


ORIGINAL

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

CERTIFICATION

I, Sandra H. Grosko, certify that I was served on the 4<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2015, with a copy of a Show Cause Order filed October 13, 2015, in the case of *Disciplinary Counsel v. Ronald Lee Rosenfield*, (case No. 2015-1635).

I received a true and attested copy of the document set forth above, addressed to the Respondent at his last known address, issued by the Office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Ohio in conformity with Rule V(27)(B) of the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio.

  
Sandra H. Grosko, Clerk

Dated this 4<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2015

FILED  
NOV 04 2015  
CLERK OF COURT  
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

FILED

OCT 13 2015

Case No. 2015-1635

CLERK OF COURT  
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO  
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Disciplinary Counsel,  
Relator,  
v.  
Ronald Lee Rosenfield,  
Respondent.

The Board of Professional Conduct of the Supreme Court of Ohio filed a final report in the office of the clerk of this court. In this final report the board recommends that, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(12)(A)(2), respondent, Ronald Lee Rosenfield, Attorney Registration No. 0021093, be indefinitely suspended from the practice of law with no credit for time served under the interim felony suspension imposed on August 5, 2014. The board further recommends that reinstatement be subject to the conditions that respondent (1) comply with the terms and conditions of his criminal probation, (2) make timely restitution payments in such amounts as are determined by the IRS to be appropriate in light of respondent's income, and (3) following respondent's reinstatement to the practice of law, serve a three-year period of monitored probation to ensure that respondent has (a) properly filed and paid all employment taxes and unemployment taxes that may be due with respect to his law practice following reinstatement, and (b) made timely restitution payments to the IRS. The board further recommends that the costs of these proceedings be taxed to respondent in any disciplinary order entered, so that execution may issue.


On consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that the parties show cause why the recommendation of the board should not be confirmed by the court and the disciplinary order so entered.

It is further ordered that any objections to the findings of fact and recommendation of the board, together with a brief in support thereof, shall be due on or before 20 days from the date of this order. It is further ordered that an answer brief may be filed on or before 15 days after any brief in support of objections has been filed.

After a hearing on the objections, or if no objections are filed within the prescribed time, the court shall enter such order as it may find proper which may be the discipline recommended by the board or which may be more severe or less severe than said recommendation.

It is further ordered that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings and further that unless clearly inapplicable, the Rules of Practice shall apply to these proceedings. All documents are subject to Sup.R. 44 through 47 which govern access to court records.

It is further ordered that service shall be deemed made on respondent by sending this order, and all other orders in this case, to respondent's last known address.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Maureen O'Connor", written over a horizontal line.

Maureen O'Connor  
Chief Justice