

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

STATE OF OHIO, EX RELATOR)
 GABRIELLA MOIR FKA)
 DENKEWALTER.,)
 Relator,)
)
 v.)
)
 THE HONORABLE MARY R.)
 KOVACK, JUDGE, MEDINA)
 COUNTY DOMESTIC)
 RELATIONS COURT,)
)
 &)
)
 MEDINA COUNTY DOMESTIC)
 RELATIONS COURT, et al.)
 Respondents.)

CASE NO. 2014-1951

AN ORIGINAL ACTION
IN PROHIBITION

**RESPONDENTS JUDGE MARY R. KOVACK AND
 MEDINA COUNTY DOMESTIC RELATIONS COURT -
 MOTION TO DISMISS RELATOR'S COMPLAINT FOR A WRIT OF PROHIBITION
 FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED**

DEAN HOLMAN (#0020915)
 Prosecuting Attorney
 Medina County, Ohio

CHERYL A. LUKACS
 The Luckacs Law Firm, LLC
 753 Avon Beldon Road.
 Avon Lake, Ohio 44012

BY: **TOM J. KARRIS** (#0033659)
 Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
 Medina County Prosecutor's Office
 72 Public Square
 Medina, Ohio 44256
 (330) 723-9536
 (330) 723-9532 (fax)
 tkarris@medinaco.org

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENTS
 JUDGE MARY KOVACK AND
 MEDINA COUNTY DOMESTIC
 RELATIONS COURT.

COUNSEL FOR RELATOR

RECEIVED
 DEC 05 2014
 CLERK OF COURT
 SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

FILED
 DEC 05 2014
 CLERK OF COURT
 SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

**MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON
WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED**

Now come Respondents, the Honorable Mary R. Kovack, Judge, Medina County Domestic Relations Court, and the Medina County Domestic Relations Court, by and through its legal counsel, Dean Holman, Medina County Prosecuting Attorney and Tom J. Karris, Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, and respectfully move this Honorable Court to dismiss the instant Complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, pursuant to Ohio Civil Rule 12(B)(6).

Relator has named the Medina County Court of Common Pleas, Domestic Relations Division as a Respondent. The Court is not a proper party herein, as it is not *sui juris* and can neither sue nor be sued in its own right.


Relator further lacks standing to seek the extraordinary Writ of Prohibition, as remedies remain available in the ordinary course of law which have not been exhausted by the Relator. Importantly, the Judge's acts complained of in Relator's Complaint are an exercise of judicial power as authorized by law and for which the Court has jurisdiction.

Therefore, the Respondents request that the Court find that Relator fails to state a claim on which relief can be granted and dismiss the instant complaint.

A Brief in Support of Respondents' Motion to Dismiss is attached hereto and incorporated herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Dean Holman, #0020915
Medina County Prosecuting Attorney

by MKL

TOM J. KARRIS, #0033659
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
Medina County Prosecutor's Office
72 Public Square
Medina, Ohio 44256
(330) 723-9536
(330) 723-9532 (fax)
tkarris@medinaco.org

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

INTRODUCTION

Relator Gabriella Moir (f/k/a “Gabriella Denkewalter”) filed this instant complaint to prohibit Respondent Mary R. Kovack, Judge of the Medina County Domestic Relations Court and/or any magistrates within the Medina County Domestic Relations Court or the Summit County Domestic Relations Court, from hearing any matters related to *Denkewalter v. Denkewalter* (Case No. 04 DR 0638, Medina County Court of Common Pleas – Domestic Relations Division). The complaint also specifically requests this Court to prohibit Stephan J. Collins, Magistrate in the Summit County Domestic Relations Court, from hearing any matters related to *Denkewalter v. Denkewalter*. Finally, the complaint requests that Carol J. Deszo, Judge in the Summit County Domestic Relations Court be prohibited from assigning or referring any matters related to the *Denkewalter* case and thus be compelled to have all matters heard before her and only her.

The Relator has also incorrectly named the Medina County Court of Common Pleas as a respondent despite that the Court is not a proper party, as it is not *sui juris* and can neither sue nor be sued in its own right.

