions themselves created the cause for this grievance.

Based on clear and convincing evidence derived from case law, written records, and testimony at the hearing, the panel recommends that Respondent be suspended from the practice of law in Ohio for twelve months, with six months stayed on condition that she commit no further violations of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

BOARD RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to Gov. Bar Rule V(6)(L), the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline of the Supreme Court of Ohio considered this matter on April 8, 2011. The Board adopted the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendation of the Panel and recommends that Respondent, Betty Groner, be suspended from the practice of law for a period of twelve months with six months stayed. The Board further recommends that the cost of these proceedings be taxed to Respondent in any disciplinary order entered, so that execution may issue.

Pursuant to the order of the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline of the Supreme Court of Ohio, I hereby certify the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendation as those of the Board.



JONATHAN W. MARSHALL, Secretary

**Board of Commissioners on
Grievances and Discipline of
the Supreme Court of Ohio**

APPENDIX B



IdentityProtect

Safe
Good
Average
At Risk



Get Your Credit Report Now!

The following benefits are now active for you as a member of Identity Protect

A Monthly Credit Report
Bank Account monitoring
A customizable "Watch List"
And much more!

SSN monitoring
Email fraud alerts
A real-time ID theft risk score

Credit & Debit Card monitoring
Public Records Monitoring
\$25,000 in ID theft insurance

[View your Identity Protect Service](#)

Your Transaction Was Successful.

Your Credit Card Will Reflect A \$67.85 Charge From Intelius.

Your Order # is 23125472.

Thank you for placing your order with Intelius

Background Report - September 8, 2009

Your Report Summary

Order #23125472

What is a Background Report?

Name: Brenda J Fowler
Date Of Birth: 09/26/1957

A Background Report contains information collected from public records and publicly available data. The report is designed as a service to assist you in locating or verifying an individual's background information. The data within the report is compiled from thousands of different sources that include government, property, and other public record repositories. The Intelius service organizes and integrates information together as a convenient service for you.

Report Contents

- Personal Public Records Data
- Property History Summary
- Single State Civil Judgments
- Marriage and Divorce Records
- Nationwide Criminal Check
- Business Profile Summary
- Address History
- Single State Criminal Check
- People Search Report
- Death Records
- Nationwide Federal Criminal Records

It is important to understand that public records are only as accurate as the agencies that input them. Please be sure to closely review the public information listed about the individual that you may be researching in the report.

Personal Public Records Data

Subject Name: Brenda J Fowler
Listed Aliases: Brenda J Fowler
Listed Dates of Birth: Sep 26, 1957
Listed Ages: 51

What is a Personal Public Records Data?

Personal public record data can be inaccurate, so it's important to understand what is in the public records for Brenda J Fowler.

Personal public records data refers to information such as aliases, birth dates, age and other data found to be connected to Brenda J Fowler in the public record. This information can further help you by identifying alternate and/or maiden names, age variations, and any other information that may be associated with Brenda J Fowler.



Address History For Brenda J Fowler

	Address	Phone	Additional Reports
1	212 Rosemarie Dr Lebanon, OH 45036		Property Report


What is an Address History?

This report section lists historical addresses associated with an individual from public records. An Address History can assist in flagging address exceptions that may exist in public records. The addresses and phone numbers are not listed in date order and should be individually reviewed.

Property History Summary

ADDRESS & CONTACT INFO:

212 Rosemarie Dr
Lebanon, OH 45036



NEIGHBORS AROUND THE PROPERTY

Name	
Robert Drees 189 Rosemarie Dr Lebanon, OH 45036 (513) 228-2859	Background Check
JM Davidson 193 Rosemarie Dr Lebanon, OH 45036 (513) 932-1332	Background Check
Benicio Barron 195 Rosemarie Dr Lebanon, OH 45036 (513) 934-0495	Background Check
Nicole Bailey 184 Rosemarie Dr Lebanon, OH 45036 (513) 932-8592	Background Check

AREA DATA

Total Population	Avg. House Price	Avg. Household Income	Area Sex Offenders
30,764	\$147,200	\$53,063	100

What is a Property History Summary?

This section lists summary, neighbors, relatives and area data associated with the property when available. The Relatives and Associates section lists potential relatives or previous occupants associated with an individual and / or their address from public records. The Neighbors Around the Property section lists the immediate neighbors around the property. These sections can help confirm the people linked to your subject and provide additional people that may have current or forwarding information on the whereabouts of your subject.

Single State Criminal Check

COURTESY EXPANDED SEARCH

Many criminal records do not have a date of birth. We found 0 records for your search with a date of birth. As a courtesy, at no charge to you, we ran a criminal search for Brenda J Fowler with no date of birth.

NATIONWIDE CRIMINAL CHECK OFFER

We searched Brenda J Fowler nationwide and found 15 criminal records

"30% of people change their addresses nationwide each year...especially criminals"

Get \$10 off on a Nationwide Criminal Check for Brenda J Fowler now.

CRIMINAL CHECK FOR ALL BRENDA J FOWLER IN THE STATE OF OH

What is a Single State Criminal Check?

This section lists criminal records from county courts, department of corrections, administration of the courts, and other legal agencies for the selected state. The types of offenses include felonies, misdemeanors, sexual offenses, and more. Please closely review each record as subjects with a common name may return multiple criminal record results.

