

ORIGINAL

US BANKRUPTCY COURT

SUPREME "COURT" OF OHIO

APR 1 2015

RECEIVED

r-lotus:justice
The Great Republic of America,
Union of Sovereign States,
u~~n~~ited States of America,

Case No.: 15-0303

Plaintiffs,

v.

"UNITED STATES" et al,
STATE OF OHIO, et al,
FRANKLIN COUNTY MUNICIPAL "COURT",
FRANKLIN COUNTY "COURT" OF COMMON PLEAS,
FRANKLIN COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE, et al,
CITY OF COLUMBUS, et al,
MONICA GREER JUSTICE, et al,

Defendants.

NOTICE OF FILING 1

The Plaintiffs lay upon the bar this NOTICE of the attached filing in an alternate court.

r-lotus:justice, ARR
Lawful Executor for LOTUS, et al,
Private Attorney general & Executor de Son
Tort for the Great Republic of America, the
Union of Sovereign States of America and
the united States of America.

FILED
APR 01 2015
CLERK OF COURT
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


The Defendants stated herein the attached document shall receive a copy of this document and the attached document delivered by "United States" Postal Service delivery, a third party courier or personal service.

r-lotus:justice, ARR

FILED
MAR 20 2015
CLERK OF COURT
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Oath of Certified Copy

On this 24 day of Feb of 2015, I, the undersigned Notary Public, has compared the original and the copies and certify under penalty of perjury under the Laws of the Republic for the united States of America that said attached copies are exact duplicates to the original.



Notary Public Signature

2-15-16
My Commission Expires



GRACE A. TERRY
Notary Public, State of Ohio
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 02-15-16

COPY



COMPALED 12/17/15
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 02-15-16 US BANKRUPTCY COURT

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
COLUMBUS, OHIO

FEB 17 2015
RECEIVED

r-lotus:justice,)	
)	Case No.:
Petitioner,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
stephen-l:mcintosh)	
Franklin County Common Pleas "Court")	
)	
Respondent.)	

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2241 and 28 U.S.C. § 2254

INTRODUCTION

Petitioner r-lotus:justice, by and through her Next Friend, respectfully moves this Court for a Writ of Habeas Corpus to vacate and set aside the order of January 9, 2015, by "Judge" stephen-l:mcintosh, whereby the respondent would be required to show why continued incarceration of Petitioner r-lotus:justice is just.

JURISDICTION

Petitioner r-lotus:justice brings this action to this Bankruptcy Court pursuant to the Bankruptcy Act of 1898, §9, *Protection and Detention of Bankrupts* Petitioner r-lotus:justice further brings this action pursuant to Article I, § 9 and Article III of the Constitution for the united States of America circa 1812, as well as the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, Ninth and Tenth Amendments to the Constitution for the united States of America circa 1812, 28 U.S.C. §2241 et seq. (including 28 U.S.C. §2254), and all other

COPY



applicable law. The Suspension Clause protects "the writ as it existed in 1789," that is, as a writ which federal judges could issue in the exercise of their 'Common Law' authority. (See *Boumediene v. Bush*, 553 U.S. 723 (2008)). The authority of federal courts to review the claims of prisoners in state custody is clearly established in 28 U.S.C. § 2254 granting federal courts that authority in 1867, as part of the post-Civil War Reconstruction. In the case of *Waley v. Johnson*, 316 U.S. 101 (1942), the U.S. Supreme Court interpreted this authority broadly to allow the writ to be used to challenge convictions or sentences in violation of a defendant's constitutional rights where no other remedy was available.

PETITION

The Petitioner r-lotus:justice, a real flesh and blood wo(man) acting *in propria persona*, has been misidentified as MONICA GREER JUSTICE. No charges have been brought against r-lotus:justice and presents the following in support of the writ.

1. Petitioner r-lotus:justice, is the party for whom this petition is intended and prosecuted. Petitioner is unlawfully restrained of her liberty under the name GREER JUSTICE (a fictitious entity), being imprisoned by Capt. Turner at the Franklin County Jail.

2. Petitioner r-lotus:justice, being a real flesh and blood Wo(man) and peaceful inhabitant of the Land, standing in the Law of the Land, The Common Law, and standing under the Will of the People, the Constitution for the Republic for the united States of America, do demand a probable cause hearing in remedy saved pursuant to the Judicial Act of 1789, the Savings to Suitors Clause and the Bankruptcy Act of 1898, and other law cited above.

COPY



3. Petitioner r-lotus:justice was arrested and incarcerated ~~on or about~~ January 27, 2015, after bond was revoked by "Judge" stephen-l.mcintosh on January 9, 2015. The bond was revoked because the Petitioner refused to abdicate her Fifth and Sixth Amendment rights and her "standing" in The Common Law and submit to a presentence investigation to obtain "parol" evidence without the protections of a witness, of counsel, recorder of record or recording devise in apposition to the F.R.C.P 32(c)(2) "the probation officer who interviews a defendant as part of a presentence investigation must, on request, give the defendant's attorney notice and a reasonable opportunity to attend the interview." The Petitioner's queries to the purported court, FRANKLIN COUNTY COMMON PLEAS "COURT", in case number 14CR1581 have gone unanswered, either by neglect or inability to answer with specificity as to which jurisdiction in Law is being invoked. Petitioner's status has not been challenged. The purported court, FRANKLIN COUNTY COMMON PLEAS "COURT", has not established proper jurisdiction which is required before a case may move forward.

