

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

STATE EX REL. DOUGLAS E. ODOLECKI,)	
Relator)	Case No. 2016-0436
)	
vs.)	
)	
)	<u>MOTION TO DISMISS ORIGINAL ACTION</u>
FRANK D. CELEBREZZE, JR,)	<u>AS TO RESPONDENTS TIMOTHY</u>
EIGHTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS,)	<u>DE GEETER, MAYOR, AND LOU GALIZIO,</u>
TIMOTHY DE GEETER, and LOU GALIZIO,)	<u>JAIL ADMINISTRATOR, CITY OF PARMA</u>
Respondents.)	

MOTION TO DISMISS OF RESPONDENTS
TIMOTHY DE GEETER, MAYOR, AND
LOU GALIZIO, JAIL ADMINSTRATOR,
CITY OF PARMA

John W. Gold (0078414)
412 Aqua Marine Blvd.
Avon Lake, Ohio 44012

Counsel for Relator

Timothy G. Dobeck (0034699)
Director of Law, City of Parma


L. Christopher Frey (0038964)
Assistant Director of Law
6611 Ridge Road
Parma, Ohio 44129

Counsel for Respondents Timothy DeGeeter,
Mayor and Lou Galizio, Jail Administrator

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

STATE EX REL. DOUGLAS E. ODOLECKI,)	
Relator)	Case No. 2016-0436
)	
vs.)	
)	
)	<u>MOTION TO DISMISS ORIGINAL ACTION</u>
FRANK D. CELEBREZZE, JR,)	<u>AS TO RESPONDENTS TIMOTHY DE GEETER,</u>
EIGHTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS,)	<u>MAYOR, AND LOU GALIZIO, JAIL</u>
TIMOTHY DE GEETER, and LOU GALIZIO,)	<u>ADMINISTRATOR, CITY OF PARMA</u>
Respondents.)	

Now come Respondents Timothy DeGeeter, Mayor, and Lou Galizio, Jail Administrator, City of Parma, by and through Timothy G. Dobeck, Director of Law for the City of Parma and undersigned counsel, and hereby moves this Honorable Court to Dismiss the Original Action seeking a Writs of Habeas Corpus, Mandamus and Prohibition for the reasons set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy G. Dobeck, Atty. Reg 0034699
Director of Law, City of Parma
L. Christopher Frey, Attny. Reg 0038964
Assistant Director of Law
6611 Ridge Road
Parma, Ohio 44129
(440)885-8132
(440)885-8008 (fax)
tdobeck@parmalaw.org
cfrey@parmalaw.org

**ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENTS
TIMOTHY DEGEETER AND LOU GALIZIO**

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT TO MOTION TO DISMISS

I. History of the case

Relator, Douglas E. Odolecki, is currently housed in the municipal jail for the City of Parma, serving consecutive sentences in two cases tried in the Parma Municipal Court, cases 14CRB02839 and 15CRB30555. Case 14CRB02839 involves a conviction for obstruction, Parma Codified Ordinance 606.14, a misdemeanor of the 2nd degree with the offense date of June 13, 2014. Upon conviction for the offense, Relator was fined \$200 and sentenced to a period of incarceration of 90 days.

In the second case, 15CRB03055, Relator was charged with obstruction, PCO 606.14; misconduct at an emergency, PCO 648.07, a 1st degree misdemeanor; and disorderly conduct, PCO 648.04, a 4th degree misdemeanor. This case originated with charges on July 29, 2015. Upon conviction on these charges, Relator was sentenced to a total of 150 days and fined a total of \$500. The sentences in 14CRB02839 and 15CRB30555 were ordered to be served consecutively. Relator began service of sentence on February 11, 2016, after Relator's oral request for a stay of execution and bail was denied by the trial judge, the Honorable Deanna O'Donnell.

After filing his notice of appeal, Relator requested an appellate bond be issued by the Ohio Eighth District Court of Appeals on February 26, 2016, case CA 16 104160. Judge Frank Celebrezze Jr. and Judge Patricia Blackmon, by entry dated March 10, 2016, denied Relator's request. The present petition in this Court seeking writs of habeas corpus, mandamus and prohibition was commenced March 23, 2016. For the reasons that follow, Parma Respondents, Mayor Timothy DeGetter and Jail Administrator Lou Galizio, respectfully request the application for the above named writs be denied.