Thank You for Your Order - Intellius.com

NAME: Brenda J Fowler
STATE SEARCHED: OH
RESULT:

We have searched the following for Brenda J Fowler in OH state matched to the date of birth of Sep 28, 1957:

- ✓ case records
- ✓ disposition records
- ✓ sentencing records
- ✓ other criminal related records

No records were found. Positive or false matches within a criminal search may not provide confirmation of a criminal background.

A state criminal check only searches records for the state requested.

We found 15 Criminal Records Nationwide for Brenda J Fowler
[Click Here](#) to conduct a National Criminal Check on Brenda J Fowler

Criminal Check Disclaimer

Customers are charged a search fee for executing a Criminal Check. The Criminal Check report returns results which may include all criminal records or no results found on the individual.

In the event of using this service for criminal background checks, you should not assume that this data provides a complete or accurate history of any person's criminal history.

You should use extreme caution when interpreting the results of a criminal background search for any type of personal verification.

Positive or false matches in criminal searches may not provide confirmation of an individual's criminal background.

Please reference the updated Intellius User Agreement for additional restrictions regarding the usage of this data.

Civil Judgment Report

CIVIL JUDGMENT RECORDS FOR BRENDA J FOWLER IN THE STATE OF OH - 3 RECORDS FOUND

RECORD 1

CIVIL RECORD VERIFICATION: CONFIRM CASE # 2007CVG00149 AT THE COURT HOUSE

DEFENDANT:	FOWLER, BRENDA	CASE NUMBER:	2007CVG00149
FILING TYPE:	EVICTON WITHOUT \$ AMOUNT	ENTITY TYPE:	INDIVIDUAL RECORD
FILING DATE:	20070202	ADDRESS:	1810 HAROLD DR
CITY:	DAYTON	STATE:	OH
ZIP:	45406	PLAINTIFF:	GAIL, GORDON
COURT CODE:	OH113	COURT NAME:	MONTGOMERY COUNTY, DAYTON MUNICIPAL COURT

UNLAWFUL DETAINER: Y

RECORD 2

CIVIL RECORD VERIFICATION: CONFIRM CASE # 0860403 AT THE COURT HOUSE

DEFENDANT:	FOWLER, BRENDA J	CASE NUMBER:	0860403
FILING TYPE:	CHAPTER 7 DISCHARGE	ENTITY TYPE:	INDIVIDUAL RECORD
FILING DATE:	20080218	ADDRESS:	215 LAWVER DRIVE SOUTHEAST
CITY:	NEW PHILADELPHIA	STATE:	OH
ZIP:	44883	COURT CODE:	OHSTAF1
COURT NAME:	OHIO FED COURT-CANTON	JUDGE INITIALS:	RK

ACTION TYPE: BANKRUPTCY

RECORD 3

CIVIL RECORD VERIFICATION: CONFIRM CASE # 0860403 AT THE COURT HOUSE

DEFENDANT:	FOWLER, BRENDA J	CASE NUMBER:	0860403
------------	------------------	--------------	---------

What are Tax Lien, Bankruptcy, & Judgments?

This section lists civil records from county courts for the selected address. The types of records include property tax liens, general tax liens, bankruptcies, small claims, judgments, and more associated with the selected address. Please closely review each record as common addresses may return multiple civil record results.

FILING TYPE: CHAPTER 7 FILING (NEW) ENTITY TYPE: INDIVIDUAL RECORD
 FILING DATE: 20080218 ADDRESS: 215 LAWVER DR SE
 CITY: NEW PHILADELPHIA STATE: OH
 ZIP: 44883 ATTORNEY: NICOLE L ROHR
 ATTORNEY PHONE: 3304799494 ATTORNEY ADDRESS: 4410 22ND STREET NW
 ATTORNEY CITY: CANTON ATTORNEY STATE: OH
 ATTORNEY ZIP: 44708 ASSETS AVAILABLE: N
 COURT CODE: OHSTAF1 COURT NAME: OHIO FED COURT-CANTON
 JUDGE INITIALS: RK ACTION TYPE: BANKRUPTCY

RECORD 4

CIVIL RECORD VERIFICATION: CONFIRM CASE # 0860403 AT THE COURT HOUSE

DEFENDANT: FOWLER, BRENDA J CASE NUMBER: 0860403
 FILING TYPE: CHAPTER 7 DISCHARGE ENTITY TYPE: INDIVIDUAL RECORD
 FILING DATE: 20080218 ADDRESS: 215 LAWVER DR SE
 CITY: NEW PHILADELPHIA STATE: OH
 ZIP: 44883 ATTORNEY: NICOLE L ROHR
 ATTORNEY PHONE: 3304799494 ATTORNEY ADDRESS: 4410 22ND STREET NW
 ATTORNEY CITY: CANTON ATTORNEY STATE: OH
 ATTORNEY ZIP: 44708 ASSETS AVAILABLE: N
 COURT CODE: OHSTAF1 COURT NAME: OHIO FED COURT-CANTON
 JUDGE INITIALS: RK ACTION TYPE: BANKRUPTCY