The Petitioner is neither a 'flight risk' nor a 'danger to the public' and no plausible cause exists for her incarceration except to intimidate and coerce her to abandon her rights and standing as a natural inhabitant and to frustrate her ability to fruitfully pursue her defense as a *propria persona* litigant. Petitioner and her Power of Attorney on her behalf, have repeatedly requested access to a law library and tools necessary to assist in her defense.

The fundamental constitutional right of access to the courts requires prison authorities to assist inmates in the preparation and filing of meaningful legal papers by providing prisoners with adequate law libraries or adequate assistance from persons trained in the law. (See *Bounds v. Smith* (430 U.S. 817))

COPY



This is evident by the denial by the Ohio Supreme Court of an Appeal by Right due to non compliance with the Rules of Procedure. (See Exhibit 1).

4. The Petitioner r-lotus:justice, by and through incorporeal hereditaments, is the Grantor of the Trust and a Preferred/Priority Creditor pursuant to the Bankruptcy Act of 1898. Petitioner has been arrested and is being held as the 'Bankrupt'. Petitioner has been placed in forced involuntary bankruptcy as a Preferred/Priority Creditor. The Petitioner is neither a bankrupt nor a 14th Amendment "civilly dead" entity but rather a lawful Executor for the commercial instrument, the person/PERSON, Monica Greer Justice/MONICA GREER JUSTICE.

5. Whereas the purported "courts" of law, the PERSON, FRANKLIN COUNTY MUNICIPAL "COURT" and the FRANKLIN COUNTY "COURT" OF COMMON PLEAS (hereafter "private banks") have never established that they are Courts of Law operating under a Grant of Law pursuant to Article I or III of The Constitution for the united States of America of 1789 and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure and are thus barred by Estoppels and Fraud from moving forward in the causes at bar,

6. Whereas the purported "courts" of law as private banks, have never established upon the bar and the public record venue, subject matter and personal jurisdiction in the causes at the bar as required pursuant to The Common Law, the Constitution for the united States of America and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure and are barred by Estoppels and fraud from moving forward in the causes at bar,

COPY



7. Whereas the purported "courts" of law as private banks, have not required the purported representers of the purported Plaintiff, Esquires for/of the BAR union, to provide competent witness(es) of fact to produce corroborating testimony or sealed instruments as affidavits given under/sealed under penalty of perjury by the Laws of the united States of America, the Bankruptcy Act of 1898 and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure and are thus barred by Estoppels and Fraud from moving forward in the causes at bar,

8. Whereas the Men and Wo(men) as agents for the purported "courts" of law as private banks, have affected and effected multiple violations of the Ohio Rules of Evidence and the Rules of Civil Procedure and Statutes of the person/PERSON, The State of Ohio/THE STATE OF OHIO, in addition to the Common Law and multiple unalienable rights guaranteed by the Judiciary Act of 1789 and the Constitution for the united States of America and are barred by Estoppels and Fraud from moving forward in the causes at bar,

9. Whereas the Men and Wo(men) as agents for the purported "courts" of law as private banks, are attempting to unlawfully affect and effect a forced bankruptcy pursuant to the bankruptcy Act of 1898 by using threat, duress, deceit, Fictio, Fraud and acts of Sedition and Treason and,

10. Whereby the real Wo(men), r-lotus:justice, with the lawful right by lineage and hereditaments to inherit the Perfect Usufruct and the *cestui que* trust derived thereof and created by the Bankruptcy Act of 1898, was unlawfully taken by highway men/pirates, pursuant to the Lieber Code, operating as agents for the purported "courts" of law as private banks, and

COPY



11. Whereby the petitioner was unlawfully imprisoned, held in chains and coerced by threats and intimidation to initiate a claim of Equity in Bankruptcy pursuant to the Bankruptcy Act of 1898 as the Preferred Creditor and lawful Executor for the *cestui que* trust's commercial instrument, the person/PERSON, Monica Greer Justice/MONICA GREER JUSTICE, against said commercial instrument and Trust in order to affect and effect the petitioner's release from unlawful bondage, and

