

[Cite as *Tucci v. Wellington*, 2003-Ohio-4608.]

STATE OF OHIO, MAHONING COUNTY  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
SEVENTH DISTRICT

DANIEL TUCCI,	)	
	)	
PETITIONER,	)	CASE NO. 03-MA-90
	)	
VS.	)	OPINION
	)	and
RANDALL WELLINGTON,	)	JOURNAL ENTRY
SHERIFF OF MAHONING COUNTY,	)	
	)	
RESPONDENT.	)	

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDINGS: Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

JUDGMENT: Petition dismissed.

APPEARANCES:

For Petitioner: Attorney Paul Mancino  
75 Public Square #1016  
Cleveland, Ohio 44113-2098

For Respondents: Paul J. Gains  
Mahoning County Prosecuting Attorney  
Janice T. O'Halloran  
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney  
21 West Boardman Street  
Youngstown, Ohio 44503

JUDGES:

Hon. Gene Donofrio  
Hon. Cheryl L. Waite  
Hon. Mary DeGenaro

Dated: August 26, 2003

PER CURIAM.

{¶1} Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus was filed on May 27, 2003 asserting illegal and unconstitutional restraint in violation of the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution, Article I, Section 9 of the Ohio Constitution and Rule 46 of the Ohio Rules of Criminal Procedure. Petitioner was detained by Respondent awaiting further proceedings, after this Court vacated Petitioner's plea in Common Pleas Case No. 01CR396 and ordered the cause remanded. (*State v. Daniel Tucci*, 7th Dist. No. 01CA234, 2002-Ohio-6903).

{¶2} Petitioner asserts that he originally had posted bond in the amount of Twelve-Thousand Dollars (\$12,000.00), at ten percent, and was free on bond until the date of sentence. He further asserts that the trial court has not acted on his motion for bond pending the criminal proceedings against him. Subsequent to the filing of the petition, on June 3, 2003, the trial court overruled the motion to set bond.

{¶3} On June 13, 2003 Respondent filed a motion to dismiss this petition, arguing fatal procedural defects. Respondent contends that Petitioner has failed to present any Crim.R. 46 relevant factors which would demonstrate entitlement to bond, and more importantly, that Petitioner has failed to comply with R.C. 2969.25 in filing this habeas petition.

{¶4} Under R.C. 2969.25(A):

{¶5} "At the time that an inmate commences a civil action or appeal against a government entity or employee, the inmate shall file with the court an affidavit that contains a description of each civil action or appeal of a civil action that the inmate has filed in the previous five years in any state or federal court."

{¶6} Petitioner herein has not filed an affidavit in compliance with the statute. Failure to comply with the mandatory requirements of R.C. 2969.25 is cause for dismissal. *State ex rel. Zanders v. Ohio Parole Bd.* (1988), 82 Ohio St.3d 421; *Ali A*

*Akbar-El v. Ohio Dept. of Rehabilitation and Corrections, et al.* (1998), 126 Ohio App.3d 644.

{¶7} While counsel for Petitioner has appended an affidavit verifying the allegations of the petition, the affidavit required by statute has not been submitted. Moreover, Petitioner has not taken any curative action in response to the Respondent's motion to dismiss.

{¶8} Accordingly, the petition is dismissed for failure of Petitioner to comply with the mandatory requirements of R.C. 2969.25(A) and for the further reason that Petitioner has been granted judicial release.

{¶9} Costs of this action taxed against Petitioner.

{¶10} Final order. Clerk to serve notice as provided in the civil rules.

Donofrio, J., concurs  
Waite, P.J., concurs  
DeGenaro, J., concurs