ORIGINAL

THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

IN RE:

Judicial Campaign Complaint against

Jeanette Moll (0066786)

SUP. CT CASE NO. 2012-1186

BOARD OFCOMMISSIONERS ON GRIEVANCES & DISCIPLINE CASE NO. 12-045

RESPONDENT'S OBJECTIONS TO PANEL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Jeanette M. Moll
Respondent, Pro Se
P.O. Box 461
803B Market Street
Zanesville, OH 43701
(740) 297-4700

David F. Axelrod, Esq.
Attorney for Petitioner, Lynn Rife
david@axelrodohio.com
Axelrod, Todd & Laliberte LLP
137 East State Street
Columbus, OH 43215

Richard A. Dove
Secretary
Board of Commissioners on Grievance & Discipline
65 South Front Street
5th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215-3431

FILED
JUL 30 2012
CLERK OF COURT
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

RECEIVED
JUL 25 2012
CLERK OF COURT
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Now comes Respondent, Jeanette Moll, *pro se*, and respectfully requests that the five Judge panel overrule the hearing panel's recommendation that Respondent violated the Judicial Canons and dismiss the Complaint.

Respondent states that the Panel findings state "Respondent was put on notice of Rule 4.3 and Opinion 2003-08 by her attendance at a Judicial Candidates Seminar on August 18, 2011."

The testimony in front of the Panel was clear that Opinion 2003-08 was included in a list of advisory opinions at the back of the course material for the Judicial Candidate's seminar Respondent attended. The opinion itself was not included in such materials. Moreover, this is an advisory opinion that states "If a magistrate who is a judicial candidate accurately labels a photograph in a judicial campaign advertisement with a true statement identifying himself or herself as "magistrate" of a particular court, the public will not be misled as to the candidate's qualifications." In the instant case, it was undisputed that each time the picture in the robe was used, Respondent identified herself as a "magistrate" and identified the particular court in which she served.

Moreover, the only testimony offered regarding the Judicial Candidate seminar was Respondent's. When asked "Do you remember the faculty discussing the issue of magistrates

wearing robes during that training." (Transcript p.142, ln 13-15) Respondent answered "I do not. I kept notes from that, and it's nowhere in my notes." (Transcript p. 142, ln 16-17)

Respondent was then asked "Is that the kind of thing that would have caught your attention at that time?" (Transcript p. 142, ln 18-19) She responded "Absolutely." (Transcript p. 142, ln 20)

Thus, Respondent could not have been "put on notice" such that Respondent had "knowledge" that dates of service were required by the foregoing advisory opinion being listed in materials for the Judicial Candidate's Seminar when such opinion is silent as to any need to include dates of service. Neither could Respondent have been "put on notice" by a Seminar that never discussed the use of a picture by a magistrate in a robe.

Despite the foregoing, the hearing panel's decision, perhaps inadvertently, has the effect of changing the essential *mens rea* element of the Canon from the highest possible standard (knowingly) to the lowest possible standard (strict liability). This is beyond their purview as the Ohio Supreme Court, when it adopts the Code of Judicial Conduct, is the only entity that has the authority to change the legal standard to be used in these types of cases. Such a shift to strict liability is found in the hearing panel's finding "that Respondent was put on notice of Rule 4.3 and Opinion 2003-08 by her attendance at a Judicial Candidates Seminar on August 18, 2011 and she is charged with knowledge of both." Every judicial candidate is required to attend the Judicial Candidates Seminar. Thus, the hearing panel's finding that this alone creates "knowledge" then creates a strict liability standard for every judicial candidate in Ohio such that there is no need for the presentation of testimony or evidence as to *mens rea.*

Moreover, as just decided in *In Re: Judicial Campaign Grievance Against William O'Neill*, 7/17/2012 Case Announcements, 2012-Ohio-3223, the very ability of the hearing panel to make a finding based on the "misleading" language of the Canon may have been vitiated. In *O'Neill*, the 13-member panel of Court of Appeals Judges (sitting in place of the Ohio Supreme Court) ruled that the "doctrine against misleading" "is even a greater threat to free speech." The effect appears to be that the First Amendment to the United States Constitution is violated when the judiciary seeks to sanction a former judicial officer who used his or her prior title in campaign literature to identify his or her qualifications. The foregoing decision found that the required use of the term "former" "has a chilling effect on [the candidate's] First Amendment privileges and rights." Respondent's trial counsel advanced a similar First Amendment argument in both his opening and closing statements yet the hearing panel appeared to have overlooked the point.

As in *O'Neill*, the application of Canon 4.3 is overbroad both on its face and as applied to the facts of the instant case and is, additionally, vague. This rule is an unlawful restraint of judicial campaign speech such that it violates the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. "As recently as June 28, 2012, the United States Supreme Court reaffirmed the philosophy that 'content-based restrictions on free speech are presumed invalid." *Id.* at 2 citing *United States v. Alvarez* , ___ S.Ct. __, 2012 WL 2427808, at *6. Moreover, "[t]he *Alvarez* court at *11 recognized that not only must the restriction meet the "compelling interest test," but the restriction must be "actually necessary" to achieve the interest." *Id.* at 3.

O'Neill states "Canon 4.3 in many sections prohibits making false statements which would place it within a very broad interpretation of the *Alvarez* decision." *Id.* at 3.

The *O'Neill* court recognizes that the Respondent in that case was, in fact, a former judge making the disputed usage a true statement. Likewise, in the instant matter, the hearing panel acknowledges that Respondent Moll was, in fact, a Magistrate for the Guernsey County Court of Common Pleas from 1997 to 2007 when it found both the Facebook post and the Canton Repository posts to not be in violation of Canon 4.3.

O'Neill further states, "Undeniably, speech about qualifications for judicial office are "'at the core of our First Amendment freedoms'" and therefore any restrictions are subject to strict scrutiny." *Id.* at 4 (citations omitted). The decision then concludes that the requirement that the Respondent use the term "former" in every reference to his prior service is unconstitutional.

