ORIGINAL

IN THE SUPREME COURT OHIO

Carlean Dates, sui juris in propria persona c/o Hazelhurst Drive Cincinnati, Ohio [45208]	:	Case No. 2015-0238
Petitioner,	:	
vs.	:	
OHIO FIRST APPELLATE COURT OF APPEALS Lee H. Hildebrandt Jr. (Judge), Patrick F. Fischer (Judge), Patrick T. Dinkelacker (Judge), Penelope R. Cunningham (Judge), Richard P. DeWine (Judge) Sylvia S. Hendon (Judge) 230 East Ninth Street 12th Floor Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	:	
and	:	
CARPENTER, LIPPS & LELAND LLP David A. Wallace 280 Plaza Suite 1300 280 North High Street Columbus, Ohio 43215	:	
Respondent	:	

FILED
MAY 11 2015
CLERK OF COURT
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DISMISSAL OF WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Definitions; The term Affiant (Carlean Dates, sui juris) a living sentient being possessing all the corporeal and incorporeal hereditament rights granted under the Creator, in the status de-jure National of (Ohio). The term Respondent (all persons d\b\a First Appellate Court of Ohio , CARPENTER, LIPPS & LELAND LLP, David A. Wallace and any and all of their employees\principals\ agents\assigns \successors\heirs \nominees\lawyers)

The terms I, me, my, mean (Carlean Dates, sui juris). The terms your\you means any and all persons d\b\a First Appellate Court of Ohio , CARPENTER, LIPPS & LELAND LLP, David A. Wallace and any and all of their employees\principals\ agents\assigns \successors\heirs \nominees\lawyers) who act in regard to this matter.

Introductory Certification

The Undersigned, Carlean Dates, sui juris , hereinafter "Affiant" does herewith solemnly swear, declare, and state that:

1. Affiant state that I am competent and being of the age of majority affirm that my "yes" be "yes" and my "no" be "no" and Affiant is competent to testify and state the matters set forth herein and is willing to testify with firsthand knowledge, all contents herein are true, correct, and complete in accordance with Affiant's knowledge, understanding, and intent., and,
2. Affiant is over the age of 21 and competent to testify to things set forth in this document if called upon, and
3. Affiant has personal knowledge of the facts stated herein.

Plain Statement of Facts

4. I, Affiant or Court has no facts before the court of its jurisdiction
5. I, Affiant or Court has no facts before the court that David A Wallace is not and Officer of the Court
6. I, Affiant or Court has no facts before the court that the Ohio Revised Code does not require all respondents to present their Oath and Bond
7. I, Affiant or Court has no facts before the court that affiant received notice of Motion to Dismiss Writ of Mandamus
8. I, Affiant or Court has no facts before the court that the court has proved jurisdiction over the sentient being Carlean Dates and her personal property her house on the republic land in Ohio
9. I, Affiant or Court has no facts before the court that all cases in common law or equity are not the jurisdiction of Article III Court's
10. I, Affiant or Court has no facts before the court that Affiant's personal property her home is not equity of the Affiant and must be heard in an Article III court
11. I, Affiant or Court has no facts before the court that the property in this case is not Affiants personal property and equity and Affiant does not have a right to demands a common law court under Article III jurisdiction

Specific Negative Averment

Count One: I, Affiant, Carlean Dates, sui juris sentient woman has not received evidentiary facts that Respondent David A Wallace is not an Officer of the court and must present Affiant its Oath and Bond pursuant to statues

Count Two: : I, Affiant, Carlean Dates, sui juris sentient woman has not received evidentiary facts that Respondents Lee H. Hildebrandt Jr. (Judge), Patrick F. Fischer (Judge), Patrick T. Dinkelacker (Judge), Penelope R. Cunningham (Judge),Richard P. DeWine (Judge) Sylvia S. Hendon (Judge) are not required by statue to present affiant with their Oath and Bond

Count Two: : I Affiant, Carlean Dates, sui juris sentient woman has challenge the court's jurisdiction throughout this case and the Court or the Affiant has not receive evidentiary material of the Court's Jurisdiction proven on the Court Record

Count Three: I, Affiant, Carlean Dates, sui juris sentient woman is not now nor has ever been neither 'collective entity' nor 'artificial construct' of any contrivance of man.

By: Carlean Dates
Carlean Dates, sui juris

State of Ohio)
) ss Jurat
County of Hamilton)

On this day 11 of May, in the year 2015 A.D., the foregoing Affidavit of In Support of Motion for Reconsideration of Dismissal was sworn and signed in my presence by Carlean Dates, and gave evidence to fact that this is the person appearing before the Notary Public Michelle Marie Kenning

Michelle Marie Kenning 5-11-15
Notary Public Date

NOTARIAL SEAL STATE OF OHIO

My commission expires May 9, 2018.

Michelle Marie Kenning
Notary Public, State of Ohio
My Commission Expires 05-09-2018

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On 11 day of, May, 2015, the undersigned, served a copy of the foregoing by U.S. MAIL to the following parties:

CARPENTER LIPPS & LELAND LLP
280 PLAZA SUITE 1300
280 NORTH HIGH STREET
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215
Phone: (614) 365-4100
Attorney(s) for Plaintiff-Appellee

OHIO FIRST APPELLATE COURT OF APPEALS
230 East Ninth Street 12th Floor
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

By: Carlean Dates

Carlean Dates, living woman
c/o 12062 Hazelhurst Drive
Cincinnati, Ohio near [45240]
non-domestic