RECORD 5

CIVIL RECORD VERIFICATION: CONFIRM CASE # 0860403 AT THE COURT HOUSE

DEFENDANT: FOWLER, BRENDA J CASE NUMBER: 0860403
 FILING TYPE: CHAPTER 7 FILING (NEW) ENTITY TYPE: INDIVIDUAL RECORD
 FILING DATE: 20080218 ADDRESS: 215 LAWVER DRIVE
 CITY: NEW PHILADELPHIA STATE: OH
 ZIP: 44883 ATTORNEY: NICOLE L ROHR
 ATTORNEY PHONE: 3304799494 ATTORNEY ADDRESS: 4410 22ND ST
 ATTORNEY CITY: CANTON ATTORNEY STATE: OH
 ATTORNEY ZIP: 44708 ASSETS AVAILABLE: N
 COURT CODE: OHSTAF1 COURT NAME: OHIO FED COURT-CANTON
 JUDGE INITIALS: RK ACTION TYPE: BANKRUPTCY

RECORD 6

CIVIL RECORD VERIFICATION: CONFIRM CASE # 187-2003 AT THE COURT HOUSE

DEFENDANT: FOWLER, BRENDA CASE NUMBER: 187-2003
 FILING TYPE: JUDGMENTS/STATE LIENS - PROTHONOTARY ENTITY TYPE: INDIVIDUAL RECORD
 FILING DATE: 20030310 AMOUNT LIABILITY: \$1,143.00
 ADDRESS: 29610 BOWER RD CITY: SCIO
 STATE: OH ZIP: 43088
 PLAINTIFF: DOCTORS HOSPITAL COURT CODE: PA102
 COURT NAME: HARRISON(OH) UNLAWFUL DETAINER: N
 ACTION TYPE: JUDGMENT

Customers are charged a search fee for executing a Civil Judgment check. The Civil Judgment report returns results which may include all civil judgment records or no results found on the individual.

In the event of using this service for civil background checks, you should not assume that this data provides a complete or accurate history of any person's civil judgment history.

You should use extreme caution when interpreting the results of a civil judgment background search for any type of personal verification.

Positive or false matches in civil searches may not provide confirmation of an individual's civil judgment background.

Please reference the updated Intelius User agreement for additional restrictions regarding the usage of data.

Current & Historical People Search Report

	Name	Address History	Previous Cities	Avg. Income / Home Value	
1	Brenda J Fowler DOB: Nov 15, 1943 AGE: 65 Years Old RELATIVES: <u>Lon J Fowler</u> <u>Jesse Fowler</u> <u>Charles Jessie Fowler</u> <u>B C Fowler</u> <u>Gerald Fowler</u> <u>Paul A Fowler</u> <u>Susan A Fowler</u>	Address 1: 4957 MEAD CLEVELAND, OH 44127 <u>(216) 429-1892</u> Address 2: 3158 88TH CLEVELAND, OH 44102 <u>(216) 429-1892</u> Address 3: 3636 48TH ST E CLEVELAND, OH 44105 <u>(216) 429-1892</u>	Cleveland, OH Bennettville, SC Mc Coll, SC	Avg. Income: \$21,888 Avg. Home Value: \$18,300	Property Report
2	Brenda J Fowler DOB: Apr 25, 1952 AGE: 57 Years Old RELATIVES: <u>James D Fowler</u> <u>Jim J Fowler</u> <u>Cheryl A Fowler</u> <u>Stephen H Fowler</u>	Address 1: 123 MATHEWS ASHLAND, OH 44805 <u>(419) 289-9437</u> Address 2: PO BOX 2 POLK, OH 44866 Address 3: GRANVILLE, OH 43023	Ashland, OH Polk, OH Granville, OH Seneca, SC	Avg. Income: \$37,850 Avg. Home Value: \$43,290	Property Report
3	Brenda Jo Fowler DOB: Jul 17, 1980 AGE: 49 Years Old RELATIVES: <u>Ronald Rae Fowler</u> <u>Ruth B Fowler</u> <u>Tullie Ruth Fowler</u>	Address 1: 4904 DUBLIN FALLS COLUMBUS, OH 43221 Address 2: PO BOX 14905 COLUMBUS, OH 43214 Address 3: 1844 N PEARDALE RD COLUMBUS, OH 43229 <u>(614) 833-8885</u>	Columbus, OH Lancaster, OH Lithopolis, OH Huron, OH	Avg. Income: \$66,171 Avg. Home Value: \$168,200	Property Report
4	Brenda Joyce Fowler DOB: Jan 16, 1946 AGE: 63 Years Old RELATIVES: <u>Robert Eugene Fowler</u> <u>Mark E Fowler</u>	Address 1: 838610 BOWER SCIO, OH 43988 <u>(740) 945-8512</u> Address 2: 11 RR 1 SCIO, OH 43988 <u>(740) 945-8512</u> Address 3: PO BOX 269 SCIO, OH 43988	Scio, OH Tuscarawas, OH New Philadelphia, OH	Avg. Income: \$31,344 Avg. Home Value: \$03,900	Property Report
	Brenda J Fowler DOB: Jul 12, 1952	Address 1: 5685 CHATFORD 2 COLUMBUS, OH 43232 Address 2: 5598 GANGES FIVE POINTS Columbus, OH		Avg. Income: \$37,444	

What is a Current & Historical Residents Search?

This section lists current and historical people search records that share the same name and state as your search subject. The People Search Summary can be helpful in providing a consolidated view of matching current and historical records for your subjects name across multiple public sources. Income & Home Value are compiled from property, demographic, census, & other public record sources. Income & Home Value may contain specific household and area statistics associated with an individual or address.