Likewise, here, the Hearing Panel's conclusion that the accidental omission of dates on Complainant's Exhibit 1 or, in the alternative, required use of the term "former" is unconstitutional.

Additionally, all interpretations of the Code of Judicial Conduct must be made with deference to the United States Supreme Court decision in *Republican Party of Minnesota vs. White* 536 U.S. 765, 782 (2002) where the majority declared: "We have never allowed the government to prohibit candidates from communicating relevant information to voters during an election."

Moreover, the facts of the instant case are that every other time the picture in the robe was used, the dates were included. Complainant's Exhibit 1 includes reference to a website to get more information that would take the user to the Facebook page with all the relevant information including dates of service. Thus, the cumulative effect was not misleading. Moreover, the Canons are vague in that there is no written requirement to set forth the dates of service as a judicial officer.

The hearing panel relied upon the testimony of Deborah Feichter that Respondent's testimony that she had stopped using Complainant's Exhibit 1 was not credible. Please note the attached affidavits of both Elisabeth Leonard, the Executive Director of the Stark County Republican Party, and her intern, Garrett Goehring, which evidence the fact that Ms. Feichter falsely stated that there was "a stack" of "6 inches" of Complainant's Exhibit 1 at the Stark County Republican Headquarters on July 5, 2012. (Transcript p. 221, ln 13 and p. 226, ln 8-16) In fact, there never was a 6 inch stack of Exhibit 1 at the headquarters (Exhibits B-D). Further, of a printing of 10,000 total, a 6 inch stack would have represented at least 1,000 or 10% of the total available fliers. The purpose of campaign literature is to distribute it and Respondent testified that on the night of the Primary Election, she believed that she had distributed all of the 10,000 and had none in her possession. It is not credible that months later, 10% of this literature would be sitting collecting dust somewhere.

Moreover, Ms. Feichter's testimony under oath was that she was testifying at the direction of Respondent's opponent Judge Patricia Delaney's staff assistant, Kitty Giacomelli. (Transcript p. 223, ln 14- p. 224, ln 19). This further underscores the concerns of Ms. Feichter's credibility.

This panel should note that the Petitioner, Lynn Rife, stipulated on the record that "she is a personal friend of Judge Delaney, the opponent to Ms. Moll; that she's a political supporter of Judge Delaney; that she's involved in her campaign as a volunteer; and that she communicated with Judge Delaney about this grievance." (Transcript p.288, ln 18-23)

Finally, please note that Respondent has, to date, personally ensured that there are no more of Complainant's Exhibit 1 at the Stark County Republican Headquarters. (Exhibit B). Respondent has also sent certified mail, return receipt requested, to the other 14 county Republican Chairmen in the 5th District requesting that they confirm that they do not have any remaining copies of Exhibit 1. (See Exhibit F) Respondent also contacted the other six organizations where she spoke and used Exhibit 1 to ensure that they do not have any remaining copies of Exhibit 1. (See Exhibit G-L)

Respondent respectfully asserts that the hearing panel's recommendation that she be required to receive written confirmation from third parties is not a reasonable request inasmuch as she has no ability to require or force the compliance of these third parties. Respondent can only be responsible for her own actions and she has personally undertaken all actions requested of her by the hearing panel to ensure that Exhibit 1 is no longer in use.

As noted by the hearing panel Chairman's opening statement, "If the panel dismisses the complaint, the panel may assess costs and attorney fees against complainant if the panel finds that the grievance was frivolous or that it was filed solely for the purpose of obtaining an

advantage for that judicial candidate." (Transcript p. 9, ln 7-12) Here, over half of the original grievance was dismissed by the probable cause panel. Then, the hearing panel dismissed two of three counts prior to closing arguments. The Petitioner, in closing arguments, acknowledged that both the Facebook post and Canton Repository post were not in violation (two-thirds of the remaining Count 1). Thus, of the original grievance, only 10% remains pending. Respondent has expended countless hours and thousands of dollars in attorney fees in this process to have 90% of the allegations dismissed. Moreover, the stipulation of Lynn Rife and the testimony of Deborah Feichter evidence the fact that this action was commenced "solely for the purpose of obtaining an advantage for [a] judicial candidate."

This was confirmed by Attorney Axelrod's closing statement where he stated: "It seems to me [Lynn Rife's] motives are irrelevant; and frankly, in any case we don't apologize. It should be no surprise that someone who takes it upon themselves to file a grievance against a candidate might support someone else. Normally one doesn't file a grievance against a candidate one supports. None of that should be a surprise." (Transcript p. 346, ln 10-17)

Therefore, Respondent respectfully requests that the Complaint against her be dismissed.

Jeanette Moll (0066786)
803 Market Street
Zanesville, OH 43701
740-297-4700
jmollesq@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true copy of the foregoing was duly served upon Attorney David F. Axelrod, Esq at 614-545-6356 by facsimile on July 24, 2012 and Richard A. Dove at 614-387-9379 by facsimile on July 26, 2012.



Jeanette M. Moll (0066786)

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

A. *In Re: Judicial Campaign Grievance Against William M. O'Neill*, 7/17/2012 Case Announcements, 2012-Ohio-3223
B. Affidavit of Jeanette Moll re: Stark Republican Headquarters
C. Affidavit of Elisabeth Leonard, Stark County Republican Party Executive Director
D. Affidavit of Garrett Goehring, Stark County Republican Party Intern
E. Affidavit of Jeanette Moll re: Cease and Desist recommendation
F. Letter to Republican County Chairs
G. E-mail from Zanesville Patriots
H. E-mail to Pat at Rotary
I. E-mail to Stark 912
J. E-mail to and from Westark Republican Women
K. Letter to Sunbury Chamber of Commerce
L. Letter to Votes for Women
M. E-mail from Guernsey County Republican Chair
N. E-mail from Holmes County Republican Chair
O. E-mail from Tusc. County Republican Chair
P. Certified Mail Signed Receipts received to date
Q. E-mail from Morgan County Republican Chair

EXHIBIT
tabbies
A

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

July 17, 2012

[Cite as *07/17/2012 Case Announcements*, 2012-Ohio-3223.]

