

ORIGINAL

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25


SHAYNE R. ORONOZ	:	Case No. 13-0663
Plaintiff – Appellant, in a Pleading		
on Behalf of All Free People of the	:	On Appeal from COURT OF
Land in the Great Republic for		APPEALS OF OHIO TENTH
the united States of America and	:	APPELLATE DISTRICT, On Appeal
Ohio, the State		from FRANKLIN COUNTY
Affirming Community Standards	:	MUNICIPAL COURT
	:	
v.		
	:	COURT OF APPEALS Case Nos.
		13AP -276
COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO TENTH	:	13AP-277
APPELLATE DISTRICT, et al.		
FRANKLIN COUNTY MUNICIPAL	:	
COURT, et al.		
STATE OF OHIO, et al.	:	
CITY OF COLUMBUS, et al.		
defendants - appellee,	:	

---

AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

---

26 Shayne-Richard: Oronoz  
 27 Sui Juris  
 28 Executor/Agent for SHAYNE R. ORONOZ  
 29 All Right Reserved  
 30 P.O. Box 82251  
 31 Columbus, Ohio the state  
 32  
 33  
 34 Richard C. Pfeiffer, Jr., et al.  
 35 CITY OF COLUMBUS, et al.  
 36 City Attorney's Office  
 37 90 West Broad Street  
 38 Columbus, Ohio the state



AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

1 It has been noted that a fact as stated in the MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL as  
2 submitted on April 29, 2013 is not congruent with the supportive evidence. In consideration  
3 that the actions and the sequence of actions by the parties were correctly stated but the date  
4 as stated for an action was not, the following amendment is submitted as clarification and in  
5 correction.

6 In the original filing Line 1 (one) on page 5 (five) of 15 (fifteen) should have read as follows:

7 "Upon entering the Clerk of *Court* for 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO in the morning of **April 2, 2013**, the  
8 Executor,"

9 We apologize to the Free People of the Land of the Great Republic for the united States of  
10 America for the error. The AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL with the  
11 correction is as follows in the form of a complete resubmission.

12 **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

13 EXPLANATION AS TO WHY PLAINTIFF HAS COME TO ENTER  
14 AN APPEAL WITH THIS *COURT* ..... 3  
15  
16 STATEMENTS OF THE CASE AND FACTS..... 4  
17  
18 ARGUMENT FOR THE NECESSITY OF AFFIRMATION  
19 AND CONFIRMATION FROM THE PURPORTED  
20 SUPERIOR *COURT* CONCERNING PROPER  
21 JURISDICTION AND PROCESS OF LAW IN A  
22 RULING OF ORIGINAL ACTION FROM A PURPORTED  
23 LOWER *COURT*..... 9  
24  
25 IN CONCLUSION..... 11  
26  
27 EXHIBTS LIST..... 13  
28  
29 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE..... 14  
30  
31 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF SIGNATURE.....15

AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

1 **EXPLANATION AS TO WHY PLAINTIFF HAS COME TO ENTER AN APPEAL WITH THIS COURT**

2 I, Shayne R. Oronoz, a real flesh and blood man of the Land and not a fiction in Law,  
3 representing in propria persona as the Executor/Agent for the Defendant (hereafter Executor)  
4 hereby enters this appeal to the SUPREME COURT OF OHIO (hereafter SUPREME OF OHIO), the  
5 entity that bears a name in commerce similar in form and implied function to the Court of Law  
6 described in the Ohio Constitution, Article IV §5 (A)(1) as having “general superintendence over  
7 all courts in the state” and pursuant to Ohio Constitution, Article IV § 2 (B)(2)(a)(iii) having  
8 appellate jurisdiction in “cases involving questions arising under the Constitution of the United  
9 States or of this state,” in response to the two entries titled JOURNAL ENTRY OF DISMISSAL (see  
10 Exhibits 1 and 2, attached as if fully rewritten herein) in the cited case numbers on April 4,  
11 2013, filed with THE COURT OF APPEAL OF OHIO TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT (hereafter 10<sup>th</sup> OF  
12 OHIO) the entity that bears a name in commerce similar in form and implied function to the  
13 Court of Law described in the Ohio Constitution, Article IV § 3 (B)(2) as having appellate grant of  
14 jurisdiction:

15 “....as may be provided by law to review and affirm, modify, or reverse judgments or final  
16 orders of the courts of record inferior to the court of appeals within the district, except  
17 that courts of appeals shall not have jurisdiction to review on direct appeal judgment that  
18 imposes a sentence of death. Courts of appeals shall have such appellate jurisdiction as  
19 may be provided by law to review and affirm, modify, or reverse final orders or actions of  
20 administrative officers or agencies.”

AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

1 **STATEMENTS OF THE CASE AND FACTS**

2 The appeals to 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO, in the form of emergency petitions for injunction titled  
3 EMERGENCY PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL (see Exhibits 3  
4 and 4, attached as if fully rewritten herein) were rooted in the many abuses FRANKLIN COUNTY  
5 MUNICIPAL COURT (hereafter FRANKLIN) has exacted, through threats of duress and coercion,  
6 upon Defendant, Executor, Executor's elected and contracted counsel/Advocate, Executors  
7 family, and the body of people in the community at large in Ohio, the State, and this Great  
8 Republic; wherefore FRANKLIN denied Defendant liberty interests and the substantial right to  
9 due process of Law and is attempting to degrade the rules, Laws, social covenants and  
10 Community Standard by which Courts of Law are bound by exacting acts of duress, coercion  
11 and violence upon said people. Having approached 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO for remedy, instead of  
12 Defendant finding relief, those violations and threats of duress and coercion were sustained  
13 and affirmed through the judgments of 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO. The compounded trespasses and  
14 transgressions 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO has inflicted upon Defendant, as well as all Free People of the Land  
15 in the Great Republic for the united States of America and Ohio, the State, by also denying  
16 Defendant liberty interests and the substantial right of due process of Law, are in violation of  
17 the Rules of a Court of Law, the Code of Professional Responsibility, the Ohio Constitution, the  
18 Administrative Procedure Act, the Constitution for the Republic for the united States of  
19 America, the Articles of Amendment of the Ohio Constitution and the Constitution for the  
20 Republic for the united States of America, and above all else, in violation of the Community  
21 Standards by which a Court of Law is bound, and are founded in the JOURNAL ENTRY OF  
22 DISMISSAL as follows.

AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

1 Upon entering the Clerk of *Court* for 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO in the morning of April 2, 2013, the Executor,  
2 on behalf of Defendant, attempted to enter an appeal in the form of an emergency petition for  
3 injunction with the Clerk of *Court* for 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO in remedy of actions and judgments made  
4 in a hearing held earlier that day, whereby a servant of FRANKLIN ordered that a trial would  
5 commence in FRANKLIN at 12:30pm that same day, April 2, 2013. This judgment was ordered  
6 despite objections made by Executor, on behalf of Defendant, (see Exhibit 5, attached as if fully  
7 rewritten herein) and with:

- 8 1. Motions outstanding containing discovery questions yet unanswered.
- 9 2. FRANKLIN's lawful grant of jurisdiction having never been established or defended.
- 10 3. The standing of the purported agent for the purported plaintiff having never been  
11 established as the/a real party of interest.
- 12 4. The victim of the purported crime having never been revealed by the purported agent for  
13 the purported plaintiff.
- 14 5. The nature and cause of the claims having yet to be defined with specificity.
- 15 6. FRANKLIN, having previously arrested Defendant's elected and contracted  
16 counsel/Advocate and having absconded the bill of pain and penalty, though it be called a  
17 bond, having yet again threatened Defendant's elected and contracted counsel/Advocate  
18 with arrest and dismissing them from the *court* on that very day.
- 19 7. FRANKLIN, having previously arrested Executor and having repeatedly threatened Executor  
20 with arrest and having previously caused Executor to leave FRANKLIN under threats of  
21 duress and coercion.

AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

1 8. FRANKLIN, through its judgment to rush to trial and thus rush to judgment, having placed a  
2 stay on discovery and thus deny Defendant liberty interests and the substantial right of due  
3 process of Law in violation of the Rules of a Court of Law, the Code of Professional  
4 Responsibility, the Ohio Constitution, the Administrative Procedure Act, the Constitution for  
5 the Republic for the united States of America, the Articles of Amendment of the Ohio  
6 Constitution and the Constitution for the Republic for the united States of America, and  
7 above all else, in violation of the Community Standards by which a Court of Law is bound.

8 Wherefore as a result of the many threats of duress and coercion made by FRANKLIN, Executor,  
9 on behalf of defendant, approached 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO in an emergent capacity, considering the  
10 time constraints, with an appeal in the form of an emergency petition for injunction. When  
11 approached, the Clerk of *Court* for 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO denied Executor capacity to enter the appeal  
12 in the form of an emergency petition for injunction until such time as Executor spoke to  
13 Douglas W. Easton, Deputy *Court* Administrator for 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO. Mr. Douglas was  
14 immediately approached. Upon approaching Mr. Douglas concerning the entry of the appeal in  
15 the form of an emergency petition for injunction, Executor was denied access to the duty *judge*  
16 and was subsequently required to file a leave to appeal from the purported lower *court* of  
17 FRANKLIN before the purported superior *court* of 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO would accept the appeal in the  
18 form of an emergency petition for injunction and allow it to be filed into the Clerk of *Court* for  
19 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO. The leave to appeal was filed in the Clerk of *Court* for FRANKLIN in a motion,  
20 titled NOTICE TO APPEAL (See Exhibit 6, attached as if fully rewritten herein), with a time stamp  
21 of 12:21pm on April 2, 2013. A wet, time stamped copy was delivered by hand to the purported

AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

1 agent for the purported plaintiff as they stood in FRANKLIN, at 12:28pm on April 2, 2013. The  
2 Executor was then made to wait by the Clerk of *Court* for FRANKLIN so an unspecified action<sup>e</sup>  
3 could be completed by a clerk in charge of appellate actions in FRANKLIN before the process  
4 could move forward. The appeals in the form of an emergency petition for injunction, titled  
5 EMERGENCY PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL (see Exhibits 3  
6 and 4, attached as if fully rewritten herein) and the FRANKLIN time stamped copies of the leave  
7 to appeal, titled NOTICE TO APPEAL (see Exhibits 7 and 8, attached as if fully rewritten herein),  
8 were filed in the Clerk of *Court* of 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO with time stamps of 1:00pm and 1:03pm on  
9 April 2, 2013. 1:41pm. The appeal in the form of an emergency petition for injunction, titled  
10 EMERGENCY PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL (see Exhibits 3  
11 and 4, attached as if fully rewritten herein) was filed in the Clerk of *Court* of FRANKLIN at  
12 1:34pm and 1:39pm on April 2, 2013. 1:41pm. After having perfected the appeal in the form of  
13 an emergency petition for injunction in 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO at the demands of Mr. Easton, Executor,  
14 again, was denied access to the duty *judge* by Mr. Easton. Subsequently the appeal in the form  
15 of an emergency petition for injunction was summarily dismissed by 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO having  
16 entered their JOURNAL ENTRY FOR DISMISSAL in the two cases at 3:38pm on April 4, 2013,  
17 without a hearing, formal argument or discovery, written or otherwise, under the auspices of  
18 the following reasons:

- 19 • The purported *court* 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO, the purported superior *court* of FRANKLIN, stated that:  
20 “...appellant has failed to point to the final judgment being appealed.”

AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

1 • The purported *court 10<sup>th</sup>* OF OHIO, the purported superior *court* of FRANKLIN, stated that  
2 for a lack of a “final judgment” by FRANKLIN to appeal, petitioner had no capacity to invoke  
3 the jurisdiction of purported *court 10<sup>th</sup>* OF OHIO, the purported superior *court* of  
4 FRANKLIN.

5 • The purported *court 10<sup>th</sup>* OF OHIO, the purported superior *court* of FRANKLIN, stated that  
6 sans a “final judgment” having been issued by FRANKLIN, *10<sup>th</sup>* OF OHIO was without  
7 appellate jurisdiction to hear the matter, citing Ohio Constitution, Article IV, Section 3(A)(2).

8 • The purported *court 10<sup>th</sup>* OF OHIO, the purported superior *court* of FRANKLIN, stated that  
9 Defendant’s emergency petition was:

10 “...intended to institute *original actions* to enjoin the trial *court* from going forward with  
11 a trial...”

12 • The purported *court 10<sup>th</sup>* OF OHIO, the purported superior *court* of FRANKLIN stated that it  
13 lacked jurisdiction over the action, citing case *Law State ex rel. Pressley v Industrial*  
14 *Commission (1967), 11 Ohio St. 2d 141.*

15 • The purported *court 10<sup>th</sup>* OF OHIO, the purported superior *court* of FRANKLIN, stated that:  
16 “The original jurisdiction of the Ohio Courts of Appeal extends only to the cases of  
17 action expressly enumerated in Article IV, Section 3(B) of the Ohio Constitution. An  
18 action for injunctive relief or injunction is not included in this list.”