Of greatest import, the Relator is currently seeking a decision from the Ninth District Court of Appeals where a specific assignment of error propounded is the same issue asserted in the case *sub judice*: whether Judge Kovack has authority to issue Orders assigning magistrates to hear matters relating to *Denkewalter* under the circumstances. The Ninth District Court of Appeals will hear oral argument on January 8, 2015 and is fully within its jurisdiction to provide the relief sought herein by the Relator, thereby affording an adequate remedy. Absent a patent

and unambiguous lack of jurisdiction by the Court; or that the Relator has no adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law, the Relator has failed to fails to assert a prima facie claim in prohibition. Therefore this Court should dismiss the Relator's Complaint.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This case involves a complaint for a Writ of Prohibition. Relator seeks to prohibit Medina County Domestic Relations Judge Mary R. Kovack, Visiting Judge Carol J. Dezso and Magistrate Stephan J Collins from performing specific judicial acts and to make void certain orders previously issued by Judge Kovack relating to a divorce action filed by Relator against her husband, Jack K. Denkewalter, in the Medina County Domestic Relations Court in 2004 (Case No. 04DR0638). In 2007, Judge Mary R. Kovack, recused herself from hearing this matter further as Defendant-Husband, Jack K. Denkewalter, being an attorney, had begun to appear in Judge Kovack's court for his clients' matters. The case was then assigned by the Supreme Court to Summit County Domestic Relations Judge Carol J. Dezso as a visiting judge in the Medina court.

Judge Kovack, in her capacity as the Presiding Judge of the Medina court, on at least two occasions following her recusal, did issue orders relating *Denkewalter* case, but only to appoint magistrates from the Summit County Domestic Relations Court (who are generally assigned to Judge Carol J. Dezso's court) to the Medina court for the limited purpose of hearing matters regarding the *Denkewalter* case. For the same reason that Judge Kovack would not hear the *Denkewalter* matter, the Defendant-Husband being an attorney who frequently appeared before the Judge and the Magistrates, the Magistrates of the Medina court could not hear the case.

On October 23, 2013, Relator filed an appeal with the Ninth District Court of Appeals (Case No. 13CA0082-M) and later amended her appeal to include an additional assignment of error regarding Judge Kovack's issuing orders, pursuant to her capacity as Presiding Judge of the Medina County Domestic Relations Court, after her recusal and the assignment to Judge Dezso. The parties were permitted to amend and supplement their briefs to accommodate the additional assignment of error in anticipation of a January 8, 2015 oral argument.

On November 12, 2014, Relator filed the present complaint which specifically relates to the additional assignment of error raised in the Ninth District Court of Appeals case. Respondents Judge Kovack and the Medina Court Domestic Relations Court hereby move to dismiss the complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted under Civ. R. 12(B)(6).

LAW & ARGUMENT

RELATOR FAILS TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED AGAINST JUDGE MARY KOVACK AND THE MEDINA COUNTY DOMESTIC RELATIONS COURT.

Dismissal of a complaint under Civ. R. 12(B)(6) is appropriate if, after all factual allegations of the petition are presumed true and all reasonable inferences are made in relator's favor, it appears beyond doubt that he or she can prove no set of facts entitling him to the requested writ. In granting a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, the court accepts as true the allegations in the complaint, except for the unsupported conclusions, yet nevertheless finds that the petitioner can prove no set of facts entitling him to recovery. *Greeley v. Miami Valley Maintenance Contrs., Inc.*, 49 Ohio St. 3d 228, 551 N.E. 2d 981 (1990).

To be entitled to the writ of prohibition, Relator must establish that: 1) Respondents are exercising or about to exercise judicial power; 2) the exercise of that judicial power is not authorized by law; and 3) denial of the writ will cause injury for which no other adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law exists. *State ex rel. Florence v. Zitter*, 106 Ohio St. 3d 87, 2005 Ohio 3804, 831 N.E.2d 1003, at ¶ 14, citing *State ex rel. Mason v. Griffin*, 104 Ohio St. 3d 279, 2004 Ohio 6384, 819 N.E.2d 644, at ¶ 12.

