## The Supreme Court of Ohio

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

#### **September 17, 2014**

[Cite as 09/17/2014 Case Announcements, 2014-Ohio-3972.]

## MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

# 2012-1723. Snyder v. Ohio Dept. of Natural Resources, Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-3942.

Jefferson App. No. 11JE27, 2012-Ohio-4039. Judgment reversed and cause remanded.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

O'Donnell, J., dissents.

## 2012-1814. State ex rel. Dawson v. Gentzler Tool & Die Corp., Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-3957.

Franklin App. No. 11AP-1017, 2012-Ohio-4418. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

## 2013-1665. State ex rel. Kirkpatrick v. Rice, Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-3958.

Trumbull App. No. 2013-T-0004, 2013-Ohio-3978. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

#### 2014-0120. State v. Moore.

Mahoning App. No. 08 MA 20, 2013-Ohio-5868. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Mahoning County.

Upon consideration of the motions for admission pro hac vice of Joseph R. Guerra, Jennifer J. Clark, and Kwaku A. Akowuah, it is ordered by the court that the motions are granted. Pursuant to Gov.Bar R. XII(4), counsel shall file a notice of permission to appear pro hac vice with the Supreme Court's Office of Attorney Services within 30 days of the date of this entry.

## 2014-0354. In re A.C.

Hamilton App. Nos. C-130416, C-130464 and C-130467, 2014-Ohio-174. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Hamilton County.

Upon consideration of appellee's motion to file record under seal, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

## 2014-1286. State ex rel. Johnson v. Rothgery.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus.

Upon consideration of relator's motion to strike respondent's August 6, 2014 filing with request to provide the magistrate's July 11, 2014 order in the pending court of appeals case, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied. It is further ordered by the court, sua sponte, that relator may file a response to respondent's motion to dismiss within 10 days of the date of this entry.

## **DISCIPLINARY CASES**

## 2004-1799 and 2007-1919. Toledo Bar Assn. v. Lowden.

These causes came on for further consideration upon relator's filing on August 13, 2014, of a motion to show cause and a second motion to seal and to deny application for reinstatement.

Upon consideration of relator's motion to show cause, it is ordered by this court that the motion is denied.

Upon consideration of relator's second motion to seal, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted. The report of Thomas G. Sherman, M.D., attached to relator's motion is hereby filed under seal in this matter.

Upon consideration of relator's motion to deny application for reinstatement, it is ordered that relator's motion is granted. Respondent's application for reinstatement filed on January 24, 2013, is hereby denied as moot.

It is further ordered that respondent's request for a second opinion filed April 14, 2014, and previously held in abeyance, is denied. It is further ordered that respondent's request for a second opinion filed September 8, 2014, is denied as moot.

## **MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS**

In re Report of the Commission on Continuing Legal Education. Case Nos. CLE-2001-0005284 CLE-2005-0005284 CLE-2007-0005284

Richard Michael Summers (#0005284), Respondent.

#### ORDER

These causes came on for further consideration upon respondent's filing of a motion to vacate on June 30, 2014, and of a motion to file a medical report on August 13, 2014. The motions were opposed.

Upon consideration of respondent's motion to vacate, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied. Upon consideration of respondent's motion to file a medical report, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied. It is further ordered by the court that respondent's motion to file a medical report filed September 4, 2014, is denied as moot.