19 Thereby stating that an Appellant Court of Law in Ohio, the State, had no lawful grant of  
20 jurisdiction to provide injunctive relief in remedy of the actions of lower Courts of Law for  
21 lack of expressed original grant of jurisdiction from the Ohio Constitution.

22

AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

**ARGUMENT FOR THE NECESSITY OF AFFIRMATION AND CONFIRMATION FROM THE  
PURPORTED SUPERIOR COURT CONCERNING PROPER JURISDICTION AND PROCESS OF LAW IN  
A RULING OF ORIGINAL ACTION FROM A PURPORTED LOWER COURT**

The Executor, on behalf of Defendant, proclaims and affirms that the conjecture and ill inferences by 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO, as stated in the two entries from the *court* titled JOURNAL ENTRY OF DISMISSAL, have no foundations in fact or Law. All conjectures and inferences are denied with objections to conclusions in Law and findings in fact and through an appeal will be pled as objections to errors in fact and Law. However, the foundations for this particular appeal to SUPREME OF OHIO are only for affirmation and clarification on the proper jurisdiction and process of Law in this matter, in that the ruling entered by 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO has left the Executor unclear as to where and with whom proper jurisdiction lies for an appeal in the form of an emergency petition for injunction from FRANKLIN. Additionally, Executor needs an affirmation and clarification as to whether, as was proclaimed by the 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO, the appeal in the form of an emergency petition for injunction that was filed with the 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO was an *original action* to enjoin the trial *court* from going forward with a trial instead of an appeal to the jurisdiction of the purported superior *court* of FRANKLIN. Without affirmations and clarification as to the proper jurisdiction and process of Law, Executor, on behalf of Defendant, *can not move forward in remedy* of the trespasses put upon Defendant. Affirmation and clarification on the proper jurisdiction and process of Law is paramount to Defendants capacity to defend, enforce and protect their interest on equal terms with the party instituting the proceedings in a fair and open hearing, as well as their capacity to defend, enforce and protect their liberty interests and the substantial unalienable right of due process of Law as established and guaranteed in the Rules of a Court of Law, the Code of Professional Responsibility, the Ohio

## AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

1 Constitution, the Administrative Procedure Act, the Constitution for the Republic for the united  
2 States of America, the Articles of Amendment of the Ohio Constitution and the Constitution for  
3 the Republic for the united States of America, and above all else, the Community Standards by  
4 which a Court of Law is bound. Wherefore, upon affirmation and clarification, Executor, on  
5 behalf of Defendant, will know whether the judgment of the 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO, as stated in their  
6 JOURNAL ENTRY OF DISMISSAL, should be appealed as an appeal by right or an appeal by  
7 jurisdiction to the purported superior *court* of 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO and FRANKLIN.

8

9 Let is be stated as a fact that having entertained the *opinions* expressed by 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO  
10 strictly *for the value that they hold for the Defendant* that the appeal in the form of emergency  
11 petition for injunction was an original action in 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO, Executor, on behalf of Defendant,  
12 already attempted on two occasions to approach SUPREME OF OHIO, the purported superior  
13 *court* to 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO and FRANKLIN, and the entity that bears a name in commerce similar in  
14 form and implied function to the Court of Law described in the Ohio Constitution, Article IV §5  
15 (A)(1) as having “general superintendence over all courts in the state” and who pursuant to  
16 Ohio Constitution, Article IV § 2 (B)(2)(a) as having appellate jurisdiction in “cases originating in  
17 the courts of appeal” and “cases involving questions arising under the Constitution of the  
18 United States or of this state,” with an APPEAL BY RIGHT. When the elected and contracted  
19 Advocate for the Executor, acting at the behest of Executor on behalf of Defendant,  
20 approached the Clerk of *Court* for SUPREME OF OHIO, Advocate was denied the capacity to  
21 enter the APPEAL BY RIGHT into the record with the Clerk of *Court* for SUPREME OF OHIO until

## AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

1 such time as Advocate spoke to Justin T. Kudela, Case Management Counsel for SUPREME OF  
2 OHIO. Upon approach, Mr. Kudela, denied the Executor's elected and contracted Advocate,  
3 acting at the behest of Executor acting on behalf of Defendant, the capacity to enter the  
4 APPEAL BY RIGHT into the record with the Clerk of *Court* for SUPREME OF OHIO citing the rules  
5 of the *court* as prescribed to him by the servants of the purported superior *court*. Advocate  
6 fervently voiced the objections to such denial and reiterated that such a denial was preventing  
7 the Executor, on behalf of Defendant, the capacity to invoke the original jurisdiction of the  
8 Court of Law as described in the Ohio Constitution, Article IV as the Supreme Court.  
9 Wherefore, such a denial of an appeal by right requires the Executor, on behalf of Defendant,  
10 to enter this appeal in order to secure remedy and protect the substantial unalienable right of  
11 due process of Law as established and guaranteed in the Rules of a Court of Law, the Code of  
12 Professional Responsibility, the Ohio Constitution, the Administrative Procedure Act, the  
13 Constitution for the Republic for the united States of America, the Articles of Amendment of  
14 the Ohio Constitution and the Constitution for the Republic for the united States of America,  
15 and above all else, in violation of the Community Standards by which a Court of Law is bound  
16 and to move this cause forward on behalf of Defendant.

17

### 18 IN CONCLUSION

19 In consideration of having already approached SUPREME OF OHIO, the purported superior  
20 court of 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO and FRANKLIN with an appeal by right, and pending an appeal to  
21 SUPREME OF OHIO, the purported superior court of 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO and FRANKLIN, where by on  
22 behalf and in search of remedy for Defendant, Executor will be entering a denial of all

AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

1 conjectures and inferences found in the JOURNAL ENTRY FOR DISMISSAL as objections to  
2 conclusions in Law and findings in fact objections in the form of an appeal of errors in fact and  
3 Law; Executor requires the following from SUPREME OF OHIO, the purported superior *court* of  
4 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO and FRANKLIN in order to move this cause forward:

- 5 1. An affirmation and clarification as to where and with whom proper jurisdiction lies for an  
6 appeal in the form of an emergency petition for injunction from FRANKLIN.
- 7 2. An affirmation and clarification as to whether, as was proclaimed by the 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO, the  
8 appeal in the form of an emergency petition for injunction that was filed with the 10<sup>th</sup> OF  
9 OHIO was an **original action** to enjoin the trial *court* from going forward with a trial instead  
10 of an appeal to the jurisdiction of the purported superior *court* of FRANKLIN.

