

ORIGINAL

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

STATE OF OHIO,

Case No. 2009-1661

Plaintiff-Appellee,

On Appeal from the Ashland County
Court of Appeals, Fifth Appellate District

v.

MAXWELL WHITE

Court of Appeals Case Nos.
07-COA-037, 07-COA-038

Defendant-Appellant

**STATE'S RESPONSE TO APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR NOTICES TO
BE SENT DIRECTLY TO THE APPELLANT**

Attorney Nathan Ray
137 South Main Street, Suite 201
Akron, Ohio 44308

COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT,
MAXWELL WHITE

Attorney Carrie L. Davis
Staff Counsel for the ACLU
The Max Wohl Civil Liberties Center
4506 Chester Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44103-3621


Attorney Michael Benza
Ohio Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers
17850 Geauga Lake Road
Chagrin Falls, Ohio 44023

Ramona Francesconi Rogers
(0031149)
(COUNSEL OF RECORD)
Prosecuting Attorney, Ashland Co.
110 Cottage Street, Third Floor
Ashland, Ohio 44805
(419) 289-8857
Fax No. (419) 281-3865

Paul T. Lange (0078466)
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney

COUNSEL FOR APPELLEE,
STATE OF OHIO

Solicitor General Benjamin Mizer
Ohio Attorney General's Office
30 East Broad Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215



Now comes the State of Ohio in opposition to the Appellant's pro se request for notices to be sent directly to him.

Pursuant to S.Ct. Prac. R. 14.4(A), litigants are required to state with particularity the grounds upon which motions are based. The Appellant has failed to cite any legal authority in support of his request. The Appellant's motion should be denied due to his failure to comply with this Court's rules of practice.

Further, the Appellant is represented by counsel in this case. As set forth in the request, the Appellant's attorneys have provided him with copies of the legal documents filed in this case. The Appellant's attorney cannot be faulted for not responding to such ridiculous questions as does he secretly work for the Ashland County Prosecutor's Office.

Finally, given the fact that the Appellant is represented by counsel, the State cannot be required to send legal documents directly to the Appellant. The State has and will continue to submit its filings to appellate counsel and not to the Appellant directly.

WHEREFORE, the State of Ohio opposes the Appellant's pro se request for notices being sent directly to him.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul T. Lange
(#0078466)

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
Ashland County Prosecutor's Office
110 Cottage Street, Third Floor
Ashland, Ohio 44805
(419) 289-8857
FAX No. (419) 281-3865

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that he served a true and correct copy of the foregoing State's Response to Appellant's Motion for Notice to be Sent Directly to the Appellant upon the following by regular U.S. mail postage prepaid on the 22 day of September, 2011.

1. Attorney Nathan Ray
137 South Main Street, Suite 201
Akron, Ohio 44308
Attorney for the Appellant, Maxwell White
2. Attorney Carrie L. Davis
Staff Counsel for the ACLU
The Max Wohl Civil Liberties Center
4506 Chester Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44103-3621
3. Attorney Michael Benza
Ohio Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers
17850 Geauga Lake Road
Chagrin Falls, Ohio 44023
4. Solicitor General Benjamin Mizer
Ohio Attorney General's Office
30 East Broad Street, 17th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215



Paul T. Lange

(#0078466)

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
Ashland County Prosecutor's Office
110 Cottage Street, Third Floor
Ashland, Ohio 44805
(419) 289-8857
FAX No. (419) 281-3865