II. **Law and Argument**

A. **Mandamus.**

Mandamus is issued in the name of the state to an inferior tribunal, corporation, board or person, commanding the performance of an act which the law specifically enjoins as a duty resulting from the office, trust or station. ORC 2731.05. Mandamus, however, will not lie when there exists an adequate remedy at law. Relator does not allege that the Parma Respondents have failed to act or are in breach of a duty owed Relator. As such, he fails to establish a necessary element of the writ. Moreover, an adequate remedy exists to challenge the denial of appellate bond and the application for mandamus is inappropriate. As this Court has previously determined in *State, ex rel. Pirman v. Money*, 69 Ohio St.3d 591 (1994), habeas corpus is the proper vehicle to challenge the refusal to set bail after a judgment of conviction. Accordingly, as to the application for a writ of mandamus, this application should be summarily dismissed.

B. **Prohibition.**

A party seeking a writ of prohibition must establish that a court or officer against whom the writ is sought is about to exercise judicial or quasi-judicial power; that such exercise of power is unauthorized by law; and that the failure to issue the writ would result in an injury to the party seeking the writ for which there exists no other adequate remedy at law. *State, ex rel. Lehmann v. Cmich*, 23 Ohio St.2d 11 (1970).

In his complaint filed with this Court, Relator asserts that the Parma Respondents should be restrained from admitting him to the Parma jail pending the Court of Appeals establishment of an appellate bond. Relator was admitted to the Parma jail on or about February 11, 2016, pursuant to the sentencing entries from the Parma Municipal Court in cases 14CRB02839 and 15CRB30555. Relator

makes no assertion that the Parma Respondents were exercising judicial or quasi-judicial power or that the authority requiring them to confine individuals sentenced by the Municipal Court is unauthorized by law. As the Eighth District Court of Appeals recognized in *Harris v. Cuyahoga County Sheriff's Department*, 2003-Ohio-564, CA 82307, (Feb. 6, 2003), an application for prohibition will not lie as to the Sheriff as the execution of sentence is not the exercise of judicial or quasi-judicial power, rather it is fulfilling an executive power required by law.

As in *Harris*, Parma Respondents are exercising an executive power to operate the Parma jail and hold Relator under a sentence issued by the Municipal Court. Finally, error, if any, in the sentence is subject to an adequate remedy by way of appeal. For these reasons, the application for a writ of prohibition must be denied.

C. Habeas Corpus.

As this Court held in *State, ex rel. Pirman v. Money*, supra, there exists no constitutional right to post conviction bail. Rather, the setting of bail for an appellant convicted of a criminal offense is within the sound discretion of the trial and appellate courts, and subject to Crim.R. 46 and App.R. 8. In the instant case, a stay of execution of sentence and appellate bond were appropriately denied.

Relator has demonstrated a pattern of interference with the regular duties of the Parma Police Department, first in June 2014, by attempting to direct drivers away for a lawfully established DUI and informational checkpoint, case 14 CRB02839, and then in July 2015, wherein he injected himself in an incident where Parma Police Officers were dealing with a suicidal teen. Since his conviction and sentence, Relator has encouraged confederates to obtain residential information for Municipal Court Judge Deanna O'Donnell in an effort to contact the Judge at her home. In a recorded call from the Parma jail dated February 16, 2016, Relator is telling a male listener that in response to the listener's assertion that an "all call alert" has been sent out, that in a similar case from Zanesville, "they actually got the judge's home phone number, so that's something we should do with this bitch and put her

home phone number in there instead of Just calling the jail 'cause she's never going to know that. She's the one that's going to have the major influence on what happens." Relator's post-sentence behavior is in clear contravention of Crim. R. 46(B)(5) and demonstrates a continued disdain for the rule of law and poses an on-going threat to the orderly administration of justice..

Moreover, Relator has prior out-of-state felony convictions for criminal sexual contact, Superior Court of New Jersey, case 92-895, Exhibit 1 attached, and burglary of a vehicle, District Court of Cameron County, Texas, case 920CR-903-C, Exhibit 2 attached. Relator's criminal history belies any notion that he will lead a law abiding life should he be granted an appellate bond. Crim. R. 46(C)(4).