11 Where by, until such affirmations and clarifications are provided by the purported superior  
12 *court* of 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO and FRANKLIN, Defendant cannot move forward in remedy of the  
13 trespasses put upon Defendant by FRANKLIN and 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO with the proper capacity to  
14 defend, enforce and protect their interest on equal terms with the party instituting the  
15 proceedings in a fair and open hearing, as well as with a capacity to defend, enforce and  
16 protect their liberty interests and the substantial unalienable right of due process of Law as  
17 established and guaranteed in the Rules of a Court of Law, the Code of Professional  
18 Responsibility, the Ohio Constitution, the Administrative Procedure Act, the Constitution for the  
19 Republic for the united States of America, the Articles of Amendment of the Ohio Constitution  
20 and the Constitution for the Republic for the united States of America, and above all else, the  
21 Community Standards by which a Court of Law is bound.

AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

1 **EXHIBITS LIST:**

- 2 Exhibit 1 - JOURNAL ENTRY FOR DISMISSAL from the 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO, in case number 13 AP-276,  
3 date stamped April 4, 2013 at 3:38 pm.
- 4 Exhibit 2 - JOURNAL ENTRY FOR DISMISSAL from the 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO, in case number 13 AP-277,  
5 date stamped April 4, 2013 at 3:38 pm.
- 6 Exhibit 3 – EMERGENCY PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL, in case  
7 number 2013 CR B 004666, date stamped by Clerk of 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO April 2, 2013 at 1:00pm,  
8 date stamped by Clerk of FRANKLIN April 2, 2013 at 1:34pm
- 9 Exhibit 4 – EMERGENCY PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL, in case  
10 number 2013 CR B 001413, date stamped by Clerk of 10<sup>th</sup> OF OHIO April 2, 2013 at 1:03pm, ,  
11 date stamped by Clerk of FRANKLIN April 2, 2013 at 1:39pm
- 12 Exhibit 5 – TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS from April 2, 2013
- 13 Exhibit 6 – NOTICE OF APPEAL, case numbers 2013 CR B 004666 and 2013 CR B 001413, date  
14 stamped by Clerk of FRANKLIN April 2, 2013 at 12:21pm
- 15 Exhibit 7 - NOTICE OF APPEAL, case numbers 2013 CR B 004666, date stamped by Clerk of 10<sup>th</sup>  
16 OF OHIO April 2, 2013 at 1:00pm
- 17 Exhibit 8 - NOTICE OF APPEAL, case numbers 2013 CR B 001413, date stamped by Clerk of 10<sup>th</sup>  
18 OF OHIO April 2, 2013 at 1:03pm

AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 A true copy of the proceeding document was delivery by hand or by mail to the following  
3 individuals.

4 Jim O'Grady, et al.  
5 MUNICIPAL COURT  
6 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
7 375 S. High Street  
8 Columbus, Ohio the state

Richard C. Pfeiffer, Jr. , et al.  
CITY OF COLUMBUS  
City Attorney's Office  
90 West Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio the state

9  
10  
11 Lisa L. Sadler, et al.  
12 COURT OF APPEALS  
13 TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT  
14 373 South High Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
15 Columbus, Ohio the state

John A. Connor, et al.  
COURT OF APPEALS  
TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT  
373 South High Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Columbus, Ohio the state

16  
17  
18 William A. Klatt, et al.  
19 COURT OF APPEALS  
20 TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT  
21 373 South High Street, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor  
22 Columbus, Ohio the state

AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF APPEAL

1 I certify, verify and state that the facts stated herein in this decree are known to be true by me  
2 under oath, under penalty of perjury by the laws of the Republic for the united States of  
3 America. I plead these of behalf of All Free People of the Land in the Great Republic for the  
4 united States of America in affirmation of Community Standards.

5  
6 Shayne R. Oronoz  
7 Shayne-Richard: Oronoz  
8 Sui Juris  
9 Executor/Agent for SHAYNE R. ORONOZ  
10 All Right Reserved  
11 P.O. Box 82251  
12 Columbus, Ohio the state

13 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF SIGNATURE**

14 The state, Ohio, County of Franklin:

15 On this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of April<sup>th</sup> May, 2013, before me, the undersigned  
16 Notary Public, personally appeared, known to be or satisfactory proven to be the  
17 Executor/Agent for the person(s) whose names are subscribed to the above document, and  
18 acknowledged that (s)he executed the same for the purposes expressed herein. I attest that the  
19 principal appear to be of sound mind, not infant or insane, and **not** under, nor subject to, any  
20 apparent threat, duress, fraud, or undue influence. I attest this under penalty of perjury under  
21 the laws of the Republic for the united States of America.


22  
23  
24 8-15-17  
25 My Commission Expires

Megan Barrett  
Notary Public



MEGAN BARRETT  
Notary Public, State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires 08-15-17

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO  
TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT



City of Columbus, :  
Plaintiff-Appellee, :  
v. : No. 13AP-276  
Shayne R. Oronoz, : (ACCELERATED CALENDAR)  
Defendant-Appellant. :


JOURNAL ENTRY OF DISMISSAL

In the instant matter, appellant has filed a notice of appeal purporting to appeal from the trial court's decision to proceed with the trial in case No. 2013CRB4666, and an "Emergency Petition for Injunction Against Unlawful Rush to Trial."


To the extent that this matter is intended to be an appeal, appellant has failed to point to the final judgment being appealed. In fact, a review of the trial court record indicates that no final judgment has been issued. Accordingly, this court is without appellate jurisdiction to hear this matter. Ohio Constitution, Article IV, Section 3(A)(2).

To the extent that that appellant's emergency petition is intended to institute original actions to enjoin the trial court from going forward with a trial, this court lacks jurisdiction over the action. *State ex rel. Pressley v. Industrial Commission* (1967), 11 Ohio St.2d 141. The original jurisdiction of the Ohio Courts of Appeal extends only to the causes of action expressly enumerated in Article IV, Section 3(B) of the Ohio Constitution. An action for injunctive relief or injunction is not included in this list.


This court possessing neither appellate nor original jurisdiction to consider these matters, this case is sua sponte dismissed.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Lisa L. Sadler



\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge John A. Connor



\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge William A. Klatt, P.J.

cc: Clerk, Court of Appeals



Court Disposition

Case Number: 13AP000276

Case Style: CITY OF COLUMBUS -VS- SHAYNE R ORONOZ

Motion Tie Off Information:

1. Motion CMS Document Id: 13AP0002762013-04-0299960000

Document Title: 04-02-2013-MOTION FOR INJUNCTION

Disposition: 3200

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO  
TENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT



City of Columbus, :  
Plaintiff-Appellee, :  
v. : No. 13AP-277  
Shayne R. Oronoz, : (ACCELERATED CALENDAR)  
Defendant-Appellant. :


JOURNAL ENTRY OF DISMISSAL


In the instant matter, appellant has filed a notice of appeal purporting to appeal from the trial court's decision to proceed with the trial in case No. 2013CRB1413, and an "Emergency Petition for Injunction Against Unlawful Rush to Trial."