6	<p>AGE: 57 Years Old</p> <p>RELATIVES: <u>Terry E. Fowler</u></p>	<p>RD FIVE SHILOH, OH 44878 (419) 898-2947</p> <p>Address 3: 332 N WILEY ST CRESTLINE, OH 44827</p>	<p>Shiloh, OH Crestline, OH</p>	<p>Avg. Home Value: \$87,500</p>	<p><u>Property Report</u></p>
6	<p>Brenda J Fowler</p> <p>DOB: Mar 05, 1965</p> <p>AGE: 43 Years Old</p> <p>RELATIVES: <u>Robert Fowler</u></p>	<p>Address 1: 60 COLLEGE FREDERICKTOWN, OH 43018 (740) 392-7399</p> <p>Address 2: 14700 KCKINSEY MOUNT VERNON, OH 43060 (740) 392-7399</p> <p>Address 3: 4059 ELAINE PARK COLUMBUS, OH 43227 (740) 392-7399</p>	<p>Fredericktown, OH Mount Vernon, OH Columbus, OH</p>	<p>Avg. Income: \$43,432</p> <p>Avg. Home Value: \$91,600</p>	<p><u>Property Report</u></p>
7	<p>Brenda J Fowler</p> <p>DOB: Nov 14, 1987</p> <p>AGE: 41 Years Old</p> <p>RELATIVES: <u>Andrew P. Fowler</u></p>	<p>Address 1: 4824 EASTWAY C COLUMBUS, OH 43213 (614) 863-9919</p> <p>Address 2: 4832 EASTWAY #C COLUMBUS, OH 43213 (614) 863-9595</p> <p>Address 3: 247 PARKLAWN WHITEHALL, OH 43213 (614) 863-9595</p>	<p>Columbus, OH Whitehall, OH Westerville, OH</p>	<p>Avg. Income: \$35,289</p> <p>Avg. Home Value: \$84,400</p>	<p><u>Property Report</u></p>
8	<p>Brenda J Fowler</p> <p>DOB: Oct 18, 1982</p> <p>AGE: 46 Years Old</p>	<p>Address 1: 310 7TH LORAIN, OH 44052</p> <p>Address 2: 1702 21ST LORAIN, OH 44052</p> <p>Address 3: 1728 RANDALL LORAIN, OH 44052</p>	<p>Lorain, OH Elyria, OH Bowling, MD</p>	<p>Avg. Income: \$32,322</p> <p>Avg. Home Value: \$82,400</p>	<p><u>Property Report</u></p>
8	<p>Brenda J Fowler</p> <p>AGE: 56 Years Old</p>	<p>Address 1: PO BOX 37142 CINCINNATI, OH 45222</p> <p>Address 2: 37142 PO BOX 37142 CINCINNATI, OH 45222</p>	<p>Cincinnati, OH</p>	<p>Avg. Income: \$40,141</p> <p>Avg. Home Value: \$71,500</p>	<p><u>Property Report</u></p>
10	<p>Brenda J Fowler</p> <p>DOB: Nov 14, 1987</p> <p>AGE: 41 Years Old</p>	<p>Address 1: 632 EASTWAY CT AC COLUMBUS, OH 43213</p>	<p>Columbus, OH</p>	<p>Avg. Income: \$35,289</p> <p>Avg. Home Value: \$84,400</p>	<p><u>Property Report</u></p>
	Name	Address History	Previous Cities	Avg. Income / Home Value	
11	<p>Brenda J Fowler</p> <p>RELATIVES: <u>Ruth R. Fowler</u></p>	<p>Address 1: 14878 RD COLUMBUS, OH 43214</p>	<p>Columbus, OH</p>	<p>Avg. Income: \$47,882</p> <p>Avg. Home Value: \$189,900</p>	<p><u>Property Report</u></p>
	Brenda J Fowler				

12	DOB: Jul 12, 1952 AGE: 57 Years Old	Address 1: 347 US HIGHWAY 42 POLK, OH 44868	Polk, OH	Avg. Income: \$44,037 Avg. Home Value: \$89,700	<u>Property Report</u>
13	Brenda J Fowler DOB: Sep 28, 1957 AGE: 51 Years Old	Address 1: 212 ROSEMARIE DR LEBANON, OH	Lebanon, OH	Avg. Income: \$53,063 Avg. Home Value: \$144	<u>Property Report</u>
14	Brenda J Fowler DOB: May 28, 1943 AGE: 66 Years Old	Address 1: 3638 E 46TH ST CLEVELAND, OH	Cleveland, OH	Avg. Income: \$66,171 Avg. Home Value: \$46,100	<u>Property Report</u>
15	Brenda J Fowler DOB: Sep 28, 1957 AGE: 51 Years Old	Address 1: 212 ROSEMARIE DR LEBANON, OH 45036	Lebanon, OH	Avg. Income: \$53,063 Avg. Home Value: \$144,100	<u>Property Report</u>
16	Brenda J Fowler DOB: Nov 14, 1987 AGE: 41 Years Old	Address 1: 4480 BITTERROOT DR WESTERVILLE, OH	Westerville, OH	Avg. Income: \$53,063 Avg. Home Value: \$136,300	<u>Property Report</u>
17	Brenda J Fowler DOB: Jan 16, 1946 AGE: 63 Years Old	Address 1: 215 LAWVER DR SE NEW PHILADELPHIA, OH	New Philadelphia, OH	Avg. Income: \$53,063 Avg. Home Value: \$136	<u>Property Report</u>
18	Brenda J Fowler DOB: Jul 12, 1952 AGE: 57 Years Old	Address 1: 5528 GANGES-FIVE PTS RD SHILOH, OH	Shiloh, OH	Avg. Income: \$53,063 Avg. Home Value: \$136	<u>Property Report</u>
19	Brenda J Fowler	Address 1: 654 N SANDUSKY ST MOUNT VERNON, OH 43050	Mount Vernon, OH	Avg. Income: \$35,104 Avg. Home Value: \$73,170	<u>Property Report</u>

