

ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

2013-0999

IN THE MATTER OF:

ERIC C. DETERS
Ohio Atty. Reg. No. 0038050
RESPONDENT

Case No.: 1:12-MC-042
Chief Judge Susan J. Dlott


ORDER

On September 5, 2014, the Supreme Court of Ohio entered an order denying respondent's motion for reinstatement to the practice of law in Ohio.


The court found that respondent had not substantially complied with their January 27, 2014 order which suspended respondent for a period of 60 days and ordered that respondent would not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until reinstated to the practice of law in the State of Kentucky.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that pursuant to Rule IV of the Model Federal Rules of Disciplinary Enforcement adopted by this court on February 1, 1979, respondent is suspended from the practice of law in this court until respondent has fully complied with the provisions set forth in the January 27, 2014 order of the Supreme Court of Ohio, and until reinstated therein.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Susan J. Dlott
Susan J. Dlott, Chief Judge
United States District Court
Southern District of Ohio



FILED

The Supreme Court of Ohio

SEP 05 2014

CLERK OF COURT
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Disciplinary Counsel,
Relator,
v.
Eric Charles Deters,
Respondent.

RECEIVED IN THE OFFICE OF
CHIEF JUSTICE
SEP 08 2014

Case No. 2013-0999

ORDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of a motion for reinstatement by respondent, Eric Charles Deters, Attorney Registration No. 0038050, last known business address in Independence, Kentucky.

The court coming now to consider its order of January 27, 2014, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(11)(F)(4), suspended respondent for a period of 60 days and ordered that respondent will not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until he is reinstated to the practice of law in the State of Kentucky, finds that respondent has not substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(10)(A).

Therefore, it is ordered by this court that respondent's motion for reinstatement is denied.

It is further ordered that the clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this document is a true and accurate copy of the entry of the Supreme Court of Ohio filed September 5, 2014 in Supreme Court case number 2013-0999

In witness whereof I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the seal of the Supreme Court of Ohio on this 5th day of September, 2014

by Chris L. Roche CLERK OF COURT, Deputy

Maureen O'Connor
Chief Justice