To the extent that this matter is intended to be an appeal, appellant has failed to point to the final judgment being appealed. In fact, a review of the trial court record indicates that no final judgment has been issued. Accordingly, this court is without appellate jurisdiction to hear this matter. Ohio Constitution, Article IV, Section 3(A)(2).

To the extent that that appellant's emergency petition is intended to institute original actions to enjoin the trial court from going forward with a trial, this court lacks jurisdiction over the action. *State ex rel. Pressley v. Industrial Commission* (1967), 11 Ohio St.2d 141. The original jurisdiction of the Ohio Courts of Appeal extends only to the causes of action expressly enumerated in Article IV, Section 3(B) of the Ohio Constitution. An action for injunctive relief or injunction is not included in this list.

This court possessing neither appellate nor original jurisdiction to consider these matters, this case is sua sponte dismissed.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Lisa L. Sadler

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge John A. Connor

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge William A. Klatt, P.J.

cc: Clerk, Court of Appeals

*OK*

Franklin County Ohio Court of Appeals Clerk of Courts- 2013 Apr 04 3:38 PM-13AP000277

Court Disposition

Case Number: 13AP000277

Case Style: CITY OF COLUMBUS -VS- SHAYNE R ORNOZ

Motion Tie Off Information:

1. Motion CMS Document Id: 13AP0002772013-04-0299960000  
Document Title: 04-02-2013-MOTION FOR INJUNCTION  
Disposition: 3200

PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL

FILED *non*

TENTH DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE STATE OF OHIO

2013 APR -2 PII 1:34

FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT  
LOUISIANA BLOCK

SHAYNE ORONoz  
Defendant in a Pleading on Behalf of  
All Free People of the Land in the  
Great Republic of  
the united States of America

2013 CR B 004666  
2013 CR B 001413

servant #78971

vs.

STATE OF OHIO, et al.  
CITY OF COLUMBUS  
plaintiff



*EMERGENCY PD*

PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL

I, Shayne R. Oronoz, a real flesh and blood man of the Land and not a fiction in Law, representing in propria persona as the Executor of/Agent for the Defendant (hereafter Executor), do hereby submitted an emergency petition to the superior court, as is designated by the courts very own internal structure, asking the superior court issue and emergency injunction against the lower court of FRANKLIN COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT (hereafter FRANKLIN) from proceeding with in a trial before the liberty interests of due process are secured and satisfied. The actions of FRANKLIN are as follows:

- FRANKLIN has admitted upon the record that it does not recognize the common law leaving Defendant uncertain as to the type of Court afforded by the Constitution that FRANKLIN purportedly is. FRANKLIN refused to answer what type of court they are and by what laws they bound.

Franklin County Ohio Court of Appeals Clerk of Courts- 2013 Apr 02 1:00 PM-13AP000276

PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL

FILED

APR 02 PM 1:34

FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT

- FRANKLIN has scheduled no hearings on any motions put before it. Any answers to motions have been by writ only and Executor has not been afforded the liberty interest to challenge those writs in appeal or by requiring citations of conclusions in law and findings in fact to support such writ from FRANKLIN.
- There are motions still outstanding with questions posed to FRANKLIN that need to be answered by FRANKLIN itself that inquire to the lack of lawful grant of jurisdiction of FRANKLIN as well as its unlawful practices of ignoring the statutes and Laws of Ohio and the Common Law and its standard practices of the denial of due process. These motions if left unanswered will be a continued denial by FRANKLIN of the Defendant's liberty interest to due process.
- There are motions outstanding with questions posed to the purported agent for the plaintiff that need to be answered that speak to questions of the real party of interest and the supposed victims the actions of Defendant has purportedly victimized.
- FRANKLIN has denied Executor the Constitutionally guaranteed right to decide his own co-counsel to aid in the defense of Defendant and has arrested his chosen advocate and co-counsel in the past and continues to deny Executor his 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment rights in the repeated assertion that if he attempts to appoint anyone other than counsel that the court affirms that FRANKLIN will arrest such appointed advocates and co-counsel and hold them without hearing or bond.
- FRANKLIN is attempting to move past discovery without satisfying all motions before the court, with questions to FRANKLIN'S jurisdiction still outstanding.

PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL

- With FRANKLIN attempting to move past discovery, no discovery has been afforded to Defendant and therefore his rights to due process are being denied.

For these reason and more the Executor enter this emergency plead on behalf of Defendant that the superior *court* issue an injunction preventing FRANKLIN from moving forward with a trial in these cases until such time as Defendant's liberty interests of due process can be secured and guaranteed, the Lawful jurisdiction of FRANKLIN can be discerned upon the record and the purported agent for the plaintiff defends his standing to invoke a Court of Law in these claims.

FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT  
LONDON, OHIO

2013 APR -2 PM 1:34

*Wey*  
FILED

PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL

ma  
FILED  
2013 APR -2 PM 1:35  
FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT  
LORAIN, OHIO

I attest that the facts stated herein in this decree are known to be true by me under oath under penalty of perjury by the laws of the Republic of the united States of America. I plead these of behalf of All Free People of the Land in the Great Republic of the united States of America


Shayne Richard Oronoz  
Shayne-Richard: Oronoz, Executor  
Sui Juris  
All Right Reserved  
692 New York Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal Code 43201

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF SIGNATURE

The state, Ohio, County of Franklin:

On this 2nd day of April, 2013, before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared, known to be or satisfactory proven to be the Executor/Agent for the person(s) whose names are subscribed to the above document, and acknowledged that (s)he executed the same for the purposes expressed herein. I attest that the principal appear to be of sound mind, not infant or insane, and not under, nor subject to, any apparent threat, duress, fraud, or undue influence. I attest this under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Republic of the united States of America.

11/9/16  
My Commission Expires

Laurie Rupp  
Notary Public  
  
LAURIE RUPP  
Notary Public, State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires 11-09-16

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A true copy of the proceeding document was delivery by hand to the following individuals

Jim O'Grady, et al.  
MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGE  
14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
375 S. High Street  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal code 43215

Richard C. Pfeiffer, Jr. , et al.  
CITY OF COLUMBUS  
City Attorney's Office  
90 West Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal code 43215

PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL

FILED *W*

TENTH DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE STATE OF OHIO

2013 APR -2 PM 1:39

FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT

SHAYNE ORONOZ  
Defendant in a Pleading on Behalf of  
All Free People of the Land in the  
Great Republic of  
the united States of America

2013 CR B 004666  
2013 CR B 001413

servant #78971

vs.