*check DOB on age
63 yrs old!
not 51*

Marriage & Divorce Record Results

Type	Party 1 Name & Age	Party 2 Name & Age	Date & Location
------	--------------------	--------------------	-----------------

What are Marriage and Divorce Records?

This section lists marriage and divorce records that share the same name and state as your search subject. The marriage and divorce report can be helpful in providing historical information for your search subject. Results may include groom's name and age, bride's name and age, location, date and file number.

1	M	<u>Frankie Blewina</u> (Age: 16)	<u>Brenda J Fowler</u> (Age: 18)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 091355
2	M	<u>Tony L Borch</u> (Age: 29)	<u>Brenda J Fowler</u> (Age: 28)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 068195
3	M	<u>James D Eiam</u> (Age: 22)	<u>Brenda J Fowler</u> (Age: 22)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 042610
4	M	<u>Andrew P Fowler</u> (Age: 22)	<u>Brenda J Wood</u> (Age: 21)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 080739
5	M	<u>Bary P Fowler</u> (Age: 38)	<u>Brenda K Fowler</u> (Age: 38)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 093585
6	M	<u>Billy J Fowler</u> (Age: 18)	<u>Brenda Y Slanczka</u> (Age: 15)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 002448
7	M	<u>Bruce Fowler</u> (Age: 24)	<u>Brenda Beibel</u> (Age: 24)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 011394
8	M	<u>Drew A Fowler</u> (Age: 22)	<u>Brenda L Scalas</u> (Age: 18)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 042633
9	M	<u>Duane R Fowler</u> (Age: 19)	<u>Brenda A Chestwood</u> (Age: 20)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 048314
10	M	<u>Harry R Fowler</u> (Age: 18)	<u>Brenda S Jenkins</u> (Age: 23)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 020325
	Type	Party 1 Name & Age	Party 2 Name & Age	Date & Location
11	M	<u>John F Fowler</u> (Age: 25)	<u>Brenda C Bryant</u> (Age: 37)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 024031
12	M	<u>John E Fowler</u> (Age: 37)	<u>Brenda L Eby</u> (Age: 21)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 068992
13	M	<u>Leslie Fowler</u> (Age: 19)	<u>Brenda L Willison</u> (Age: 19)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 040592
14	M	<u>Lon J Fowler</u> (Age: 42)	<u>Brenda J Hughes</u> (Age: 36)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 032560
		<u>Michael A Fowler</u>	<u>Brenda L McFarlane</u>	DATE: -

Thank You for Your Order - intelius.com

15	M	<u>Michael W Fowler</u> (Age: 23)	<u>Brenda L Woodburn</u> (Age: 23)	LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 069260
16	M	<u>Michael W Fowler</u> (Age: 33)	<u>Brenda Smith</u> (Age: 37)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 031070
17	M	<u>Richard K Fowler</u> (Age: 29)	<u>Brenda L Brenner</u> (Age: 30)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 010423
18	M	<u>Ronald R Fowler</u> (Age: 25)	<u>Brenda J Grove</u> (Age: 24)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 092080
19	M	<u>Russell Fowler</u> (Age: 19)	<u>Brenda Woodburn</u> (Age: 20)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 077424
20	M	<u>Terry E Fowler</u> (Age: 34)	<u>Brenda J Smedley</u> (Age: 29)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 016051
	Type	Party 1 Name & Age	Party 2 Name & Age	Date & Location
21	M	<u>Timothy L Fowler</u> (Age: 28)	<u>Brenda K Rubin</u> (Age: 25)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 015258
22	M	<u>Tyrone D Fowler</u> (Age: 31)	<u>Brenda F Mears</u> (Age: 28)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 041350
23	M	<u>Vernon D Hochstetler</u> (Age: 19)	<u>Brenda L Fowler</u> (Age: 19)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 063289
24	M	<u>David L Kretzer</u> (Age: 19)	<u>Brenda L Fowler</u> (Age: 19)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 051937
25	M	<u>Michael S Walters</u> (Age: 30)	<u>Brenda L Fowler</u> (Age: 28)	DATE: - LOCATION: OH FILE NUMBER: 010635

Record Results

	Name	Age	Birth Date	Death Date	Location Born	Last Residence
1	<u>Brenda Fowler</u>	17	Nov 29, 1960	Aug 01, 1978	OH	Chillicothe, OH 45601

What are Death Records?

This section lists death records that share the same name and state as your search subject. The death records report can be helpful in providing historical information for your search subject. Results may include subject's name and age, birth date, death date, location born and last residence.

for Your Order - intelius.com

Nationwide Criminal Check

COURTESY EXPANDED SEARCH

Many criminal records do not have a date of birth. We found 0 records for your search with a date of birth. As a courtesy, at no charge to you, we ran a criminal search for Brenda J Fowler with no date of birth.