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

BEFORE THE COMMISSION OF THIRTEEN JUDGES APPOINTED BY THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE COURTS OF APPEALS ASSOCIATION

In Re:	:	Supreme Court Case No. 2012-0418
Judicial Campaign Grievance Against	:	On Appeal from a Decision of the Commission of Five Judges Appointed by the Chief Justice of the Courts of Appeals Association
William M. O'Neill (0024031)	:	
Respondent	:	Commission Case No. SCC 12-001
Carlos M. Crawford	:	
Complainant	:	OPINION

Pursuant to Rule II, Section 6(D)(2) of the Rules for the Government of the Judiciary, the Chief Justice of the Courts of Appeals convened an adjudicatory panel after the filing of a notice of appeal from the sanction imposed by the five-judge commission. Respondent filed a brief on April 23, 2012, and complainant did not file a brief. Respondent was heard at oral argument before the adjudicatory panel on May 21, 2012 and complainant did not appear.

Respondent advanced the following two propositions of law:

I

"THERE IS NOT CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE CLAIM THAT THE RESPONDENT VIOLATED JUD. CONDUCT R. 4.3(C) BY DISTRIBUTING THE CAMPAIGN LITERATURE AT ISSUE."

II

"RULE 4.3(C) OF THE OHIO CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL UNDER THE FIRST AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION IN THAT IT IS OVERBROAD BOTH ON ITS FACE AND AS APPLIED TO THE FACTS OF THIS CASE, AND IN THAT IT IS UNCONSTITUTIONALLY VAGUE AS WELL."

II

We will discuss Proposition of Law II as we find it to be dispositive of this case.

Respondent claims Canon 4.3(C) of the Ohio Code of Judicial Conduct is unconstitutional as the rule is an unlawful restraint of judicial campaign speech and therefore violates the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Respondent claims the rule is overbroad, both on its face and as applied to his case, and is vague. Said rule states the following:

"During the course of any campaign for nomination or election to judicial office, a *judicial candidate*, by means of campaign materials, including sample ballots, advertisements on radio or television or in a newspaper or periodical, electronic communications, a public speech, press release, or otherwise, shall not *knowingly* or with reckless disregard do any of the following:

"(C) Use the title of an office not currently held by a *judicial candidate* in a manner that implies that the *judicial candidate* does currently hold that office."

As recently as June 28, 2012, the United States Supreme Court reaffirmed the philosophy that "content-based restrictions on free speech are presumed invalid":

" '[A]s a general matter, the First Amendment means that government has no power to restrict expression because of its message, its ideas, its subject matter, or its content.' *Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties Union,* 535 U.S. 564, 573, 122 S.Ct. 1700, 152 L.Ed.2d 771 (2002) (internal quotation marks omitted). As a result, the Constitution 'demands that content-based restrictions on speech be presumed invalid ... and that the Government bear the burden of showing their constitutionality.' *Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties Union,* 542 U.S. 656, 660, 124 S.Ct. 2783, 159 L.Ed.2d 690 (2004)." *United States v. Alvarez,* ____ S.Ct. ____, 2012 WL 2427808, at *6.

The *Alvarez* court at *11 recognized that not only must the restriction meet the "compelling interest test," but the restriction must be "actually necessary" to achieve its interest:

"The First Amendment requires that the Government's chosen restriction on the speech at issue be 'actually necessary' to achieve its interest. *Entertainment Merchants Assn.,* 564 U.S., at ——, 131 S.Ct., at 2738. There must be a direct causal link between the restriction imposed and the injury to be prevented. See *ibid.*"

Respondent's challenge centers on whether there exists a compelling interest of the judiciary in the enactment/enforcement of its rules and whether the restriction in Canon 4.3(C) is actually necessary to achieve that interest.

The Code of Judicial Conduct enacted by the Supreme Court of Ohio sets forth in its Preamble [1] and Scope [5] the government's interest and philosophy of the code, respectively:

"An independent, fair, and impartial judiciary is indispensable to our system of justice. The United States legal system is based upon the principle that an independent, impartial, and competent judiciary, composed of men and women of integrity, will interpret and apply the law that governs our society. Thus, the judiciary plays a central role in preserving the principles of justice and the rule of law. Inherent in all the rules contained in this code are the precepts that judges, individually and collectively, must respect and honor the judicial office as a public trust and strive to maintain and enhance confidence in the legal system.

"The rules of the Ohio Code of Judicial Conduct are rules of reason that should be applied consistent with constitutional requirements, statutes, other court rules, and decisional law, and with due regard for all relevant circumstances. The rules should not be interpreted to impinge upon the essential independence of judges in making judicial decisions."

Canon 4.3 in many sections prohibits making false statements which would place it within a very broad interpretation of the *Alvarez* decision. As noted in *Alvarez* at *12, the "remedy for speech that is false is speech that is true." As respondent notes, the hearing panel's decision concedes that respondent is a judge, albeit a retired judge. Respondent argues with this accepted fact, the brochure is not false, but misleading. Although we might agree the brochure is not in toto false but misleading, the challenged rule does not address misleading speech, only the use of a judicial position that the candidate currently does not have.

As stated in Preamble [1] cited supra, the purpose of Canon 4.3 is to ensure judicial independence, fairness, and impartiality, and emphasizes that the United States' legal system is based upon the "integrity" of the participants. This is a clear expression of a compelling governmental interest. Therefore, we will now

review whether the restriction of Canon 4.3(C) as applied to respondent is necessary to achieve this interest.