STATE OF OHIO, et al.  
CITY OF COLUMBUS  
plaintiff



**EMERGENCY** *PO*  
PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL

I, Shayne R. Oronoz, a real flesh and blood man of the Land and not a fiction in Law, representing in propria persona as the Executor of/Agent for the Defendant (hereafter Executor), do hereby submitted an emergency petition to the superior court, as is designated by the courts very own internal structure, asking the superior court issue and emergency injunction against the lower court of FRANKLIN COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT (hereafter FRANKLIN) from proceeding with in a trial before the liberty interests of due process are secured and satisfied. The actions of FRANKLIN are as follows:

- FRANKLIN has admitted upon the record that it does not recognize the common law leaving Defendant uncertain as to the type of Court afforded by the Constitution that FRANKLIN purportedly is. FRANKLIN refused to answer what type of court they are and by what laws they bound.

Franklin County Ohio Court of Appeals Clerk of Courts- 2013 Apr 02 1:03 PM-13AP000277

PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL

FILED

2013 APR -2 PM 1:39

FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT

- FRANKLIN has scheduled no hearings on any motions put before it. Any answers to motions have been by writ only and Executor has not been afforded the liberty interest to challenge those writs in appeal or by requiring citations of conclusions in law and findings in fact to support such writ from FRANKLIN.
- There are motions still outstanding with questions posed to FRANKLIN that need to be answered by FRANKLIN itself that inquire to the lack of lawful grant of jurisdiction of FRANKLIN as well as its unlawful practices of ignoring the statutes and Laws of Ohio and the Common Law and its standard practices of the denial of due process. These motions if left unanswered will be a continued denial by FRANKLIN of the Defendant's liberty interest to due process.
- There are motions outstanding with questions posed to the purported agent for the plaintiff that need to be answered that speak to questions of the real party of interest and the supposed victims the actions of Defendant has purportedly victimized.
- FRANKLIN has denied Executor the Constitutionally guaranteed right to decide his own co-counsel to aid in the defense of Defendant and has arrested his chosen advocate and co-counsel in the past and continues to deny Executor his 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment rights in the repeated assertion that if he attempts to appoint anyone other than counsel that the court affirms that FRANKLIN will arrest such appointed advocates and co-counsel and hold them without hearing or bond.
- FRANKLIN is attempting to move past discovery without satisfying all motions before the court, with questions to FRANKLIN'S jurisdiction still outstanding.

PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL

- With FRANKLIN attempting to move past discovery, no discovery has been afforded to Defendant and therefore his rights to due process are being denied.

For these reason and more the Executor enter this emergency plead on behalf of Defendant that the superior *court* issue an injunction preventing FRANKLIN from moving forward with a trial in these cases until such time as Defendant's liberty interests of due process can be secured and guaranteed, the Lawful jurisdiction of FRANKLIN can be discerned upon the record and the purported agent for the plaintiff defends his standing to invoke a Court of Law in these claims.

FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT  
LORAIN, OHIO

2013 APR -2 PM 1:39

FILED

PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL

I attest that the facts stated herein in this decree are known to be true by me under oath under penalty of perjury by the laws of the Republic of the united States of America. I plead these of behalf of All Free People of the Land in the Great Republic of the united States of America

Shayne R. Arce  
Shayne-Richard: Oronoz, Executor  
Sui Juris  
All Right Reserved  
692 New York Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal Code 43201

FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT  
1010 S. BROAD ST  
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43201  
2013 APR -2 PM 1:39  
FILED

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF SIGNATURE

The state, Ohio, County of Franklin:

On this 2nd day of April, 2013, before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared, known to be or satisfactory proven to be the Executor/Agent for the person(s) whose names are subscribed to the above document, and acknowledged that (s)he executed the same for the purposes expressed herein. I attest that the principal appear to be of sound mind, not infant or insane, and not under, nor subject to, any apparent threat, duress, fraud, or undue influence. I attest this under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Republic of the united States of America.

11/9/16  
My Commission Expires

Laurie Rupp  
Notary Public  


LAURIE RUPP  
Notary Public, State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires 11-09-16

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A true copy of the proceeding document was delivery by hand of the following individuals

Jim O'Grady, et al.  
MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGE  
14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
375 S. High Street  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal code 43215


Richard C. Pfeiffer, Jr., et al.  
CITY OF COLUMBUS  
City Attorney's Office  
90 West Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal code 43215

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

IN THE FRANKLIN COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT

COLUMBUS, OHIO

CRIMINAL DIVISION



---

City of Columbus, :

Plaintiff, :

-vs- : Case Nos. 2013 CRB 001413  
2013 CRB 004666

Shayne R. Oronoz, :

Defendant. :

---

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

April 2, 2013

---

HONORABLE JAMES P. O'GRADY,  
Judge, presiding.

APPEARANCES:

RICHARD C. PFEIFFER, JR., City Attorney,  
City Hall, 90 West Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio,  
By: Isaac Rinsky, Asst. City Prosecutor,

On behalf of the City.

SHAYNE R. ORONoz,

In his own behalf.

---

Cherie M. Russell,  
Official Court Reporter.

---

1 BE IT REMEMBERED THAT, on the 2nd day of April,  
2 2013, this cause came on for hearing before the  
3 Honorable James P. O'Grady, Judge. And the parties  
4 appearing in person and/or by counsel, as hereinafter set  
5 forth, the following proceedings were had:

6

- - -

7

8 THE COURT: State of Ohio versus Shayne Oronoz,  
9 2013 CRB 1413 and 2013 CRB 4666. Stand right there at the  
10 table, sir.

11

12 THE DEFENDANT: Parley.

13

14 THE COURT: What's that?

15

16 THE DEFENDANT: I parley.

17

18 THE COURT: No. You can stand right there. Just  
19 hang on a second. The prosecution is going to make an offer  
20 to you on your case. We just need you to either accept or  
21 decline it.

22

23 THE DEFENDANT: Well, I object to you continuing  
24 these proceedings because there are questions to the Court  
25 that have not been answered such as jurisdiction and real  
party of interest.