In the absence of a patent and unambiguous deprivation of jurisdiction, a court having general subject matter jurisdiction can determine its own jurisdiction. *Florence*, 106 Ohio St. 3d 87, at ¶ 15, quoting *State ex rel. Conkle v. Sadler*, 99 Ohio St. 3d 402, 2003 Ohio 4124, 792 N.E.2d 1116, at ¶ 8, itself quoting *State ex rel. Shimko v. McMonagle*, 92 Ohio St. 3d 426, 428-429, 2001 Ohio 301, 751 N.E.2d 472 (2001). A party challenging a court's determination of its own subject matter jurisdiction has an adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law by way of appeal. *Id.*

Prohibition is an extraordinary remedy, to be entertained with great caution, in the sound discretion of the Court. *State ex rel. Gilligan v. Hoddinott*, 36 Ohio St. 2d 127, 304 N.E.2d 282 (1973). "The writ of prohibition is a high prerogative writ that should be issued only in cases of extreme necessity because of the absence or inadequacy of other remedies and then only when the right thereto is clear. It should never issue in a doubtful case." *Reiss v. Mun. Court of Columbus*, 145 N.E.2d 447, 76 Ohio Law Abs. 141, 143 (2d Dist. 1956), *aff'd*, 166 Ohio St. 178, 140 N.E.2d 787 (1957).

Respondents Judge Mary R. Kovack and Medina County Domestic Relations Court hereby move to dismiss Relator's complaint for a writ of prohibition. As described below,

Respondent Medina County Domestic Relations Court is not a proper party to this action because courts are not *sui juris* and may neither sue nor be sued in their own right. Relator has an adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law by way of an appeal to the Ninth District Court of Appeals. In fact, Relator has already raised the issue before in the Ninth District pending the Court's decision. For all of these reasons, the Court should dismiss the complaint.

A. Courts are not *sui juris*, and Prohibition will not lie against the Medina County Domestic Relations Court.

This Court has previously held that courts are not *sui juris*. "A court is defined to be a place in which justice is judicially administered. It is the exercise of judicial power, by the proper officer or officers, at a time and place appointed by law." *State ex rel. Cleveland Mun. Court v. City of Cleveland*, 34 Ohio St. 2d 120, 121, 296 N.E.2d 544 (1973), quoting *Todd v. United States*, 158 U.S. 278, 284 (1895). "Absent express statutory authority, a court can neither sue nor be sued in its own right." *Id.* Accordingly, as a matter of law, Respondent Medina County Domestic Relations Court is not an appropriate party in this action and the complaint as against it should be dismissed. *E.g.* [*State ex rel.*] *Phillips v. Eyster*, 5th Dist. No. 11CA15, 2011 Ohio 5427, at ¶ 2.

B. Relator has an adequate remedy in the ordinary course: She has filed an appeal which is now pending before the Ninth District Court of Appeals.

Relator states in paragraph 1 of section VII of her complaint (page 5) that an appeal is pending in the Ninth District Court of Appeals, Case No. 13CA0082-M, where the parties will appear for oral argument on January 8, 2015.

The Ninth District's docket reveals that Relator filed a motion raising the identical issue presented in this action (See Exhibit A). The appeal before The Ninth District Court of Appeals is an adequate remedy currently being sought by Relator for the retrospective review of the orders in the Medina County Domestic Relations Court. Where such an adequate remedy exists, it "... defeats a claim for the writ because prohibition is not used as a substitute for appeal." *State ex rel Ohio Co. v. Maschari*, 51 Ohio St. 3d 18 (1990) (citing *State ex. Rel. Gilla v. Fellerhoff*, 44 Ohio St. 2d 86 (1975)).

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, this Honorable Court should dismiss Relator's Complaint for a Writ of Prohibition for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Civ. R. 12(B) (6).

Respectfully submitted,

DEAN HOLMAN, #0020915
Prosecuting Attorney

By:



TOM J. KARRIS, #0033659
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
Medina County Prosecutor's Office
72 Public Square
Medina, Ohio 44256
(330) 723-9536
(330) 723-9532 (fax)
tkarris@medinaco.org

**Attorneys for Respondents,
The Honorable Mary R. Kovack, and
Medina County Domestic Relations Court**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A copy of the foregoing Motion to Dismiss was sent via regular U.S. mail to Cheryl A. Lukacs, Attorney for Relator, 753 Avon Beldon Road, Avon Lake, Ohio 44012, on this ~~4th~~ day of December, 2014.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tom J. Karris / by [unclear]", written over a horizontal line.