As this Court has stated, a decision to grant bail after conviction should be overturned only if the criminal defendant can show a patent abuse of discretion. *Coleman v. McGettrick*, 2 Ohio St.2d 177 (1965). Accord, *State v. Miller*, 77 Ohio App.3d 305 (1991).

Relator's request for an appellant bond was fully briefed in the Eighth District Court of Appeals. Relator filed his initial motion for an appellate bond on February 26, 2016, and subsequent pleadings with the Appellate Court on February 29, 2016, March 9, 2016, and March 10, 2016. Relator has been given a full and fair opportunity to make the case for bond. The decision of the Appellate Court in no way constitutes an abuse of discretion. While the entry from the Appellate Court does not elaborate on its reasoning, this Court can and should presume the Eighth District considered the factors in Cr. R. 46 and determined a stay and bond were not appropriate in this case.

Relator's pleadings in this Court and with the court below assert that he will have served his entire jail sentence prior to his appeal determination. Relator cites to ORC 20929.14(C) for the proposition that the Municipal Court Judge erred in her sentencing determination. However, the findings required under this provision apply to felony sentences to be served in a state Department of Rehabilitation and Correction. See, ORC 2929.01(AA). Rather, ORC 2929.41(B)(1) provides that multiple misdemeanor sentences may be ordered consecutively by the trial court but may not exceed 18 months.

Relator's sentence of 240 days is well within the allowed range. Moreover, because Relator has not yet served even the first 90 day period, he can demonstrate no injury from the denial of an appellate bond.

D. Conclusion

For the reasons set forth above, Respondents Mayor Timothy DeGeeter and Jail Administrator Lou Galizio, respectfully request the application for writs of habeas corpus, mandamus and prohibition be denied.

Respectfully submitted,



Timothy G. Dobeck (0034699)

Law Director, City of Parma

L. Christopher Frey (0038964)

Assistant Director of Law

6611 Ridge Road

Parma, OH 44129

(440) 885-8132

tdobeck@parmalaw.org


cfrey@parmalaw.org

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENTS

TIMOTHY DEGEETER AND LOU GALIZIO

Certificate of Service

A copy of the foregoing **Motion to Dismiss with Memorandum in Support** was sent through the Court's electronic mail and regular US mail this 1st day of April to John W. Gold, Attorney for Relator, 412 Aqua Marine Boulevard, Avon Lake, Ohio 44012, and Jordan Berman and Kevin Hulick, Assistant Ohio Attorneys General, 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215, Counsel for Respondents Judge Frank Celebrezze, Jr., and Eighth District Court of Appeals.



Timothy G. Dobeck

Law Director

THE STATE OF TEXAS)	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
VS	:	CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
DOUGLAS ODOLECKI)	197TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PROBATION JUDGMENT

BE IT REMEMBERED that on the 14th day of December, 1992, this cause was called for trial, and the State appeared by her Assistant Criminal District Attorney, and the Defendant, Douglas Odolecki, appeared in person, his counsel by employment, the Hon. Edmund Cyganiewicz, also being present, and all parties announced ready for trial, and the Court having granted the motion to proceed on the first count of the indictment, Defendant, in open court, in person, after having been duly arraigned, pleaded nolo contendere to the first count of the indictment. The Defendant was admonished by the Court of the range of punishment attached to the offense and the fact that any recommendation of the prosecuting attorney as to punishment is not binding on this Court. It plainly appearing to the Court that the Defendant is mentally competent and that his plea is free and voluntary the said plea was by the Court received and is now entered upon the Minutes of the Court as the plea herein of said Defendant. Thereupon, the Defendant, in person in open court, having waived the right of trial by jury in writing, requested the Court to approve the waiver of jury. The Court then determined that such waiver in writing, signed by the Defendant, had been filed herein before the Defendant entered his plea of nolo contendere and that the attorney representing the State had consented in writing to such waiver. The consent and approval of the Court for the Defendant to waive the right of trial by jury was then granted.