26

27 THE COURT: Well, we have answered all your  
28 questions, so come on up to the table there --

29

30 THE DEFENDANT: Not according to common law --

31

32 THE COURT: You're fine standing at the table --

33

34 THE DEFENDANT: I don't know why you just won't

1 answer --

2 THE COURT: Mr. Oronoz --

3 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Hold on one second.

4 Mr. Oronoz, I need you to slow down just for my benefit,  
5 please.

6 THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

7 THE COURT: Ma'am, I need you to have a seat.

8 THE DEFENDANT: This is my counsel. The  
9 Constitution allows -- affords me the rights to have counsel  
10 of my choosing.

11 THE COURT: Ma'am, you need --

12 THE DEFENDANT: How can you go against common law  
13 of the Constitution?

14 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: I'm his co-counsel.

15 THE COURT: Are you an attorney, Ma'am?

16 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: No, sir.

17 THE COURT: Okay. Then have a seat. You are not  
18 allowed to represent someone --

19 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: I'm not representing  
20 him.

21 THE COURT: -- but have a seat.

22 DEFENSE COUNSEL: So this gentleman over here can  
23 be my counsel, that I did not ask to be put upon me, but  
24 this person of my choosing I cannot have stand next to me?

25 THE COURT: That's correct.

1 THE DEFENDANT: And because by what law -- by what  
2 law is that, sir?

3 THE COURT: Sir, by the laws of the United States.  
4 Ma'am --

5 THE DEFENDANT: Is that by the Constitution?

6 THE COURT: Mr. Oronoz, you need to listen to me  
7 and not argue with me.

8 THE DEFENDANT: Because you're not an actual  
9 judge.

10 THE COURT: Okay --

11 THE DEFENDANT: Because you lack actual  
12 jurisdiction, sir.

13 THE COURT: Okay --

14 THE DEFENDANT: We can prove that you have no  
15 jurisdiction. You can't prove that you do have the  
16 jurisdiction. So you can't proceed. You're not a lawful  
17 court.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Ma'am, please have a seat, or  
19 I'm going to have you escorted out the back of the courtroom  
20 and held in contempt.

21 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: I need to be  
22 available for his --

23 THE COURT: Ma'am, have a seat. You are not a  
24 licensed attorney.

25 THE DEFENDANT: It's all right. It's all right.

1 THE COURT: All right --

2 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: I don't have to be a  
3 licensed attorney.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Oronoz, just step up to the table.

5 THE DEFENDANT: I'm all right. We can proceed.

6 This is a childish --

7 THE COURT: Mr. Oronoz, we proceed the way that I  
8 say to proceed, not you.

9 THE DEFENDANT: I assent; I do not consent.

10 THE COURT: Okay. On behalf of the State?

11 MR. RINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. Isaac Rinsky,  
12 0086199. We have two charges, disorderly conduct and  
13 obstructing. The offer would be a plea to the obstructing  
14 official business with a recommendation that the defendant  
15 get time-served. I believe he spent either six or seven  
16 days in jail. So if he were to take that offer, I'd be  
17 recommending no additional consequences, just court costs,  
18 and these cases would be terminated.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Oronoz, you don't need  
20 to -- you don't have to have any other response yet. I just  
21 want to let you understand what's happening.

22 The prosecutor --

23 THE DEFENDANT: I understand that --

24 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Hold on. Hold on.

25 THE COURT: Sir, do not interrupt me. It's my

1 turn to talk. I'll let you know when --

2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

3 THE COURT: -- to speak. Okay?

4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

5 THE COURT REPORTER: Sir, just for my benefit, I  
6 can't take two people at one time. Please --

7 THE DEFENDANT: I apologize. You're all right.

8 THE COURT: The State's offer is for a plea to the  
9 obstructing, which is the 2013 4666 case number. If you  
10 were to plead guilty or no contest to that charge, they  
11 would recommend that you get time-served and that case be  
12 closed, and then the State would move to dismiss the  
13 2013 1413.

14 Are you rejecting that offer?

15 THE DEFENDANT: I will not consent to any of your  
16 offers, but you can do what you like because you obviously  
17 do that. So you can dismiss whatever you like.

18 THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to dismiss --

19 THE DEFENDANT: This cannot proceed, this trial,  
20 because there's been -- the discovery process has not been  
21 followed correctly. It's been abused completely. It's a  
22 joke.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Well, understand, Mr. Oronoz,  
24 that this case will proceed to trial today.

25 THE DEFENDANT: Well, you'll pay the price for

1 your actions. It's treason --

2 THE COURT: Okay. Are you rejecting the State's  
3 offer?

4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

5 THE COURT: Very good. You just need to come back  
6 here. We're going to start your trial today. Be back here  
7 at 12:30.

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I'm objecting to this even  
9 being able to be proceeded.

10

- - -

11

Thereupon, the hearing was in recess, during which  
12 time other cases were handled.

13

- - -

14

THE COURT: All right. On the record,  
15 2013 CRB 4666 and '13 CRB 1413, City of Columbus versus  
16 Shayne Oronoz. Mr. Oronoz was here this morning. We're set  
17 for jury trial today. I instructed Mr. Oronoz to be back in  
18 court by 12:30 so that we could begin the trial. It is now  
19 1:33. It's an hour and three minutes later. Mr. Oronoz has  
20 failed to appear on these cases at this time.

21

Anything from the State?

22

MR. RINSKY: No, Judge. We're just asking for a  
23 warrant on both cases.

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Walsh, is here as advice only. I  
assume nothing from you, Mr. Walsh?

1 MR. WALSH: I've had no contact.

2 THE COURT: Very good. All right. I'm going to  
3 issue warrants for the arrest of Mr. Oronoz. I'm going to  
4 set bond in the amount of 25,000 appearance on each case.  
5 That'll be all.

6 MR. RINSKY: Thank you.

7 - - -

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23


24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, correct, and complete written transcript of the proceedings in this matter, taken by me on the 2nd day of April, 2013, and transcribed from my stenographic notes.

  
Cherie M. Russell,  
Official Court Reporter.


---

FRANKLIN COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT  
CITY OF COLUMBUS OHIO THE STATE.

STATE OF OHIO  
CITY OF COLUMBUS  
PLANTIFF  
VS.