What is a Nationwide Criminal Check?

This section lists criminal records from county courts, department of corrections, administration of the courts, and other legal agencies. The types of offenses include felonies, misdemeanors, sexual offenses, and more. Please closely review each record as subjects with a common name may return multiple criminal record results.

CRIMINAL CHECK FOR ALL BRENDA J FOWLER IN THE UNITED STATES

Record 1 of 15

IDENTIFICATION

Brenda Johnston Fowler

WHITE F

SOURCE: Texas

DOB: 08/24/1957

ALIASES: FOWLER, BRENDA; JOHNSTON, BRENDA

PROFILE INFO

WEIGHT: 240 LBS

HEIGHT: 5 FT 7 IN

EYE COLOR: GREEN

HAIR COLOR: BROWN

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER: Confirm Case at the Courthouse

ARREST AGENCY: FOR NCIC 2000 DATA CONVERSION PURPOSES ONLY

ARREST DATE: 05/11/1986

DISPOSITION DATE: 08/10/1986

DISPOSITION: CONVICTED

STATE CODE: TX

OFFENSE: DWI

Record 2 of 15

IDENTIFICATION

Brenda Johnston Fowler

WHITE F

SOURCE: Texas

DOB: 08/24/1957

ALIASES: FOWLER, BRENDA; JOHNSTON, BRENDA

PROFILE INFO

WEIGHT: 240 LBS

HEIGHT: 5 FT 7 IN

EYE COLOR: GREEN

HAIR COLOR: BROWN

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER: Confirm Case at the Courthouse

ARREST AGENCY: TAYLOR COUNTY COURT AT LAW #1

ARREST DATE: 01/05/2006

CITATION NUMBER: 31.04(E)(2)

DISPOSITION DATE: 01/26/2006

DISPOSITION: CONVICTED

ORIGINAL PLEA: NO CONTEST OR NOLO CONTENDERE

STATE CODE: TX

OFFENSE ID: TX_DPS22454802

OFFENSE DATE: 07/21/2005

OFFENSE CODE: 23990027

OFFENSE TYPE: MISDEMEANOR - CLASS B

OFFENSE: THEFT OF SERVANT > \$20 <\$500

Record 3 of 15

IDENTIFICATION

Brenda Johnston Fowler

WHITE F

SOURCE: Texas

DOB: 08/24/1957

ALIASES: FOWLER, BRENDA; JOHNSTON, BRENDA

PROFILE INFO

WEIGHT: 240 LBS

HEIGHT: 5 FT 7 IN

EYE COLOR: GREEN

HAIR COLOR: BROWN

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER: Confirm Case at the Courthouse

ARREST AGENCY: TAYLOR COUNTY COURT AT LAW #1

ARREST DATE: 01/05/2006

CITATION NUMBER: 31.04(E)(2)

DISPOSITION DATE: 03/26/2009

Criminal Check Disclaimer

Customers are charged a search for executing a Criminal Check. Criminal Check report returns results which may include all criminal records or no results found on the individual.

In the event of using this service criminal background checks, you should not assume that this data provides a complete or accurate history of any person's criminal history.

You should use extreme caution when interpreting the results of a criminal background search for a type of personal verification.

Positive or false matches in criminal searches may not provide confirmation of an individual's criminal background.

Please reference the updated Intelius User Agreement for additional restrictions regarding the usage of this data.

DISPOSITION:	PROBATION DISCHARGE	ORIGINAL PLEA:	NO CONTEST OR NOLO CONTENDERE
STATE CODE:	TX	OFFENSE ID:	TX_DPS22454893
OFFENSE DATE:	07/21/2005	OFFENSE CODE:	23990027
OFFENSE TYPE:	MISDEMEANOR - CLASS B	OFFENSE:	THEFT OF SERVANT > \$20 <\$500

Record 4 of 15

IDENTIFICATION	PROFILE INFO
Brenda Johnston Fowler	WEIGHT: 240 LBS
WHITE F	HEIGHT: 5 FT 7 IN
SOURCE: Texas	EYE COLOR: GREEN
DOB: 08/24/1957	HAIR COLOR: BROWN
ALIASES: FOWLER, BRENDA; JOHNSTON, BRENDA	

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER:	<u>Confirm Case at the Courthouse</u>		
ARREST AGENCY:	TAYLOR COUNTY COURT AT LAW #1	ARREST DATE:	01/05/2008
CITATION NUMBER:	31.03(E)(2)(All)	DISPOSITION DATE:	01/28/2008
DISPOSITION:	CONVICTED	ORIGINAL PLEA:	NO CONTEST OR NOLO CONTENDERE
STATE CODE:	TX	OFFENSE ID:	TX_DPS22454894
OFFENSE DATE:	07/21/2005	OFFENSE CODE:	23990033
OFFENSE TYPE:	MISDEMEANOR - CLASS B	OFFENSE:	THEFT PROP > \$20 <\$500 BY CHECK

Record 5 of 16

IDENTIFICATION	PROFILE INFO
Brenda Johnston Fowler	WEIGHT: 240 LBS
WHITE F	HEIGHT: 5 FT 7 IN
SOURCE: Texas	EYE COLOR: GREEN
DOB: 08/24/1957	HAIR COLOR: BROWN
ALIASES: FOWLER, BRENDA; JOHNSTON, BRENDA	