WHEREUPON, the Defendant proceeded to trial before the Court, who having heard and considered the pleadings and evidence offered, is of the opinion therefrom, and so finds, that the

A TRUE COPY I CERTIFY
 AURORA DE LA GARZA CLERK
 DISTRICT COURT CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
 OCT 06 2014
 BY *Dominique Garza*
 DEPUTY

Defendant is guilty of the offense of Burglary of a Vehicle, as alleged in the first count of the Indictment, which offense was committed on July 20, 1992, in Cameron County, Texas.


IT IS, THEREFORE, CONSIDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court that the Defendant, Douglas Odolecki, is guilty of the offense of Burglary of a Vehicle, as alleged in the first count of the Indictment, as confessed by him in his plea of guilty herein made.

WHEREUPON, the cause was recessed until February 8, 1993, and a pre-sentence report was ordered on the Defendant.

THEREAFTER, on February 8, 1993, this cause was again called for hearing and the Court having received and studied the pre-sentence report on the Defendant and all parties having announced ready to proceed, the cause proceeded in the punishment phase, and the court having heretofore found the Defendant guilty of the first count of the indictment, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Defendant be punished by confinement in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Institutional Division, for a period of six (6) years and \$1,500.00 fine and that the State of Texas do have and recover of the said Defendant all costs in this prosecution expended, for which execution will issue.

The said Defendant having made application in due time and form for probation under the Adult Probation and Parole Law of this State on his conviction herein, and the Court being of the opinion and finding from the evidence herein that the Defendant, Douglas Odolecki should be placed on probation, and that the imposition of sentence under the Judgment of this Court herein shall be and the same is hereby suspended for a period of nine (9) years from the date hereof. Said probation and suspension of imposition of said sentence shall be conditioned that the Defendant during the entirety of the term of probation shall:

- (a) Commit no offense against the laws of this State or any other State or of the United States;
- (b) Avoid injurious or vicious habits;
- (c) Avoid persons or places of disreputable or harmful character;



 A TRUE COPY I CERTIFY
 AURORA DE LA GARZA CLERK
 DISTRICT COURT CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
 BY *Donna J. Garza*
 DEPUTY

CERTIFIED COPY

- (d) Report to the Probation Officer at the Probation Office monthly between the fourth Monday and the following Friday of each month;
- (e) Report to the Probation Officer (in addition to the reporting required by (d) above) when, where and in the manner as may hereafter be ordered by the Court through the Probation Officer;
- (f) Permit the Probation Officer to visit Probationer at Probationer's home, work, or elsewhere at any and all times;
- (g) Work faithfully at suitable employment as far as possible;
- (h) Remain in Cameron County, Texas, unless Probationer shall have first secured the written consent of the Court to leave the county and filed it in the papers of this cause; however, Probationer has been granted permission to reside in Hopelawn, Middlesex County, New Jersey, and shall be under the Middlesex County Adult Probation Department's supervision;
- (i) Pay \$100.00 every month between the first and tenth day of the month beginning in the month next following entry of this Judgment, until the fine of \$1,500.00 shall have been paid;
- (j) Pay court costs in the sum of \$106.50 within sixty (60) days after the date of entry of this Judgment;
- (k) Pay a probation fee of \$40.00 per month every month of the probationary period between the first and tenth day of the month beginning in the month next following entry of this Judgment until the sum of \$4,320.00 shall have been paid;
- (l) Pay \$1,498.35 restitution, in equal monthly installments of \$100.00 per month each between the first and tenth day of every month beginning in the month next following the entry of this Judgment and continuing until such restitution is paid in full;
- (m) Pay the Adult Probation Department \$200.00 reimbursement for Pre-Sentence Investigation conducted, payable at the rate of \$15.00 per month
- (n) Support Probationer's legal dependents;
- (o) Submit to random urine analysis by authorized personnel for the probation department, reveal to said authorized personnel proof of any medications legally prescribed prior to submitting specimen. A urine specimen positive for any controlled substances, dangerous drugs, or marijuana, not legally prescribed for you, may result in an adjudication of guilt or revocation of probation.
- (p) File with the Probation Officer at the Probation Office between the first and tenth day of every month next following a default in any payment required of Probationer by this Judgment a detailed statement in writing under oath of all income and expenses received and expended by the Probationer during the entire month in which the default occurred.
- (q) File with the Probation Officer at the Probation Office between the first and tenth day of every month next following a calendar month in which Probationer was gainfully employed less than 150 hours

DISTRICT COURT CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

OCT 06 2014

BY *Donna J. Mize*
DEPUTY

ment in writing under oath of all efforts made by Probationer to secure and hold employment during the entire month in which not gainfully employed 150 hours.