Undeniably, speech about qualifications for judicial office are " 'at the core of our First Amendment freedoms' " and therefore any restrictions are subject to strict scrutiny. *Republican Party of Minnesota vs. White* (2002), 536 U.S. 765, 774, quoting *Republican Party of Minnesota vs. White* (2001), 247 F.3d. 861, 863. Therefore, the burden is upon the proponents of the rules to demonstrate the restrictions of Canon 4.3(C) do not "unnecessarily circumscrib[e] protected expression." *Brown vs. Hartlage* (1982), 456 U.S. 45, 54.

Canon 4.3(C), as it applies to respondent, places the burden upon respondent of declaring himself to be "a former Court of Appeals Judge" each and every time he uses the title "judge" during his campaign. In the brochure in question, respondent identifies himself as a "former" judge only once and states that he has served by invitation on the Supreme Court of Ohio. Both statements are true and do not violate Canon 4.3(C). Seven other times in the same brochure, respondent identifies himself as "Judge O'Neill."

Although it is arguable that respondent's brochure may mislead an observer, we find a "doctrine against misleading" is even a greater threat to free speech.

Undisputedly, in common conversation, a retired former judge is called "Judge." Furthermore, as a voluntarily retired judge not engaged in the practice of law, respondent remains eligible for assignment to active duty as a judge. Ohio Constitution, Article IV, Section 6(C). To prohibit respondent from speech wherein the disclaimer of "former judge" is prominent in the advertisement has a chilling effect on his First Amendment privileges and rights.

We conclude Canon 4.3(C) as it applies to respondent under the facts in this case is unconstitutional.

Proposition of Law II is granted as to "as applied" to the facts of this case and not "on its face." Proposition of Law I is moot.

The finding and order of the five-judge commission is reversed.

s/ Sheila G. Farmer
Hon. Sheila G. Farmer
Chief Justice of the Courts of Appeals

Judge Thomas J. Grady
Second District Court of Appeals

Judge Arlene Singer
Sixth District Court of Appeals

Judge Cheryl L. Waite
Seventh District Court of Appeals

Judge Patricia A. Blackmon
Eighth District Court of Appeals

Judge Susan Brown
Tenth District Court of Appeals

Judge Timothy P. Cannon
Eleventh District Court of Appeals

Powell, Abele, Preston, Delaney, Fischer, and Whitmore, JJ., dissenting,

We respectfully dissent from the majority's opinion that Canon 4.3(C) is unconstitutional as applied to respondent. Based upon the following facts, we would find respondent has not perfected his right to challenge the constitutionality of the canon in this thirteenth hour.

Upon reviewing the transcript from the hearing panel, we do not find a challenge to the constitutionality of Canon 4.3(C). There appears to have been a passing reference to the nature of the potential sanction, but when specifically questioned by Judge Elwood, respondent's counsel declined to argue the constitutionality of the canon:

"JUDGE ELLWOOD: You are not arguing or alleging that rule 4.3(C) is unconstitutional, are you?

"MR. QUINN: I'm alleging that it could well be as applied in this case. That's the -

"JUDGE ELLWOOD: All right.

"MR. QUINN: That's the issue. And I don't want to dwell on this point a great deal, but I do think it's an important point that - -" February 22, 2012 T. at 54-55.

The possibility that a cease and desist order may be the sanction is referenced in Gov.Jud.R. II(6)(C)(2) as follows in pertinent part:

"If the commission concludes the record supports the hearing panel's finding that a violation of Canon 4 has occurred and there has been no abuse of discretion by the hearing panel, the commission may enter an order that includes one or more of the sanctions set forth in Section 5(D)(1) of this rule."

Gov.Jud.R. II(5)(D)(1)(b) specifically states the five-judge commission may enter an order "enforceable by contempt of court that the respondent cease and desist from engaging in the conduct that was found to be in violation of Canon 4."

The five-judge commission concluded there was no need for a hearing, and respondent made no attempt to challenge the constitutionality of any possible sanction.

In its determination and final order on review, the five-judge commission noted the following:

"Under Rule II Section 6(C)(2) of the Ohio Rules for Government of the Judiciary, this Commission 'may make its determination from the report of the

hearing panel, permit or require the filing of briefs, conduct oral argument, or order the hearing panel to take additional evidence.' There are no factual disputes in this matter, and the Commission, in its discretion, has determined that it will make its decision from the report of the Hearing Panel and the record of the hearing that took place before that panel."

The five-judge commission did not address the constitutionality of the Gov.Jud.R. II(5)(D)(1)(b) sanction or the recommendation of the hearing panel.

Respondent failed to challenge the constitutionality of the statute to the hearing panel and the five-judge commission. As stated by the Supreme Court of Ohio in *State v. Awan* (1986), 22 Ohio St.3d 120, syllabus:

" 'Failure to raise at the trial court level the issue of the constitutionality of a statute or its application, which issue is apparent at the time of trial, constitutes a waiver of such issue and a deviation from this state's orderly procedure, and therefore need not be heard for the first time on appeal.' "

Based upon these facts, we would find a constitutional challenge has not been perfected.

Gov.Jud.R. II(6) provides for a three-tiered examination regarding an alleged violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct (probable cause panel, hearing panel, and commission panel).

In its determination and final order on review, the five-judge commission noted the scope of its review as follows:

"Rule II section 6(C)(2) provides for this Commission to apply a two-part standard of review to the determination and recommendation of the Hearing Panel. First, it is to determine whether the Hearing Panel's finding of a violation is supported by the record, and, second, it is to determine whether the Hearing Panel abused its discretion."

Given the nature of the review before the five-judge commission and the language of Gov.Jud.R. II(6)(D), we would conclude our review is limited to the appropriateness of the sanction.