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER:	<u>Confirm Case at the Courthouse</u>		
ARREST AGENCY:	TAYLOR COUNTY COURT AT LAW #1	ARREST DATE:	05/02/2007
CITATION NUMBER:	521.457	DISPOSITION DATE:	09/05/2007
DISPOSITION:	CONVICTED - LESSER CHARGE	ORIGINAL PLEA:	NO CONTEST OR NOLO CONTENDERE
STATE CODE:	TX	OFFENSE DATE:	09/08/2006
OFFENSE:	NO DRIVERS LICENSE		

Record 6 of 16

IDENTIFICATION
Brenda Joyce Fowler
WHITE F
SOURCE: South Carolina
DOB: 10/15/1949

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER:	<u>Confirm Case at the Courthouse</u>		
COUNTY CONVICTED:	SPARTANBURG	STATE CODE:	SC
OFFENSE ID:	SC_DOC000886841	OFFENSE:	DISORDERLY CONDUCT

Record 7 of 15

IDENTIFICATION
Brenda Joyce Fowler

WHITE F

SOURCE: South Carolina
DOB: 10/16/1952

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER: Confirm Case at the Courthouse
COUNTY CONVICTED: SPARTANBURG STATE CODE: SC
OFFENSE ID: SC_DOC001123871 OFFENSE: DRUNKENESS-UNKNOWN

Record 8 of 16

IDENTIFICATION

Branda Joyce grimes Fowler
WHITE (INCLUDES MEXICANS) F
SOURCE: Florida
DOB: 10/24/1947

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER: 961142CFD5T - Confirm Case at the Courthouse
COUNTY CHARGED: ESCAMBIA ARREST DATE: 03/12/1998
ORIGINAL PLEA: NOLO-CONTENDERE VERDICT FINDING: ADJUDICATION WITHHELD
STATE CODE: FL OFFENSE ID: FL_AOC13476341
OFFENSE DATE: 03/12/1998 OFFENSE CODE: 08120142C
OFFENSE CLASS: 3RD DEGREE OFFENSE TYPE: FELONY
OFFENSE COUNTY: ESCAMBIA OFFENSE: UNSPECIFIED OFFENSE

Record 9 of 16

IDENTIFICATION

Brandan J Fowler
SOURCE: Alaska
DOB: 08/23/1987

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER: 3AN-04-29179MO - Confirm Case at the Courthouse
COURT NAME: ANCHORAGE COURT CODE: 3AN
CASE TYPE: MINOR OFFENSE DISPOSITION DATE: 02/11/2005
DISPOSITION: J STATE CODE: AK
OFFENSE ID: AK_AOC4840041 OFFENSE CODE: AMC9.18.050(B)
OFFENSE: FAIL TO OBEY STOP SIGN

Record 10 of 16

IDENTIFICATION

Brandan John Fowler
SOURCE: Minnesota
DOB: 08/02/1978

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER: D2005308 - Confirm Case at the Courthouse
COURT NAME: DAKOTA DIST COURT- HASTINGS COURT CODE: MN019015J
ARREST AGENCY: EAGAN PD DISPOSITION DATE: 11/07/2002
DISPOSITION: CONVICTED STATE CODE: MN
OFFENSE ID: MN_DPS688341 OFFENSE CODE: 109A.20.1.1
OFFENSE TYPE: MISDEMEANOR OFFENSE: DRIVING WHILE IMPAIRED-ALCOHOL

Record 11 of 15

IDENTIFICATION

Brandan John Fowler

SOURCE: Minnesota
DOB: 08/02/1976

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER: 02005308 - Confirm Case at the Courthouse
COURT NAME: DAKOTA DIST COURT- HASTINGS COURT CODE: MN019015J
ARRREST AGENCY: EAGAN PD DISPOSITION DATE: 11/07/2002
DISPOSITION: CONVICTED STATE CODE: MN
OFFENSE ID: MN_DPS686342 OFFENSE CODE: 809 50.2.2
OFFENSE TYPE: GROSS NEGLIGENCE OFFENSE: OBSTRUCT LEGAL PROCESS-
FORCE/VIOLENCE OR THREAT OF

Record 12 of 15

IDENTIFICATION

Brenda Joyce Fowler
CA
SOURCE: CA
DOB: 07/27/1950

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER: F91058415-1 - Confirm Case at the Courthouse
COUNTY CHARGED: FRESNO DISTRICT: CENTRAL MUNICIPAL
OFFENSE DATE: 07/03/1991 OFFENSE COUNTY: FRESNO
OFFENSE DESCRIPTION: PC496.1 PC647(B)

Record 13 of 15

IDENTIFICATION

Brenda Joyce Fowler
CA
SOURCE: CA
DOB: 07/27/1950

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER: T95002077-6 - Confirm Case at the Courthouse
COUNTY CHARGED: FRESNO DISTRICT: SELMA MUNICIPAL
OFFENSE DATE: 12/08/1996 OFFENSE COUNTY: FRESNO
OFFENSE DESCRIPTION: VC12500A VC4000A
VC40508A VC405096

Record 14 of 15

IDENTIFICATION

Brenda Joyce Fowler
CA
SOURCE: CA
DOB: 07/27/1950

OFFENSE DETAILS

CASE NUMBER: T95002077-6 - Confirm Case at the Courthouse
COUNTY CHARGED: FRESNO DISTRICT: SELMA MUNICIPAL
OFFENSE DATE: 12/08/1996 OFFENSE COUNTY: FRESNO
OFFENSE DESCRIPTION: VC4462.5