- (r) Within ten (10) days after the event, report in writing to the Probation Officer any arrest of probationer and/or criminal charge filed against probationer.

By the term "the Probation Officer" as used herein is meant any Cameron County Adult Probation Officer; by the term "Probation Office" is meant the Cameron County Adult Probation Office, First Floor, Cameron County Hall of Justice, Brownsville, Texas; by the term "Probationer" is meant the Defendant in this cause.

All payments required of Probationer by this Judgment shall be paid within the time specified at the Probation Office to the Probation Officer for which Probationer shall receive the Probationer Officer's sequentially numbered receipt evidencing payment.

All payments received under this Judgment shall be forthwith deposited by the Probation Officer in the Cameron County Adult Probation Trust Fund in the County Depository and thereafter disbursed in accordance with the District Courts' Order of March 21, 1975, recorded in Volume 4, Page 1008, of the Minutes of this Court. Under the authority of that Order and this Judgment, disbursement shall be made without further order of the Court:


1. to various parties as ordered by the Court through the Adult Probation Department for restitution in the amount of \$1,498.35;
2. to the District Clerk of Cameron County, Texas for court costs in the amount of \$106.50;

such disbursement to be made upon full collection of the amounts above specified or periodically on a pro rata basis. All other payments made under this Judgment shall await further written order of the Court as per the District Courts' Order of March 21, 1975.

This Court reserves all rights vested in it by law to control by its further orders, the modification and termination of the provisions of the probation hereinabove set out, its jurisdiction being thereby expressly reserved until the satisfactory fulfillment of the conditions of said probation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that Defendant's left or right index finger be fingerprinted, and that said fingerprint be marked as Exhibit "A" and is made a part hereof for all purposes.

SIGNED FOR ENTRY: February 8, 1993.




A TRUE COPY I CERTIFY
AURORA DE LA GARZA CLERK Judge Presiding
DISTRICT COURT CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

OCT 06 2014

BY Domigo Garza
DEPUTY

VOL. 161 PAGE 377



CERTIFIED COPY

FILED 10:00 O'CLOCK A M
AURORA DE LA GARZA, DIST. CLERK

CAUSE NO. 92-CR-903-C

JUN 24 2002

STATE OF TEXAS

§

DISTRICT COURT, CAMERON COUNTY TEXAS
IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF DEPUTY

V.

§

ELOY CORTEZ, JR.
CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS

DOUGLAS ODOLECKI

§

197TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

STATE'S MOTION TO DISMISS STATE'S MOTION TO REVOKE

COMES NOW, THE STATE OF TEXAS, by and through her County and District Attorney, and moves the court to dismiss the above titled and numbered cause's State's Motion to Revoke against the above named Defendant for the following reasons, to-wit:

The defendant is on probation in New Jersey and John Blaylock decided not to extradite.

Respectfully Submitted,
Yolanda De Leon

BY: Chris Moore
Chris Moore
Assistant County (Criminal) Attorney
Bar No. 24011075
974 East Harrison Street
Brownsville, Texas 78520
(956) 544-0849

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

ON THIS the 21st day of June 2002, came on to be heard the written motion the State's Attorney, filed herein, asking permission of the Court to dismiss this cause against the Defendant, Douglas Odolecki, for the reasons set out in said Motion, and the reasons so stated are good and sufficient to authorize such dismissal.

IT IS THEREFORE CONSIDERED, ORDERED, AND ADJUDGED by the Court that this criminal action be, and the same is hereby, dismissed as to the aforesaid Defendant's Motion to Revoke.