ALL THE FREE PEOPLE OF THE  
LAND IN THE GREAT REPUBLIC  
OF THE UNITED STATES OF  
AMERICA

FILED  
2013 CR B 004666  
2013 APR -2 PM 12:21  
2013 CR B 00413  
FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT  
LOUISIANA JACK  
SERVANT #78971



NOTICE OF APPEAL

WHERE HEARBY NOTIFYING FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT OF OUR NOTICE TO APPEAL  
THE COURTS DECISION TO MAKE FORWARD TO TRIAL  
WITHOUT OBSERVING DYE PROCESS OF LAW

PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL

2013 APR -2 PM 12:00  
FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT  
LOCAL TRACK

I attest that the facts stated herein in this decree are known to be true by me under oath under penalty of perjury by the laws of the Republic of the United States of America. I plead these of behalf of All Free People of the Land in the Great Republic of the United States of America

Shayne-Richard Ornoz  
Shayne-Richard Ornoz, Executor  
Sui Juris  
All Right Reserved  
692 New York Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal Code 43201

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF SIGNATURE

The state, Ohio, County of Franklin:

On this 2nd day of April, 2013, before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared, known to be or satisfactory proven to be the Executor/Agent for the person(s) whose names are subscribed to the above document, and acknowledged that (s)he executed the same for the purposes expressed herein. I attest that the principal appear to be of sound mind, not infant or insane, and not under, nor subject to, any apparent threat, duress, fraud, or undue influence. I attest this under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Republic of the United States of America.

11/9/16  
My Commission Expires

Laurie Rupp  
Notary Public Seal  
LAURIE RUPP  
Notary Public, State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires 11-09-16

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A true copy of the proceeding document was delivery by hand to the following individuals

Jim O'Grady, et al.  
MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGE  
14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
375 S. High Street  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal code 43215

Richard C. Pfeiffer, Jr. , et al.  
CITY OF COLUMBUS  
City Attorney's Office  
90 West Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal code 43215

FRANKLIN COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT  
CITY OF COLUMBUS OHIO THE STATE.

STATE OF OHIO  
CITY OF COLUMBUS  
PLAINTIFF  
VS.

2013 CR B 004666 vkm  
2013 APR -2 PM 12:21  
2013 CR B 004413  
SERVANT #78971

ALL THE FREE PEOPLE OF THE  
LAND IN THE GREAT REPUBLIC  
OF THE UNITED STATES OF  
AMERICA



FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT  
LOCAL 1300

FILED  
2013 APR -2 PM 1:36

NOTICE OF APPEAL

HERE HEARBY NOTIFYING FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT OF OUR NOTICE TO APPEAL  
THE COURTS DECISION TO MAKE FOWARD TO TRIAL  
WITHOUT OBSERVING DYE PROCESS OF LAW

Franklin County Ohio Court of Appeals Clerk of Courts- 2013 Apr 02 1:36 PM-13A P000276

Franklin County Ohio Court of Appeals Clerk of Courts- 2013 Apr 02 1:00 PM-13AP000276

PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL

2013 APR -2 PM 12:2

I attest that the facts stated herein in this decree are known to be true by me under oath under penalty of perjury by the laws of the Republic of the united States of America. I plead these of behalf of All Free People of the Land in the Great Republic of the united States of America

Shayne R. Oronoz  
Shayne-Richard Oronoz, Executor  
Sui Juris  
All Right Reserved  
692 New York Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal Code 43201

FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT  
10811 MARKET

FILED  
2013 APR -2 PM 1:36

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF SIGNATURE

The state, Ohio, County of Franklin:

On this 2nd day of April, 2013, before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared, known to be or satisfactory proven to be the Executor/Agent for the person(s) whose names are subscribed to the above document, and acknowledged that (s)he executed the same for the purposes expressed herein. I attest that the principal appear to be of sound mind, not infant or insane, and not under, nor subject to, any apparent threat, duress, fraud, or undue influence. I attest this under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Republic of the united States of America.

11/9/16  
My Commission Expires

Laurie Rupp  
Notary Public



LAURIE RUPP  
Notary Public, State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires 11-09-16

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A true copy of the proceeding document was delivery by hand to the following individuals

Jim O'Grady, et al.  
MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGE  
14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
375 S. High Street  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal code 43215

Richard C. Pfeiffer, Jr. , et al.  
CITY OF COLUMBUS  
City Attorney's Office  
90 West Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal code 43215


FRANKLIN COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT  
CITY OF COLUMBUS OHIO THE STATE.

STATE OF OHIO  
CITY OF COLUMBUS  
PLANTIFF  
VS.

2013 CR B 004666  
2013 CR B 001413 ✓ KM  
SERVANT #78971

Franklin County Ohio Court of Appeals Clerk of Courts - 2013 Apr 02 1:53 PM - 13AP000277

ALL THE FREE PEOPLE OF THE  
AND IN THE GREAT REPUBLIC  
OF THE UNITED STATES OF  
AMERICA



FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT  
LOUISIANA

APR  
FILED  
2013 APR -2 PM 1:41

NOTICE OF APPEAL

WHERE HEARBY NOTIFYING FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT OF OUR NOTICE TO APPEAL  
THE COURTS DECISION TO MAKE FOWARD TO TRIAL  
WITHOUT OBSERSERVING DYE PROCESS OF LAW

Franklin County Ohio Court of Appeals Clerk of Courts- 2013 Apr 02 1:03 PM-13AP000277

PETITION FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST UNLAWFUL RUSH TO TRIAL

2013 APR -2 PM 12:2

I attest that the facts stated herein in this decree are known to be true by me under oath under penalty of perjury by the laws of the Republic of the united States of America. I plead these of behalf of All Free People of the Land in the Great Republic of the united States of America

*Shayne-Richard Oronoz*  
Shayne-Richard Oronoz, Executor  
Sui Juris  
All Right Reserved  
692 New York Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal Code 43201

FRANKLIN COUNTY  
MUNICIPAL COURT  
LORAIN COUNTY

2013 APR -2 PM 1:15

FILED

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF SIGNATURE

The state, Ohio, County of Franklin:

On this 2nd day of April, 2013, before me, the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared, known to be or satisfactory proven to be the Executor/Agent for the person(s) whose names are subscribed to the above document, and acknowledged that (s)he executed the same for the purposes expressed herein. I attest that the principal appear to be of sound mind, not infant or insane, and not under, nor subject to, any apparent threat, duress, fraud, or undue influence. I attest this under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Republic of the united States of America.

11/9/16  
My Commission Expires

*Laurie Rupp*  
Notary Public Seal  
LAURIE RUPP  
Notary Public, State of Ohio  
My Commission Expires 11-09-16

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A true copy of the proceeding document was delivery by hand, ~~in~~ to the following individuals

Jim O'Grady, et al.  
MUNICIPAL COURT JUDGE  
14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
375 S. High Street  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal code 43215

Richard C. Pfeiffer, Jr. , et al.  
CITY OF COLUMBUS  
City Attorney's Office  
90 West Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio the state  
Postal code 43215