We note a cease and desist order was the minimum that could have been imposed. It is the only sanction that could reasonably be expected to curtail subsequent violations and stop further violations of Canon 4.3. A monetary sanction would not have insured that similar statements would not have occurred again during the course of the campaign.

We therefore would find no error in the issuance of a cease and desist order as the sanction in this case.

We would deny Propositions of Law I and II and affirm the finding and order of the five-judge commission.

Judge Stephen W. Powell
Twelfth District Court of Appeals

Judge Peter B. Abele
Fourth District Court of Appeals

Judge Vernon L. Preston
Third District Court of Appeals

Judge Patricia A. Delaney
Fifth District Court of Appeals

Judge Patrick F. Fischer
First District Court of Appeals

Judge Beth Whitmore
Ninth District Court of Appeals



BEFORE THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
ON GRIEVANCES AND DISCIPLINEOF
THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

IN RE:	:	CASE NO. 12-045
Judicial Campaign Complaint against	:	
Jeanette Moll (0066786)	:	
	:	AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF OHIO
COUNTY OF MUSKINGUM, ss:

Jeanette Moll, being duly cautioned and sworn, states the following:

1. Based upon testimony given on July 6, 2012, I personally went to the Stark County Republican Headquarters on July 7, 2012.

2. I went through the Headquarters with the Executive Director, Elisa Leonard, to ensure there were no remaining pieces of the small blue literature I had used at the start of my campaign.

3. There were two large stacks of my new literature with photos of me as an attorney located on the tables at the entrance of the headquarters.

4. There were no pieces of the small blue literature on display or available at the Stark County Headquarters on July 7, 2012, less than 24 hours after the hearing in this matter.

5. At no time did the Stark County Republican Headquarters have a 6 inch stack of such literature. A 6 inch stack would have been 1,000 fliers or 10% of the total printing of 10,000.

6. At no time would 1,000 of Exhibit 1 have been sitting somewhere collecting dust. I intended to distribute this literature in the Primary and testified that the night before the Primary I believed that I had distributed all 10,000 pieces.

7. I had previously spoken with and confirmed with the Executive Director that all the small blue pieces of literature were no longer used and the remaining pieces were destroyed.

8. I state that the Stark County Republican Headquarters does not have any remaining copies of the small blue literature and that all copies have been destroyed. I further state that the only piece of literature being used for my campaign by the Stark County Republican Party is the new piece with photos of me as an attorney.

Jeanette Moll

Sworn to before me this 18th day of July, 2012.

Beth Mautz
Notary Public

NOTARIAL SEAL STATE OF OHIO

BETH MAUTZ
Notary Public, State of Ohio
My Commission Expires
September 18, 2016



BEFORE THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
ON GRIEVANCES AND DISCIPLINEOF
THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

IN RE:	:	CASE NO. 12-045
Judicial Campaign Complaint against	:	
Jeanette Moll (0066786)	:	
	:	AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF OHIO
COUNTY OF STARK, ss:

Elisabeth Leonard, being duly cautioned and sworn, states the following:

1. I am the Executive Director at the Stark County Republican Party and I am charged with running the Stark County Republican Headquarters.

2. I am aware of the small blue piece of literature that Jeanette Moll initially used in her campaign.

3. The Stark County Republican Headquarters had a few of the small blue pieces of literature that were left over from the Votes from Women dinner and one other event at one time.

4. At no time did the Stark County Republican Headquarters have a 6 inch stack of such literature.

5. The most pieces the Headquarters would have ever had at one time would have been less than 50 or less than an inch.

6. On or about mid-June, Jeanette Moll asked me to be sure that all the small blue pieces of literature were no longer used and to destroy the remaining pieces.

7. I disposed of the few small blue literature pieces I located at that time.

8. On July 5, 2012, there were no small blue pieces of literature on display at the Stark County Republican Headquarters. There were two large stacks of Jeanette Moll's new literature with her photos as an attorney available on the tables at the entrance of the headquarters.

9. I have no personal knowledge of any pieces of the small blue literature being given to anyone on July 5, 2012 and I was at the Headquarters throughout the day.

10. I state that neither I nor the Stark County Republican Headquarters has any remaining copies of the small blue literature and that all copies have been destroyed. I further state that the only piece of literature being used for Jeanette Moll's campaign by the Stark County Republican Party is the new piece with photos of her as an attorney.

11. I further state that on July 7, 2012, Jeanette Moll and I ensured that there were no remaining pieces of the small blue literature at the Stark County Republican Headquarters.

Elisabeth Leonard

Elisabeth Leonard

Sworn to before me this 19th day of July, 2012.

David J. Dobo, Esq.

Notary Public

NOTARIAL SEAL STATE OF OHIO

DAVID J. DOBO
Notary Public, State of Ohio
My Commission Has No Expiration
Recorded In Summit County

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
ON GRIEVANCES AND DISCIPLINEOF
THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

IN RE:	:	CASE NO. 12-045
Judicial Campaign Complaint against	:	
Jeanette Moll (0066786)	:	
	:	AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF OHIO
COUNTY OF STARK, ss:

Garrett Goehring, being duly cautioned and sworn, states the following:

1. I am the Intern to the Executive Director at the Stark County Republican Party

2. I am aware of the small blue piece of literature that Jeanette Moll initially used in her campaign.

3. I know that on or about mid-June, Jeanette Moll asked the Executive Director to be sure that all the small blue pieces of literature were no longer used and to destroy the remaining pieces.

4. I know that the few small blue literature pieces located at that time were destroyed.

5. On July 5, 2012, there were no small blue pieces of literature on display at the Stark County Republican Headquarters. There were two large stacks of Jeanette Moll's new literature with her photos as an attorney available on the tables at the entrance of the headquarters.

6. I have no personal knowledge of any pieces of the small blue literature being given to anyone on July 5, 2012 and I was at the Headquarters throughout the day.

