

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

OHIO DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL  
*Relator*

Case No. 2012-1181

VS.

JOHN P. ANTONY  
*Respondent*

RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

John P. Antony, Esq. Pro Se, Respondent  
Ohio No. 0043520  
1 Katherine Court  
Highland Hts., KY 41076  
859-992-7860  
[Jantony27@yahoo.com](mailto:Jantony27@yahoo.com)


Philip King, Esq.  
Ohio Disciplinary Counsel, Relator  
250 Civic Center Dr. Suite 325  
Columbus, OH 43215  
614-461-0256  
[P.King@sc.ohio.gov](mailto:P.King@sc.ohio.gov)

FILED  
SEP 14 2012  
CLERK OF COURT  
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Certificate of Service

This will certify that a copy of the foregoing was sent via ordinary mail to Philip King,  
Esq. for the Ohio Disciplinary Counsel at 250 Civic Center Dr. Suite 325 Columbus, Ohio 4315  
this 12<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2012.

RECEIVED  
SEP 14 2012  
CLERK OF COURT  
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

  
John Antony

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

OHIO DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL  
*Relator*

Case No. 2012-1181

VS.

JOHN P. ANTONY  
*Respondent*

---

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

---

Comes now Respondent John Peter Antony, and requests this honorable court grant the following Motion for Reconsideration pursuant to Ohio Supreme Court Rule of Procedure 11.2.


1. Respondent requests this court reconsider its order of Sept 6, 2012 granting reciprocity to the Florida Supreme Court's Order instituting a 90 day suspension against Respondent.
2. Respondent has severe financial difficulty. His house is in foreclosure. (See Exhibit A). He drives a vehicle with 270,000 miles on it. He has a severe sleep apnea which slows him down and combined with his previous heart condition may be life-threatening.
3. Respondent sent in a timely fax response to the Show Cause Order, which was rejected by the Clerk.
4. The Supreme Court Rules only state that certain documents "may" be faxed. "May" is usually a permissive term and not one of limitation, pursuant to the Rules of Construction. Ohio Supreme Court Rule of Procedure 14.1.

5. Respondent asked the Clerk's Office twice if faxes were accepted, as the rules were not clear. He was told without limitation that they were. He specifically asked if it was permissible to fax his response to the Show Cause Order.
6. Respondent had faxed a previous motion with no problem.
7. It is Respondent's own fault that his response was faxed on the last day permissible. However due to his lack of resources, his poor health, and his diligent efforts at following the rules (which he was unfamiliar with) and his detrimental reliance on verbal assurances that he was able to fax documents, Respondent contends that his actions were reasonable or were at least excusable neglect.
8. Respondent has a meritorious claim.
9. The Florida Bar originally offered a "no-discipline" cease and desist affidavit, which precedes and supersedes any subsequent agreement. Any subsequent agreement failed due to lack of new consideration.
10. It is against the fair administration of justice for the Florida Bar to require such a severe settlement after the previous settlement was attempted to be accepted absent just cause. It was well known that Respondent "didn't have the money to defend himself."
11. It is without question that accusations levied by opposing counsel and local counsel were motivated by bias and as a trial strategy against an out-of-state attorney. No clients were harmed by any of Respondent's actions. Any accusations in fact demonstrate that Respondent was going to great lengths for the clients.
12. Had Respondent's health been better, and had he financial resources, he contends (and his lawyer thoroughly agreed) that he could not have lost a hearing in Florida

conducted by an impartial tribunal. Additionally, Respondent requests that this court remove the requirement that Respondent be re-admitted in Florida, as he was never licensed there and was admitted Pro Hac Vice.

13. Respondent therefore asks this honorable court that he be granted a hearing before this court to support his defense or in the alternative that under the unique circumstances of this case that he be at least granted a stayed suspension or a lesser and more appropriate sanction.
14. Respondent has already incurred over \$10,000 in defending the oral accusations made in Florida. To make Respondent and his son risk losing their home would simply be a travesty and a miscarriage of justice, especially considering the type and manner of this case.


With great respect,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "John Antony". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line underneath the name.

John Antony, Esq.  
Pro Se  
Ohio #0043520  
1 Katherine Ct.  
Highland Heights  
KY 41076  
(859)992-7860  
Jantony27@yahoo.com

Certificate of Service

This will certify that a copy of the foregoing was sent via ordinary U.S. Mail to Philip King, Esq., Office of Disciplinary Counsel, 250 Civic Center Drive, Suite 325 Columbus, Ohio 43215-7411 this 12<sup>th</sup> day of Sept. 2012.

  
John Antony

H

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
CAMPBELL COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| AOC-105<br>Rev.1-07<br>Commonwealth of Kentucky<br>Court of Justice<br>CR 4.02; CR Official Form 1 | <br><b>CIVIL SUMMONS</b> | Case #: <u>12CI-00940</u><br>Circuit Court<br>County: Campbell |
|--|---|--|

#2

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., as Trustee for the POOLING AND SERVICING AGREEMENT Dated as of November 1, 2004 Asset-Backed Pass-Through Certificates Series 2004-WHQ2, c/o The Boyd Law Group, L.C., 300 St. Peters Centre Blvd., Ste. 230, Saint Peters, MO 63376

Plaintiff,

vs.

John P. Antony  
Serve at:  
1 Katherine Court  
Highland Heights, KY 41076

Defendant.

TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT:

You are hereby notified that a legal action has been filed against you in this Court demanding relief as shown on the document delivered to you with this Summons. Unless a written defense is made by you or by an attorney on your behalf within 20 days following the day the paper is delivered to you, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the attached Complaint

The name(s) and address(es) of the party or parties demanding relief against you are shown on the document delivered to you with this summons.

Date: 7-16, 2012

TAUNYA NOLAN JACK Clerk

By: [Signature] D.C.

**Proof of Service**

This Summons was served by delivering a true copy and the Complaint (or other initiating document) to:

John P. Antony  
this 24 day of July, 2012. Served By: [Signature]  
Title: [Signature]

REC'D 0650 1344 12/07/24