

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO,	)	Case Nos. 2015-1341 & 2015-1342
	)	
Plaintiff-Appellee,	)	On Appeal from the
	)	Lake County Court of Appeals,
v.	)	Eleventh Appellate District
	)	
THOMAS WRIGHT, JR.	)	
	)	Court of Appeals Case Nos. 12-CR-744 &
Defendant-Appellant.	)	12-CR-326

---

**APPELLEE'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO ADD AN ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR**

---

CHARLES E. COULSON (0008667)  
PROSECUTING ATTORNEY  
LAKE COUNTY, OHIO

Alana A. Rezaee (0077942) (COUNSEL OF RECORD)  
ASSISTANT PROSECUTING ATTORNEY  
Administration Building  
105 Main Street, P.O. Box 490  
Painesville Ohio 44077  
(440) 350-2683 Fax (440) 350-2585  
Alana.Rezaee@lakecountyohio.gov

COUNSEL FOR APPELLEE, STATE OF OHIO

Stephen A. Goldmeier, Esquire  
Assistant State Public Defender  
Office of Ohio Public Defender  
250 East Broad Street, Suite 1400  
Columbus, OH 43215

COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT,  
THOMAS WRIGHT, JR.

Thomas Wright, Jr., #A643-866  
Trumbull Correctional Institution  
P. O. Box 640  
Leavittsburg, OH 44430

APPELLANT

## MEMORANDUM IN RESPONSE

On December 7, 2015, Appellant Thomas J. Wright filed a pro se “Motion for Leave to Add an Assignment of Error” in Supreme Court Case Nos. 2015-1341 and 2015-1342, which have been consolidated by this Court and held pending this Court’s decision in *State v. Klembus*, 2014-1557, regarding the constitutionality of the repeat OVI specification. In his pending cases, Appellant is represented by counsel from the State Public Defender’s Office, yet he filed this current motion pro se. He alleges that counsel declined to raise the assignment of error he now presents regarding the appellate court’s rejection of his argument pertaining to an alleged uncounseled prior OVI conviction. *State v. Wright*, 11th Dist. Lake No. 2013-L-089, 2015-Ohio-2601, ¶¶15-35. There are procedural defects in Appellant’s pro se filing.

First, Appellant is represented by counsel and should only be filing documents through his attorney. Second, even if his attorney declined to present the issue he now raises, the filing of a “motion for leave to add an assignment of error” is not contemplated by the Supreme Court Rules of Practice, nor is it a proper vehicle to review a proposed issue when a case has been accepted as a certified conflict case and a jurisdictional appeal, as was done in the instant matter. This Court cannot “add” Appellant’s proposed assigned error because it is not an issue that has been accepted by this Court. Moreover, Appellant’s proposed assigned error is not of public or great general interest. In fact, the appellate court thoroughly rejected his fact-specific challenge to his prior conviction. *Wright* at ¶¶ 15-35.

Accordingly, as Appellant's filing is procedurally defective, this Court should deny his request.

**CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the State of Ohio, Appellee herein, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court deny Appellant's pro se "Motion for Leave to Add an Assignment of Error."

Respectfully submitted,

By: Charles E. Coulson, Prosecuting Attorney

By: /s Alana A. Rezaee  
Alana A. Rezaee  
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney  
Counsel of Record

COUNSEL FOR APPELLEE  
STATE OF OHIO

Administration Building  
105 Main Street  
P.O. Box 490  
Painesville, Ohio 44077  
(440) 350-2683                      Fax (440) 350-2585

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

A copy of the foregoing Appellee's Response in Opposition to Appellant's pro se "Motion for Leave to Add an Assignment of Error" was sent by regular U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to Appellant, Thomas Wright, Jr., #A643-866, Trumbull Correctional Institution, P. O. Box 640, Leavittsburg, OH 44430, and to counsel for the Appellant, Stephen A. Goldmeier, Esquire, Assistant State Public Defender, Office of Ohio Public Defender, 250 East Broad Street Suite 1400, Columbus, OH 43215, on this 8<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2015.

/s Alana A. Rezaee

Alana A. Rezaee (0077942)

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney

AAR/klb