

12/17/97

## SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

## ANNOUNCEMENT

WEDNESDAY

December 17, 1997

## DISCIPLINARY DOCKET

96-431. Disciplinary Counsel v. Connaughton.

On June 26, 1996, this court permanently disbarred respondent, Daniel E. Connaughton. The court further ordered respondent, within ninety days, to reimburse any amounts that had been awarded against him by the Clients' Security Fund, and to reimburse the Clients' Security Fund for any awards made against him after June 26, 1996, within ninety days of the notice of such award. On October 13, 1997, the Clients' Security Fund filed a motion for order to show cause why respondent should not be held in contempt for failure to reimburse the Clients' Security Fund. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by this court that the motion be, and is hereby granted to the extent that respondent show cause by filing a written response with the Clerk of this court on or before twenty days from the date of this order why he should not be found in contempt.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

96-522. Disciplinary Counsel v. Trumbo.

On August 21, 1996, this court indefinitely suspended respondent, Kimberlee-Joy Trumbo. The court further ordered respondent, within ninety days, to reimburse any amounts that had been awarded against her by the Clients' Security Fund, and to reimburse the Clients' Security Fund for any awards made against her after August 21, 1996, within ninety days of the notice of such award. On October 13, 1997, the Clients' Security Fund filed a motion for order to show cause why respondent should not be held in contempt for failure to reimburse the Clients' Security Fund. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by this court that the motion be, and is hereby granted to the extent that respondent show cause by filing a written response with the Clerk of this court on or before twenty days from the date of this order why she should not be

found in contempt.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

Resnick, J., not participating.

96-1513. In re Resignation of Levine.

On July 23, 1996, this court accepted the resignation of respondent, Neal Brent Levine. The court further ordered respondent, within ninety days, to reimburse any amounts that had been awarded against him by the Clients' Security Fund, and to reimburse the Clients' Security Fund for any awards made against him after July 23, 1996, within ninety days of the notice of such award. On October 30, 1997, the Clients' Security Fund filed an amended motion for order to show cause why respondent should not be held in contempt for failure to reimburse the Clients' Security Fund. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by this court that the motion be, and is hereby granted to the extent that respondent show cause by filing a written response with the Clerk of this court on or before twenty days from the date of this order why he should not be found in contempt.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

96-2432. Toledo Bar Assn. v. Bell.

On March 26, 1997, this court suspended respondent, Angelia D. Bell, for two years with the second year stayed on conditions. On October 31, 1997, relator filed a motion for order to show cause why respondent should not be held to be in violation of the court's March 26, 1997, order. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by this court that the motion be, and is hereby granted to the extent that respondent show cause by filing a written response with the Clerk of this court on or before twenty days from the date of this order why she should not be found in contempt.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

Resnick and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., would dismiss.

97-1742. Disciplinary Counsel v. Bandy.

On August 18, 1997, the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline filed its Final Report in this court. On October 2, 1997, Charles W. Kettlewell filed a Notice of Substitution of Counsel and Request for Permission to Withdraw as Counsel. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by this court that the request be, and is, hereby, granted.

97-1904. Columbus Bar Assn. v. Dye.

On September 5, 1997, the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline filed its Final Report in this court. On October 23, 1997, respondent, Lewis William Dye, filed a motion for leave to file additional materials. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by this court that the motion be, and is, hereby, granted.

Moyer, C.J., dissents.

97-2153. In re Nentwick.

On October 30, 1997, the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline of the Supreme Court notified this court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(5), of the treatment in lieu of conviction, entered by the Columbiana County Court of Common Pleas on September 29, 1997, in case No. 97-CR-118 in State of Ohio v. John N. Nentwick, against John N. Nentwick, Attorney Registration No. 0061315, last known business address in East Liverpool, Ohio.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered that this matter be, and is hereby, referred to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel for further investigation without the imposition of an interim suspension against respondent at this time.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that service shall be deemed made on respondent by sending this order, and all other orders in this case, by certified mail to the most recent address respondent has given to the Attorney Registration Office.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

97-2344. In re Resignation of O'Neill.

The resignation of Michael James O'Neill of Columbus, Ohio, Attorney Registration No. 0047295, is accepted.

Moyer, C.J., Douglas, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer, Cook and Lundberg Stratton, JJ., concur.