7. I state that neither I nor the Stark County Republican Headquarters has any remaining copies of the small blue literature and that all copies have been destroyed. I further state that the only piece of literature being used for Jeanette Moll's campaign at the Stark County Republican Headquarters is the new piece with photos of her as an attorney.

Garrett Goehring

Sworn to before me this 20th day of July, 2012.

Notary Public

DAVID J. DOBO
Notary Public, State of Ohio
My Commission Has No Expiration
Recorded In Summit County



BEFORE THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
ON GRIEVANCES AND DISCIPLINEOF
THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

IN RE:	:	CASE NO. 12-045
Judicial Campaign Complaint against	:	
Jeanette Moll (0066786)	:	
	:	AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF OHIO
COUNTY OF MUSKINGUM, ss:

Jeanette Moll, being duly cautioned and sworn, states the following:

1. I have sent certified letters with return receipt requested to the Republican County chairs in 14 of the 15 counties in the Fifth District Court of Appeals requesting written confirmation that they do not have the small blue piece of literature (See Exhibit F - letter of July 17, 2012 and attached receipts).

2. I personally went to the Stark County Republican Headquarters on July 7, 2012 and ensured there were no small blue pieces of literature (See Exhibits B and C).

3. I have personally contacted the 6 other groups where I spoke and the small blue literature was used via e-mail and/or certified mail (See Exhibits G – L).

4. I have included in the foregoing all written confirmations I have received to date relating to the small blue piece of literature.

Jeanette Moll

Sworn to before me this 18th day of July, 2012.

Beth Mautz
Notary Public

BETH MAUTZ
Notary Public, State of Ohio
My Commission Expires
September 18, 2016

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
MILLERSBURG OH 44654

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

Sent To
Rob Hovis
Holmes County Rep. Chair
Street, or PO Box
P.O. Box 471
City, State
Millersburg, OH 44654

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

7011 0470 0000 6832 0096

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
MOUNT VERNON OH 43050

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

Sent To
Chip McConville
Knox County Rep. Chair
Street, or PO Box
207 Coshocton Ave.
City, State
Mt. Vernon, OH 43050

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
MCCONNELSVILLE OH 43756

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

Sent To
Dave Hooper
Morgan County Rep. Chair
Street, or PO Box
2587 E. Hoopdale Road
City, State
McConnelsville, OH 43756

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

7011 0470 0000 6832 0072

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
MOUNT GILEAD OH 43338

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

Sent To
Dan Osborne
Morrow County Rep. Chair
Street, or PO Box
5557 County Road 30
City, State
Mt. Gilead, OH 43338

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
ZANESVILLE OH 43701

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

Sent To
Pat Hennessey
Musk. County Rep. Chair
Street, or PO Box
2812 Center Dr.
City, State
Zanesville, OH 43701

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

7011 1570 0000 3587 2477

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
MANSFIELD OH 44901

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

Sent To
Mike Casto
Richland County REP CHAIR
Street, or PO Box
P.O. Box 1770
City, State
Mansfield, OH 44901

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
WEST LAFAYETTE OH 43845
OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

7011 0470 0000 6832 0157

Steve Hall
Coshocton County Rep. Chair
57374 County Road 9
West Lafayette, OH 43845

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
ASHLAND OH 44805
OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

7011 0470 0000 6832 0164

Keith Bales
C/O Bales Insurance
Ashland County Rep. Chair
P.O. Box 403
Ashland, OH 44805

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
DELAWARE OH 43015
OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

7011 0470 0000 6832 0171

Bob Mann
Delaware County Chair
5542 Columbus Pike
Unit B
Delaware, OH 43015

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
NEWARK OH 43055
OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

7011 0470 0000 6832 0140

Billie Fiore
Licking County Rep. Chair
48 N. 4th Street
Newark, OH 43055

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
LANCASTER OH 43130
OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total Post	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

7011 0470 0000 6832 0119

Kyle Farmer
Fairfield County Rep. Chair
118 E. Main St.
Lancaster, OH 43130

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
CAMBRIDGE OH 43725
OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total Pc	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

7011 0470 0000 6832 0140

Dave Bennett
Guernsey County Rep. Chair
803 Steubenville Ave.
Cambridge, OH 43725

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
NEW STRAITSVILLE OH 43766

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total Postage & Fees	$ $5.75	07/19/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 19 2012 Postmark Here USPS

Sent To Charles Wood, Perry Cty Rep. Chair
Street, Apt. No.; or PO Box No. 6340 Township Rd. 131
City, State, ZIP+4 New Straitsville, OH 43766

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

7011 1570 0000 3597 2507

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
DOVER OH 44622

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

Sent To Doug Wills
Street, or PO Tusc. County Chair
City, St 203 S. Wooster Ave.
Dover, OH 44622

PS Form ... Instructions

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
SUNBURY OH 43074

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total F	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

Sent To Cindy Hall
Street, or PO B Sunbury Chamber of Commerce
City, St 45 S. Columbus St.
P.O. Box 451
Sunbury, OH 43074

PS Form ... Instructions

7011 1570 0000 3597 2491

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com®
BLOOMVILLE OH 44818

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $0.45	0302
Certified Fee	$2.95	09
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$2.35	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00	
Total	$5.75	07/18/2012

ZANESVILLE OH 43701 JUL 18 2012 Postmark Here USPS

Sent To Jan Kiko Kishman
Street, or PO Pres. of Votes for Women
City, St 2727 Fulton Dr. N.W.
Canton, OH 44818-3505

PS Form 3800, August 2006 ... Instructions

EXHIBIT
F

Moll for Judge
803B Market Street
Zanesville, OH 43701
jmollesq@gmail.com
740-408-0431

Sent Certified Mail 7/18

July 17, 2012

Dear Chairman:

I am writing to ask for your assistance with two important matters.