Record 15 of 15

IDENTIFICATION

Brenda Joyce Fowler
CA
SOURCE: CA

DOB: 07/27/1950	
OFFENSE DETAILS	
CASE NUMBER:	F98800746-0 - <u>Confirm Case at the Courthouse</u>
COUNTY CHARGED:	FRESNO DISTRICT: SELMA MUNICIPAL
OFFENSE DATE:	08/27/1988 OFFENSE COUNTY: FRESNO
OFFENSE DESCRIPTION:	HS11360(A) HS11378 HS11378

Federal Criminal Check

FEDERAL CRIMINAL CHECK FOR BRENDA J FOWLER IN THE STATE OF OH

NAME: Brenda J Fowler
 STATE SEARCHED: OH
 RESULT:

We have searched the following for Brenda J Fowler for all available federal courts in the state of OH:

- ✓ Federal Appellate records
- ✓ District Court records

No records were found. Positive or false matches within a criminal search may not provide confirmation of a criminal background.

Federal Criminal Check Disclaimer

Customers are charged a search fee for executing a Criminal Check. The Criminal Check report returns results which may include all criminal records or no results found on the individual.

In the event of using this service for criminal background checks, you should not assume that this data provides a complete or accurate history of any person's criminal history.

You should use extreme caution when interpreting the results of a criminal background search for any type of personal verification.

Positive or false matches in criminal searches may not provide confirmation of an individual's criminal background.

Please reference the updated Intelius User Agreement for additional restrictions regarding the usage of this data.

Business People Search

Record 1 of 8

Profile Info

BRENDA FOWLER

PHONE
(740) 377-0111

Employment History

- Village Floor Covering
 Position: Owner
 Contact info:
 602 4th St E E
 South Point, Oh 45660
 Phone: (740) 377-0111

Record 2 of 9

Profile Info

BRENDA FOWLER

ADDRESS

What is a Business People Search?

This section lists current and historical business people records that share the same name and state as your search subject. The Business People Search can be helpful in providing a consolidated view of matching current and historical records for your subject's name across multiple public and publicly-available record sources. The title, employment history, education and company data may provide useful professional information to help you locate an individual.

802 4th St E
South Point, OH 45660

PHONE
(740) 377-0111

Employment History

- Village Floor Covering
Position:
Owner

Record 3 of 9

Profile Info

BRENDA FOWLER

ADDRESS
802 4th St E E
South Point, OH 45660

PHONE
(740) 377-0111

Employment History

- Village Floor Covering
Position:
Owner

Record 4 of 9

Profile Info

BRENDA FOWLER

ADDRESS
915 Main St
Grafton, OH 44044

PHONE
(440) 926-1505

Employment History

- Country Hearts

Record 5 of 9

Profile Info

BRENDA FOWLER

ADDRESS
35600 Detroit Rd
Avon, OH 44011

PHONE
(440) 937-5544

Employment History

- Country Heirs

Record 6 of 9

Profile Info

BRENDA FOWLER

ADDRESS
2691 E Main St #203
Columbus, OH 43209

Employment History

- Able Billing Svc Inc
Position:
Owner

Record 7 of 9

Profile Info

BRENDA FOWLER

ADDRESS
Canton, OH

Record 8 of 9

Profile Info

BRENDA FOWLER

ADDRESS
Coshodon, OH

Record 9 of 9

Profile Info

BRENDA FOWLER

ADDRESS
Norwalk, OH

[Name](#) | [Phone Number](#) | [Address](#)

Other Lookups: [Area Codes](#) | [Zip Codes](#)

FIND BY NAME:

First Name:

Brenda

Last Name:* Required Field

Fowler

[Search Tips](#)

City or Zip Code:

Lebanon

State:

OH

Q-FIND

Personal identifying information available on YELLOWPAGES.COM is not provided by AT&T and is provided solely by an unaffiliated third party, Intelius, Inc. [Full Disclaimer](#)

B J Fowler

800 Franklin Rd
Lebanon, OH 45036
(513) 228-1960

[Add to Address Book](#) | [Nearby Businesses](#) | [Map](#) | [Driving Directions](#)

[Remove Listing](#)

Find More Information for B J Fowler

[Email and Unlisted Phone Lookup](#)

Find B J Fowler's Email Address & Unlisted Number.

[Get Detailed Background Information](#)

Run a Background Check on B J Fowler

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Relator's Response to Groner, Betty Groner's Objections to Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations of the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline, and Brief in Support Thereof was served this 1 day of August, 2011, via regular U.S. mail upon:

Donald J. Malarcik, Jr. Esq. (0061902)
Gorman, Malarcik, Pierce & Vuillemin
54 E. Mill St., Suite 400
Akron, Ohio 44308
Tel: 330-253-0785
Fax: 330-253-7432
djm@gmpvlaw.com
Relator

Betty Groner (0030130)
3584 Ridgewood Road
Akron, Ohio 44333
Tel: 330-666-7765
Fax: 330-777-0067
bettygroner@mac.com
Groner

William G. Chris (0006593)
Akron Bar Association
57 S. Broadway St.
Akron, OH 44308


ALFRED E. SCHRADER
Relator