TOM J KARRIS
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney

Case Information				
Case #	Caption	Filed Date	Status	
13CA0082-M	GABRIELLA DENKEWALTER NKA MOIR -VS- JACK K DENKEWALTER	23-Oct-2013	PENDING	
Parties Involved				
Name	Address			
DENKEWALTER, JACK (Def/Appellee)	4272 RED TAIL COURT, MEDINA OH, 44256			
DENKEWALTER, JACK (Def/Appellee)				
LUKACS, CHERYL (Appellant Atty)	753 AVON BELDEN ROAD, AVON LAKE OH, 44012			
DENKEWALTER, JACK (Appellee Atty)	110 SOUTH HUNTINGTON STREET, MEDINA OH, 44256			
DENKEWALTER NKA MOIR, GABRIELLA (Pl/Appellant)	1119 BRISTOL LANE, MEDINA OH, 44256			
DENKEWALTER, GABRIELLA (Pl/Appellant)	GABRIELLA MOIR			
DENKEWALTER, GABRIELLA (Pl/Appellant)				
MOIR, GABRIELLA (Pl/Appellant)				
MOIR, GABRIELLA (Pl/Appellant)	GABRIELLA DENKEWALTER			
DENKEWALTER, GABRIELLA (Pl/Appellant)				
Actions				
Action Date	Action Description	Notes	Document	
21/Nov/2014	COPIES	COPIES OF 11/21/14 NOTICE SENT TO COUNSEL OF RECORD VIA REG. MAIL	No Document	
21/Nov/2014	NOTICE OF ORAL ARGUMENT	ORAL ARGUMENT SET FOR 1/8/15 AT 12:00 PM	View	
08/Jul/2014	BRIEF FILED	SECOND AMENDED BRIEF OF APPELLANT GABRIELLA MOIR FILED//ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED	No Document	
25/Jun/2014	COPY OF MAGISTRATE'S ORDER ISSUED TO PARTIES/ATTYS OF RECORD		No Document	
25/Jun/2014	MAGISTRATE'S ORDER	APPELLANT MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED THE PAGE LIMIT AND WORD COUNT ON HER APPELLATE BRIEF IS DENIED. APPELLANT'S BRIEF IS NOW DUE ON OR BEFORE JULY 8, 2014	View	
23/Jun/2014	BRIEF FILED	AMENDED BRIEF OF APPELLANT GABRIELLA MOIR FILED//ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED	No Document	
19/Jun/2014	MOTION	APPELLANT GABRIELLA MOIR'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXTEND THE PAGE LIMIT OF HER BRIEF BY 10 PAGES TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR WHICH LEAVE WAS GRANTED TO INCLUDE WCERT. OF SERVICE	View	
02/Jun/2014	COPY OF MAGISTRATE'S ORDER ISSUED TO PARTIES/ATTYS OF RECORD		No Document	
02/Jun/2014	MAGISTRATE'S ORDER	APPELLANT'S MOTION TO AMEND HER APPEAL IS CONSTRUED AS A REQUEST TO AMEND HER BRIEF TO INCLUDE AN ADDITIONAL ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR. THE MOTION IS GRANTED. APPELLANT'S APRIL 14, 2014 BRIEF IS STRICKEN. APPELLANT TO HAVE 20 DAYS FROM JOURNALIZATION OF THIS ORDER TO FILE AN AMENDED BRIEF.	View	
08/May/2014	BRIEF	APPELLANT GABRIELLA MOIR'S BRIEF PURSUANT TO MAGISTRATE'S ORDER DATED APRIL 16, 2014 REGARDING VALIDITY OF ORDERS AND EFFECT ON APPEAL WCERT. OF SERVICE AND ATTACHMENT	View	
