

**STEINER ET AL., APPELLANTS, v. GANLEY TOYOTA-MERCEDES BENZ ET AL.,
APPELLEES.**

**[Cite as *Steiner v. Ganley Toyota-Mercedes Benz*, 99 Ohio St.3d 211, 2003-
Ohio-3139.]**

*Torts — Negligence — Open-and-obvious doctrine remains viable in Ohio —
Court of appeals’ judgment affirmed on authority of *Armstrong v. Best
Buy Co., Inc.**

(No. 2002-1216 — Submitted June 4, 2003 — Decided July 2, 2003.)

CERTIFIED by the Court of Appeals for Summit County, No. 20767, 2002-Ohio-
2326.

{¶1} The judgment of the court of appeals is affirmed on the authority
of *Armstrong v. Best Buy Co., Inc.*, 99 Ohio St.3d 79, 2003-Ohio-2573, 788
N.E.2d 1088.

MOYER, C.J., RESNICK, F.E. SWEENEY, LUNDBERG STRATTON and
O’CONNOR, JJ., concur.

PFEIFER, J., dissents.

O’DONNELL, J., not participating.

Buckingham, Doolittle & Burroughs, L.L.P., and David P. Bertsch, for
appellants.
