

STATE OF OHIO IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
COUNTY OF LAKE COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH DISTRICT
STATE OF OHIO, JUDGMENT ENTRY
MAUREEN G. KELLY
CLERK OF COURT
LAKE COUNTY, OHIO

Plaintiff-Appellee,

CASE NO. 2013-L-125

- VS -

WILLIAM D. SERGENT,

Defendant-Appellant.

Pursuant to the analysis set forth in this court's opinion, this court finds that the judgments entered in this case and in *State v. Bell*, 11th Dist. Portage No. 2014-P-0017, 2015-Ohio-218, are in conflict with the judgments pronounced on the same question by the Second District Court of Appeals in *State v. Weese*, 2d Dist. Clark No. 2013-CA-61, 2014-Ohio-3267, and the Fourth District Court of Appeals in *State v. Pulliam*, 4th Dist. Scioto No. 14CA3609, 2015-Ohio-759.

Ohio Constitution, Article IV, Section 3(B)(4) provides:

Whenever the judges of a court of appeals find that a judgment upon which they have agreed is in conflict with a judgment pronounced upon the same question by any other court of appeals of the state, the judges shall certify the record of the case to the supreme court for review and final determination.

Pursuant to the foregoing constitutional provision, we sua sponte certify a conflict on the following question to the Supreme Court for review and final determination:

In the context of a jointly-recommended sentence, is the trial court required to make consecutive-sentence findings under R.C. 2929.14(C) in order for its sentence to be authorized by law and thus not appealable?

The attention of counsel for both appellant and appellee is called to the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court, Section 8, Certified-Conflict Cases, for further proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.


JUDGE CYNTHIA WESTCOTT RICE

FOR THE COURT