First, I am writing to ensure that your county Republican Party is no longer using the small blue literature that I used in the beginning of my campaign. This is the piece of literature I would have used at your Lincoln Day dinner and/or meetings on or before March.

If you or your party's headquarters has any remaining pieces of this literature, please either destroy it or return it to me at the above address. I would also ask that you send me written confirmation that either your party has none of this literature or that you have returned it to me. You can send such confirmation via regular mail or the e-mail address provided above.

Additionally, enclosed you will find the new literature that my campaign is using. Please let me know how best to get this literature to you for use at your headquarters and/or fair booth.

I look forward to continuing to work together towards November's election.

Thank you in advance for your assistance with this matter.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Moll
Candidate for Judge
5th District Court of Appeals

Paid for by the Committee to Elect Moll for Judge, Gary Moll, Treasurer, 803B Market Street, Zanesville, OH 43701

Jeanette Moll



campaign literature

ZANESVILLE PATRIOTS

KAY CLYMER <kaclymer@att.net> Tue, Jul 17, 2012 at 1:39 PM
Reply-To: KAY CLYMER <kaclymer@att.net>
To: Jeanette Moll <jmollesq@gmail.com>

Jeanette,
The Zanesville Patriots had your blue, small, rectangular campaign literature that we passed out to those interested, were available when we were in the 5th District, and that we placed on the tables when we went to your speaking engagements. We knew that your cost had been a factor, that you had a limited number, and that those remaining on tables would be in the trash, therefore, we collected your campaign literature to return to you for another event.
I, as Zanesville Patriots' organizer and president, had a remaining 100+ and I returned them to you. I returned all that remained when attending speaking events with you.
Sincerely,
Kay Clymer
Zanesville Patriots organizer and president

EXHIBIT
H

Jean[redacted]ail.com>

Campaign help

ROTARY

Jeanette Moll <jmollesq@gmail.com>
To: Pat Fe[redacted] <patfe[redacted]@yahoo.com>

Tue, Jul 17, 2012 at 4:48 PM

Pat -

My campaign is no longer using the small blue flyers that you distributed at the Rotary meeting in March. Can you please confirm that you no longer have any pieces of that literature?

I can provide you with my new piece, if you like.

Hope all is well with you.

Jeanette

Jeanette Moll



Lit

STARK 912

Jeanette Moll <jmollesq@gmail.com> Wed, Jul 18, 2012 at 10:25 AM
To: RS[redacted]@aol.com

Ralph -

Could you please confirm in writing that neither you nor the Stark912 group have any remaining pieces of the small blue literature that I used at your January meeting in your possession at this time or that any you did have has been destroyed?

Thank you for your assistance with this.

If you or anyone else would like pieces of my new literature, I'd be happy to get it to you.

I appreciate your help and support.

Jeanette Moll
Candidate for Judge
5th District court of Appeals

Jeanette Moll <



Re: Westark Republican Women

Jeanette Moll <jmollesq@gmail.com> Tue, Jul 17, 2012 at 9:00 PM
To: Donise Pick <dp[redacted]@gmail.com>

Donise -

If you recall, I had a representative speak to you group in early March. At that time I was using a small blue piece of literature. I am no longer using that piece.

Could you please confirm that you do not currently have any of that piece left?

Also, I'd be happy to attend a meeting and share my new literature prior to the November election.

Thanks!

Jeanette Moll
Candidate for Judge
5th District Court of Appeals

Jeanette Moll



Re: Westark Republican Women

Donise Pick <dp[redacted]@gmail.com> Wed, Jul 18, 2012 at 3:52 PM
To: Jeanette Moll <jmollesq@gmail.com>

We do not have any of the literature left that you mentioned. We would have time to have you attend our meeting in October or November. Let me know when would be a good tome for you.

[Quoted text hidden]

EXHIBIT
K

Moll for Judge
803B Market Street
Zanesville, OH 43701
jmollesq@gmail.com
740-408-0431

Sent Certified Mail 7/18

July 17, 2012

Cindy Hall
Sunbury Chamber of Commerce
45 S. Columbus Street
P.O. Box 451
Sunbury, OH 43074

Dear Cindy:

I am writing to ask for your assistance with two important matters.

First, I am writing to ensure that the Sunbury Chamber of Commerce is no longer using the small blue literature that I used in the beginning of my campaign. This is the piece of literature I would have used at your candidate night in late February or early March.

If you or your organization has any remaining pieces of this literature, please either destroy it or return it to me at the above address. I would also ask that you send me written confirmation that either your organization has none of this literature or that you have returned it to me. You can send such confirmation via regular mail or the e-mail address provided above.

Additionally, enclosed you will find the new literature that my campaign is using. Please let me know if you would like more copies of this piece.

I look forward to continuing to work together towards November's election. Thank you in advance for your assistance with this matter.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Moll
Candidate for Judge
5th District Court of Appeals

Paid for by the Committee to Elect Moll for Judge, Gary Moll, Treasurer, 803B Market Street, Zanesville, OH 43701

Moll for Judge
803B Market Street
Zanesville, OH 43701
jmollesq@gmail.com
740-408-0431

Sent Certified Mail 7/18

July 17, 2012

Jan Kiko Kishman
President
Votes for Women
2727 Fulton Drive N.W.
Canton, OH 44818-3505

Dear Jan:

I am writing to ask for your assistance with two important matters.

First, I am writing to ensure that Votes for Women is no longer using the small blue literature that I used in the beginning of my campaign. This is the piece of literature I would have used at your dinner on or before March.

If you or your organization has any remaining pieces of this literature, please either destroy it or return it to me at the above address. I would also ask that you send me written confirmation that either your organization has none of this literature or that you have returned it to me. You can send such confirmation via regular mail or the e-mail address provided above.