12. Whereby the Petitioner's signature upon the application for a bond did make the Petitioner, r-lotus:justice, by Fact and Law, the Petitioner in the causes at bar pursuant to the Bankruptcy Act of 1898. Wherefore, said application initiated a fraudulent claim against the said *cestui que* trust commercial instrument on behalf of the real Wo(man), under subrogation and abrogation of the subsequent bankruptcies that followed the Bankruptcy Act of 1898 as THE STATE OF OHIO. Wherefore, Men and Wo(men) as agents for the purported "courts" of law as private banks and the Esquires for/of the BAR Union that control them by unlawful monopoly pursuant to the Sherman Anti-trust Act, did operate as/for an unknown 3rd party interloper(s) to affect and effect deceit, Fictio, Fraud and acts of Sedition and Treason, attempting to affect and effect an unlawful conveyance of Executorship and control of the *cestui que* trust using collusive and corrupt systems of racketeering whereby they create and present fraudulently acquired parol/hearsay evidence in the fraudulently initiated cause at bar in the unlawful monopoly of private banks. Wherefore, by unlawfully and fraudulently securing Executorship, the Men and Wo(men) as agents for said entities could then attach the *cestui que* trust and gain control over the Perfect Usufruct, thereby unlawfully affecting Escheat for the benefit of said 3rd party interloper(s) upon the Land and All Free People

COPY



of the Land who hold lawful title of inheritance by The Common Law, the Law of Equity and the Constitution for the united States of America. Wherefore said "courts" of law are barred by Estoppels and Fraud from moving forward in the causes at bar.

DEMAND FOR RELIEF

13. Pursuant to the foregoing, whereas the Petitioner has been unlawfully incarcerated and whereas the Petitioner poses no threat to public safety and whereas the incarceration is for ulterior motives of intimidation and coercion, and whereas it can be proven that multiple violations of Law and statutes have been committed, this *propria persona* Petitioner demands this court vacate the above orders for revocation of bond and the accompanying *capias* and that it be determined to be in violation of The Constitution for the united States of America and the Constitution of the "United States" for reasons which arise from the facts of this case and order the release of Petitioner forthwith and other such relief as the court may deem just and proper.

14. In the alternative, Petitioner requests to be brought before this court to argue her claim in more detail.


Petitioner reserves the right to amend this Petition at any time.

For: r-lotus:justice
Sui Juris
c/o P.O. Box 82251
Columbus, Ohio the State

Currently incarcerated at the
Franklin County Corrections Center
12460 Jackson Pike
Columbus, Ohio the State

COPY

By: Next Friend
michael-anthony:galluzzo
Contact address:
c/o P.O. Box 82251
Columbus, Ohio the State



As Next Friend in this matter, I attest that the statements made herein are true and accurate to the best of my belief and affirm under oath under penalty of perjury by the laws of the united States of America pursuant to Title 28 USC, section 1746.

Witness my hand on this 17th day of the month of February 2015.

michael-anthony:galluzzo
michael-anthony:galluzzo, Next Friend, ARR

michael-anthony:galluzzo
Printed Name

c/o P.O. Box 82251
Columbus, Ohio the State

Signed in my presence by Michael Anthony Galluzzo,
on February 17th, 2015.

State of Ohio
County of Franklin

My commission expires 3-9-19.



MARINA OSIPOVA
Notary Public, State of Ohio
My Commission Expires 03-09-19

M. Osipova

COPY

The Supreme Court of Ohio

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

65 SOUTH FRONT STREET, COLUMBUS, OH 43215

CHIEF JUSTICE
MAUREEN O'CONNOR

JUSTICES
PAUL E. PFEIFER
TERRENCE O'DONNELL
JUDITH ANN LANZINGER
SHARON L. KENNEDY
JUDITH L. FRENCH
WILLIAM M. O'NEILL



CLERK OF THE COURT
SANDRA H. GROSKO

TELEPHONE 614.387.9530
FACSIMILE 614.387.9539
www.supremecourt.ohio.gov

February 6, 2015

Monica Greer Justice
P. O. Box 82251
Columbus, Ohio 43202

Dear Ms. Justice:

The enclosed documents were not filed because they do not comply with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio. It is unclear from the face of the documents whether you are attempting to file an original action or an appeal. These are two separate case types that may not be initiated with one set of documents. See Rule 6.01 and Rule 12.01.

Please note that all documents must be presented on paper that is 8 ½ x 11 inches in size, be secured firmly by a single staple in the upper-left hand corner of the document or be spiral-bound, and be accompanied by the required number of copies. See Rule 3.09 and Rule 3.10.

Additionally, if you are attempting to file an original action:

- The cover (front) page of your complaint must state the name, date, and address of each named respondent. This is the address at which the clerk's office would serve a copy of the complaint and the summons. See Rule 12.02(A).
- The complaint must also be accompanied by 10 copies, plus one additional copy for each named respondent. See Rule 3.10.

Alternatively, if you are attempting to file an appeal of right:

- The notice of appeal must be accompanied by the Ohio court of appeals' decision that is the subject of the appeal. It must be fewer than 45-days old. See Rule 6.01.
- If you are submitting an appeal rather than an original action, only \$100 is required. See Rule 3.04.

You may resubmit your documents once the required changes have been made. A copy of the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio is enclosed for your reference. Your \$200 money order is also enclosed.

Sincerely,
Clerk's Office

Enclosures