08/May/2014	MOTION	APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND HER APPEAL TO ADD THE JURISDICTIONAL ISSUE RELATED TO ASSIGNMENT OF JURIST AND THE VALIDITY OF ORDERS WCERT. OF SERVICE	View	
02/May/2014	NOTICE	APPELLEE'S NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF OPPOSITION AND CONSENT TO A REVERSAL ON ALL ORDERS APPEALED BY APPELLANT WCERT. OF SERVICE	View	
16/Apr/2014	COPIES	COPIES OF COURT'S APRIL 16, 2014 JOURNAL ENTRY SENT TO COUNSEL OF RECORD VIA REG. MAIL	No Document	
16/Apr/2014	COPY OF MAGISTRATE'S ORDER ISSUED TO PARTIES/ATTYS OF RECORD		No Document	
16/Apr/2014	CERTIFIED COPY	CERTIFIED COPY OF COURT'S JOURNAL ENTRY STAYING TRIAL COURT'S SEPTEMBER 24, 2013 ORDER PENDING FURTHER ORDER OF THIS COURT TO THE TRIAL COURT.	No Document	
16/Apr/2014	JOURNAL ENTRY FILED	JOURNAL ENTRY FILED; APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR STAY OF THE TRIAL COURT'S SEPTEMBER 24, 2013 ORDER IS GRANTED PENDING FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT	View	
16/Apr/2014	MAGISTRATE'S ORDER	THE COURT QUESTIONS THE VALIDITY OF VARIOUS ORDERS ISSUED IN THE TRIAL COURT MATTER BELOW. ON OR BEFORE MAY 8, 2014 THE PARTIES ARE TO RESPOND.	View	
14/Apr/2014	BRIEF FILED	SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF APPELLANT GABRIELLA MOIR FILED//ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED	No Document	
08/Apr/2014	COPY OF	COPY OF APRIL 8, 2014 JOURNAL ENTRY SENT TO COUNSEL OF RECORD VIA REG. MAIL	No Document	
08/Apr/2014	JOURNAL ENTRY FILED	APPELLEE MOTION TO DISMISS THE APPEAL DENIED. THE ISSUE MAY BE REVISITED UPON REVIEW OF THE RECORD DURING FINAL DISPOSITION OF THE APPEAL. APPELLEE MOTION FOR COSTS AND SANCTIONS IS DENIED.	View	
08/Apr/2014	REPLY	APPELLANT GABRIELLA MOIR'S REPLY TO APPELLEE'S MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING APPEAL WITH CERT. OF SERVICE AND EXHIBITS	View	
04/Apr/2014	COPY OF MAGISTRATE'S ORDER ISSUED TO PARTIES/ATTYS OF RECORD		No Document	
04/Apr/2014	MAGISTRATE'S ORDER	APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AN AMENDED BRIEF IS GRANTED. APPELLANT'S FEBRUARY 24, 2014 BRIEF IS STRICKEN. APPELLANT HAS 10 DAYS FROM JOURNALIZATION OF THIS ORDER TO FILE THE CORRECTED BRIEF. APPELLEE'S BRIEF WILL NOW BE DUE WITHIN 20 DAYS OF SERVICE OF APPELLANT'S CORRECTED BRIEF.	View	
03/Apr/2014	MEMORANDUM	APPELLEE'S MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING APPEAL WCERT. OF SERVICE AND EXHIBITS	View	