Additionally, enclosed you will find the new literature that my campaign is using. Please let me know if you would like more copies of this piece.

I look forward to continuing to work together towards November's election.

Thank you in advance for your assistance with this matter.

Sincerely,

Jeanette

Jeanette Moll
Candidate for Judge
5th District Court of Appeals

Paid for by the Committee to Elect Moll for Judge, Gary Moll, Treasurer, 803B Market Street, Zanesville, OH 43701

Jeanette Moll



(no subject)

Dave Bennett <dbennett@knowltonbennett.com> Thu, Jul 19, 2012 at 11:18 AM
To: Jeanette Moll <jmollesq@gmail.com>

Jeanette,

I received your letter today. I do not believe that we have any leftover literature from the Spring. We have rented space for a headquarters next to the Hallmark store in downtown Cambridge. The fair is the week of September 9th. If you want to send the literature to me at my office I will see that it gets to the HQ and the fair.

By the way, the Summer picnic is going to be September 5th from 5:30 to 8:00 at the large pavilion.

Best of luck.

David Bennett

Chairman

Guernsey County Republican Executive Committee

126 North 9th Street

Cambridge, Ohio 43725

740-439-2719

740-680-1213

Jeanette Moll <jm

EXHIBIT N

Campaign literature

1 message

Robin C. Hovis <robhovis@embarqmail.com> Fri, Jul 20, 2012 at 6:26 PM
To: jmollesq@gmail.com

Jeanette:

I received your letter advising of the switch to newer campaign literature. I am not aware that we have any surplus of the previous pieces, but if we do we will send them to recycling, per your request.

Good luck with your campaign!

-Rob

Robin C. Hovis, Chairman

Holmes County Republican Party

cell: 330-231-4826

home: 330-674-3763

office: 330-674-5000

robhovis@embarqmail.com

Jeanette Moll <



Literature

Tusc.

republicanheadquarters <republicanheadquarters@frontier.com> Fri, Jul 20, 2012 at 4:24 PM
Reply-To: republicanheadquarters <republicanheadquarters@frontier.com>
To: "jmollesq@gmail.com" <jmollesq@gmail.com>
Cc: "dwills@tusco.net" <dwills@tusco.net>

Dear Jeanette,

Per Doug, we checked and have none. Best of luck with your campaign!

Sincerely,

Steph Jenkins

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Kyle Farmer
Fairfield County Rep. Chair
118 E. Main St.
Lancaster, OH 43130

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X [signature] ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name): Gregory J Allison
C. Date of Delivery: 7/19

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☒ No

3. Service Type
☒ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label): 7011 0470 0000 6832 0126

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

EXHIBIT
tabbies
P

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Cindy Hall
Sunbury Chamber of Commerce
45 S. Columbus St.
P.O. Box 451
Sunbury, OH 43074

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X [signature] ☒ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name): Cindy Hall
C. Date of Delivery: 7-19-12

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
☒ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label): 7011 1570 0000 3597 2484

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Dave Hooper
Morgan County Rep. Chair
2587 E. Hoopdale Road
McConnelsville, OH 43756

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X [signature] ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name): Susan Hooper
C. Date of Delivery: 7/19/12

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No
2587 Hooppole Ridge Rd

3. Service Type
☒ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label): 7011 0470 0000 6832 0089

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Charles Weed
Perry Cty Rep. Chair
6340 Township Rd. 131
New Straitsville, OH 43766

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X Patricia Weed ☒ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) | C. Date of Delivery
7/20/12

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
☒ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 0470 0000 6831 9670

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Chip McConville
Knox County Rep. Chair
207 Coshocton Ave.
Mt. Vernon, OH 43050

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X [illegible] ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) | C. Date of Delivery
Chip McConville | 7-20

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
☒ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 0470 0000 6832 0096

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Dave Bennett
Guernsey County Rep. Chair
803 Steubenville Ave.
Cambridge, OH 43725

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X M. Gordon ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) | C. Date of Delivery
M. Gordon | 7/19/12

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☒ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No
126 N 9th St
Cambridge OH 43725

3. Service Type
☒ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 0470 0000 6832 0119

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Steve Hall
Coshocton County Rep. Chair
57374 County Road 9
West Lafayette, OH 43845

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X [signature] ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) [signature] C. Date of Delivery 7 20 12

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☑ No

3. Service Type
☑ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 0470 0000 6832 0157

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Doug Wills
Tusc. County Chair
203 S. Wooster Ave.
Dover, OH 44622

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X [signature] ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) Stephanie A. Jenkins C. Date of Delivery 7-20-12

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
☑ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 1570 0000 3597 2507

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Dan Osborne
Morrow County Rep. Chair
5557 County Road 30
Mt. Gilead, OH 43338

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X Dan Osborne ☐ Agent ☑ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) DAN OSBORNE
C. Date of Delivery 7/20/12

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
☒ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 0470 0000 6832 0072

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Jan Kiko Kishman
Pres. of Votes for Women
2727 Fulton Dr. N.W.
Canton, OH 44818-3505

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X Shirley Jones ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) SHIRLEY JONES
C. Date of Delivery 7.20.12

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
☒ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 1570 0000 3597 2491

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Rob Hovis
Holmes County Rep. Chair
P.O. Box 471
Millersburg, OH 44654

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
X Neil Weaver ☐ Agent ☐ Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name)
C. Date of Delivery 7/20

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? ☐ Yes
If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No

3. Service Type
☒ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail
☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise
☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) ☐ Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 0470 0000 6832 0102

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

Jeanette Moll

Literature matter

Misti- School <mc-clemensm@[redacted].org> Sun, Jul 22, 2012 at 1:13 PM
To: "jmollesq@gmail.com" <jmollesq@gmail.com>

Dear Jeanette:

We have taken care of the literature matter. We did not have any left to send back or destroy.

Thanks,
Dave Hooper
Morgan County Republican Chair

Sent from my iPad