

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

STATE OF OHIO,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

vs.

Leotis m. Daggett

Defendant-Appellant.

Case No. **13-0562**

On Appeal from the Richland
County Court of Appeals
Fifth Appellate District

C.A. Case No. 12 CA 99

NOTICE OF APPEAL OF APPELLANT Leotis m. Daggett

Leotis m. Daggett (602.994)
NAME AND NUMBER

Richland Correctional Inst.
INSTITUTION

P.O. Box 8107
ADDRESS

Mansfield, oh 44901
CITY, STATE & ZIP

N/A
PHONE

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT, PRO SE

James J. mayer
PROSECUTOR NAME

38 S. Park St. 2nd Floor
ADDRESS

Mansfield, oh 44902
CITY, STATE & ZIP

N/A
PHONE

COUNSEL FOR APPELLEE, STATE OF OHIO

FILED
APR 08 2013
CLERK OF COURT
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

RECEIVED
APR 08 2013
CLERK OF COURT
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

NOTICE OF APPEAL OF APPELLANT Leotis M. Daggett

Appellant Leotis M. Daggett hereby gives notice of appeal to the Supreme Court of Ohio from the judgment of the Richland County Court of Appeals, Fifth Appellate District, entered in Court of Appeals Case No. 12CA99 on Feb. 27, 2013

This case raises a substantial constitutional question, involves a felony, and is of public or great general interest.

Leotis M. Daggett
SIGNATURE

Leotis M. Daggett.
NAME AND NUMBER

Richland Correctional
INSTITUTION

P.O. Box 8107
ADDRESS

Mansfield, Oh 44901
CITY, STATE & ZIP

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT, PRO SE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Notice of Appeal was forwarded by regular U.S. Mail to James J. Mayer, Prosecuting Attorney, Richland County, 38 S. Park St. 2nd Fl., Mansfield, Ohio 44902 this 2nd day of April, 2013

Leotis M. Daggett
SIGNATURE

Leotis M. Daggett
NAME AND NUMBER

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT, PRO SE

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR RICHLAND COUNTY, OHIO
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

COURT OF APPEALS
RICHLAND COUNTY OHIO
FILED
2013 FEB 27 AM 11:37
LINDA H. FRARY
CLERK OF COURTS

LEOTIS M. DAGGETT

Petitioner

-vs-

MARGARET BRADSHAW, WARDEN


Respondent


JUDGMENT ENTRY


Case No. 12 CA 99

For the reasons stated in our accompanying Memorandum-Opinion, this Court denies the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and grants Respondent's Motion to Dismiss.

IT IS SO ORDERED.







JUDGES