EXHIBIT A

03/Apr/2014	MOTION	APPELLANT GABRIELLA MOTION TO STRIKE FACTS NOT IN THE RECORD IN APPELLEE'S REPLY TO APPELLANT DATED MARCH 31, 2014 W/CERT. OF SERVICE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT	View
31/Mar/2014	REPLY	APPELLEE'S REPLY TO APPELLANT'S BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO APPELLEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS APPELLANT'S APPEAL W/CERT. OF SERVICE	View
27/Mar/2014	MOTION	APPELLANT GABRIELLA MOIR'S MOTION TO STAY PENDING APPEAL W/CERT. OF SERVICE AND EXHIBITS	No Document
19/Mar/2014	MOTION	APPELLANT GABRIELLA MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT HER APPELLANT BRIEF INSTANTER WITH CERT. OF SERVICE AND SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF APPELLANT GABRIELLA MOIR ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED FILED	No Document
19/Mar/2014	BRIEF FILED	APPELLANT GABRIELLA BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO APPELLEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS; MOTION FOR EXPEDITED ORDER AND MOTION FOR COSTS AND FEES W/CERT. OF SERVICE	No Document
17/Mar/2014	CERTIFICATION	APPELLEE JACK K. DENKEWALTER'S CERTIFICATION OF EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO FILE HIS APPELLEE'S BRIEF W/CERT. OF SERVICE	View
10/Mar/2014	MOTION TO DISMISS	APPELLEE JACK K. DENKEWALTER'S MOTION TO DISMISS APPELLANT'S APPEAL AS THERE ARE NO FINAL APPEALABLE ORDERS, NO SUBSTANTIVE RIGHT HAS BEEN AFFECTED AND AS SUCH THIS COURT LACKS JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER/MOTION TO ENTER AN EXPEDITED ORDER THAT APPELLANT DID NOT APPEAL THAT PART OF THE TRIAL COURT'S ORDER FOR CONTINUED COUNSELING/MOTION FOR COSTS AND SANCTIONS FOR A FRIVOLOUS APPEAL WITH BRIEF, CERT. OF SERVICE AND EXHIBITS FILED	View
24/Feb/2014	BRIEF	BRIEF OF APPELLANT GABRIELLA MOIR, ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED FILED W/CERT OF SERVICE AND APPENDIXES	No Document
31/Jan/2014	CERTIFICATION	CERTIFICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE BRIEF OF APPELLANT GABRIELLA MOIR PURSUANT TO APP. LOC. R. 18. W/CERT. OF SERVICE	View
17/Jan/2014	COPY OF MAGISTRATE'S ORDER ISSUED TO PARTIES/ATTYS OF RECORD		No Document
17/Jan/2014	MAGISTRATE'S ORDER	MEDINA COUNTY CLERK OF COURTS GRANTED UNTIL 2/3/14 TO FILE THE RECORD.	View
13/Jan/2014	NOTICE OF FILING RECORD ISSUED TO ATTYS OF RECORD		View
13/Jan/2014	TRANSCRIPT OF DOCKET & JOURNAL ENTRIES FILED	TRANSCRIPT OF DOCKET AND JOURNAL ENTRIES FILED IN COURT OF APPEALS	View
26/Dec/2013	REQUEST	REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RECORD FILED	View
27/Nov/2013	COPY OF MAGISTRATE'S ORDER ISSUED TO PARTIES/ATTYS OF RECORD		No Document
27/Nov/2013	MAGISTRATE'S ORDER	COURT PROVISIONALLY DETERMINES THE ISSUE IN FAVOR OF JURISDICTION	View
25/Nov/2013	COPY OF MAGISTRATE'S ORDER ISSUED TO PARTIES/ATTYS OF RECORD		No Document
25/Nov/2013	MAGISTRATE'S ORDER	EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE THE RECORD IS GRANTED	View
20/Nov/2013	BRIEF FILED	APPELLANT GABRIELLA BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF JURISDICTION PURSUANT TO MAGISTRATE'S DECISION DATED NOVEMBER 1, 2013 WITH CERT. OF SERVICE, BRIEF IN SUPPORT, EXHIBITS AND ATTACHMENTS	No Document
20/Nov/2013	REQUEST	REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME	View
01/Nov/2013	COPY OF MAGISTRATE'S ORDER ISSUED TO PARTIES/ATTYS OF RECORD		No Document
01/Nov/2013	MAGISTRATE'S ORDER	COURT QUESTIONS ITS JURISDICTION TO CONSIDER THIS ATTEMPTED APPEAL. THE PARTIES HAVE UNTIL NOVEMBER 20, 2013 TO RESPOND.	View
24/Oct/2013	NOTICE OF FILING	NOTICE OF FILING NOTICE OF APPEAL AND DOCKETING STATEMENT SENT TO COUNSEL OF RECORD	View
23/Oct/2013	DOCKETING STATEMENT	DOCKETING STATEMENT W/ATTACHMENTS	View
23/Oct/2013	NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED	PLAINTIFF GABRIELLA MOIR'S NOTICE OF APPEAL W/CERT. OF SERVICE AND ATTACHMENTS	View
23/Oct/2013	COURT OF APPEALS CASE FILED		No Document