06/24/02 COPIES TO:

HON CHRIS MOORE
PROBATION (CC)
JAIL

Madalia Lopez
PRESIDING JUDGE

FILED 1:30 O'CLOCK P M
AURORA DE LA GARZA, DIST. CLERK

JUN 24 2002

A TRUE COPY I CERTIFY
AURORA DE LA GARZA CLERK
DISTRICT COURT CAMERON COUNTY, TEXAS
OCT 06 2014
BY Jameso Diaz
DEPUTY

DISTRICT COURT, CAMERON COUNTY TEXAS
BY ELOY CORTEZ, JR.
DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY

CRIMINAL RECORDS
COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX

Middlesex County Courthouse
56 Paterson Street
P.O. BOX 964
New Brunswick, NJ 08903-0964

Bradley J Ferenez
Presiding Judge Criminal

Vicki Dzingleski DiCaro
Criminal Division Manager
PHONE # (732) 519-3837



Gregory Edwards
Trial Court Administrator

City of Parma, Ohio
Parma Police Department
Department of Public Safety
5555 Powers Blvd.
Parma, Ohio 44129

Attn: Sgt. Kevin Riley #701 Criminal Inv.
Unit

Date: Sept. 24, 2014
Re: Douglas Odolecki
Indictment: 1530-08-92

Dear Sir/Madam,

We have received your request for information. Enclosed you will find the following documents:

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Indictment/Accusation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Certified |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Judgment of Conviction(s) or Dismissal | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Certified |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Copy of Order for Dismissal | <input type="checkbox"/> | Certified |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Copy of Bench Warrant | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Copy of Search Warrant | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Copy of Guilty Plea | | |

Other --

If we can provide additional information or be of further assistance in the future, please do not hesitate to contact us at 732-519-3854.

Sincerely,
TAMIKO HARRIS
Vicki Dzingleski DiCaro, Criminal Division Manager

State of New Jersey

New Jersey Superior Court
MIDDLESEX County
Law Division - Criminal

EDWARD DOUGLAS ODOLECKI

Defendant (Specify Complete Name)

PROSECUTOR NO. 92-895

5/12/71 DATE OF BIRTH
 691181B S.S.I.#
 2/16/92 DATE OF ARREST
 8/21/92 DATE IND / ACC FILED
 10/15/92 DATE OF ORIGINAL PLEA
 NOT GUILTY GUILTY ORIGINAL PLEA

- Judgment of Conviction
- Change of Judgment
- Order for Commitment
- Indictment/Accusation Dismissed
- Judgment of Acquittal

ADJUDICATION BY: DATE

GUILTY PLEA 10/15/92

JURY TRIAL

NON-JURY TRIAL

Dismissed/ Acquitted

ORIGINAL CHARGES

IND / ACC. NO.	Count	Description	Degree	Statute
I.1530-8-92	1	Sexual Assault	2nd	2C:14-2c
	2	Criminal Sexual Contact	4th	2C:14-3b

FINAL CHARGES

Count	Description	Degree	Statute
2	SAME AS CITED ABOVE		

It is, therefore, on 12/7/92 ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the defendant is sentenced as follows:

As to count 2 - probation for three (3) years on the following conditions:

- 1 - Defendant shall continue Mental Health Counselling until discharged.
- 2 - Defendant shall complete 150 hours of community service.
- 3 - Defendant shall have no contact with victim.

Count 1 of I.1530-8-92 and S743042 as to this defendant are dismissed.

(See I.755-5-92 for dismissal)

All payments of fines, penalties and restitution ordered by the Court except payments made on the date of sentencing shall be by either cash, money order or certified check.

It is further ORDERED that the sheriff deliver the defendant to the appropriate correctional authority.

Defendant is to receive credit for time spent in custody. 3 TOTAL NO. DAYS 2/16/92 to 2/18/92 DATES (From - To)

Total Custodial Term _____ Institution _____ Total Probation Term 3 years

Administrative Office of the Courts
State Bureau of Identification

CP0106 (Rev. 11/89) Replaces LR-34 & LR-35
CDR 4 (Rev. 11/89)

COPIES TO: CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER, STATE POLICE, AOC CRIMINAL PRACTICE DIVISION, DEPT OF CORRECTIONS or COUNTY PENAL INSTITUTION

I, Gregory Edwards, Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of New Jersey, in and for the County of Middlesex, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the recordation on file in my office.
 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this 15th day of October, 1992.
 Gregory Edwards
 Deputy Clerk

