[Cite as Mayor v. Ford Motor Co., 2003-Ohio-2869.]

COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO, EIGHTH DISTRICT

COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

NO. 81835

BEVERLY M. MAYOR,

: JOURNAL ENTRY

PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT

v.

:

OPINION

AND

FORD MOTOR CO., ET AL.

DEFENDANTS-APPELLEES

DATE OF ANNOUNCEMENT

OF DECISION:

June 5, 2003

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING:

Civil Appeal from Common Pleas Court, Case No. CV 462513.

JUDGMENT:

DISMISSED.

DATE OF JOURNALIZATION:

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Appellant:

Andrew S. Lipton

The Lipton Law Offices 412 Fourteenth Street

Toledo, OH 43624

Ronald L. Simon

Simon and Associates 1707 N. Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 William B. Baggett

William B. Baggett, Jr.

Baggett, McCall, Burgess & Watson

3006 Country Club Road Lake Charles, LA 70606

Herschel L. Hobson

Law Offices of Herschel L. Hobson

2190 Harrison Avenue Beaumont, TX 77701-3805

For Defendants-Appellees: Airco, Inc.

Randall L. Solomon Michael E. Mumford Baker & Hostetler

3200 National City Center

1900 East 9th Street Cleveland, OH 44114-3485

Air Products & Chemicals, Inc.

Peter Turner

Conway, Marken, Wyner, Kurant

& Kern

30195 Chagrin Blvd., Suite 300

Cleveland, OH 44124

Thorne D. Harris, III 3350 Ridgelake Drive, Suite 101 Metairie, LA 70002

Allied Signal, Inc.

Gail C. Ford Joseph R. Miller

Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease

52 East Gay Street

P.O. Box 1008

Columbus, OH 43216-1008

The American Chemistry
Council, CONDEA VISTA
Company, Conoco, Inc.,
The Dow Chemical Company,
Gulf Oil Corporation,
Monsanto Company,
PPG Industries, Inc.,
Shell Oi Company, Union
Carbide Corporation and
Uniroyal Inc.

Thomas M. Parker A. Elizabeth Cargle Andrew T. Hayes Parker, Leiby, Hanna & Rasnick 2100 One Cascade Plaza

Akron, OH 44308

Aventis Cropscience USA, Inc.

Victoria J. Miller Glen R. Stuart Kevin Donovan Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Borden Chemical, Inc.

Ralph E. Cascarilla John A. Heer, II Walter & Haverfield 1300 Terminal Tower 50 Public Square Cleveland, OH 44113

Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., Georgia Gulf Corporation, Occidental Chemical Corporation and Occidental Electrochemicals Corporation

Mark Hermann Geoffrey J. Ritts Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114

W. Kelly Stewart Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 2727 North Harwood Dallas, TX 75201

David J. Bailey Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 3500 Sun Trustr Plaza 303 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30308-3242

Ethyl Corp.

Henry G. Grendell
Anthony J. Lacerva
K. James Sullivan
Calfee, Halter & Griswold
1400 McDonald Investment Center
800 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114-2688

Ford Motor Company

Matthew C. O'Connell Christina Tuggey Hidek Sutter, O'Connell, Manion & Farchione Co. 1301 East 9th Street, Suite 3600 Cleveland, OH 44114

Gencorp, Inc.

William Gorenc, Jr. Christopher A. Holecek Wegman, Hessler & Vanderburg 6055 Rockside Woods Blvd., Suite 200 Cleveland, OH 44131

Georgia Pacific Corporation

Heather W. Guthrie Scott E. North Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur 41 South High Street Columbus, OH 43215

Goodrich Corporation

Timothy J. Coughlin David E. Nash Heidi B. Goldstein Laura Bancroft Nemer Karen E. Rubin Thompson Hine 3900 Key Center 127 Public Square Cleveland, OH 44114-1291

Great American Chemical Corp.

Great American Chemical Corp. c/o George B. McLeod 230 Liberty Street New York, New York 10005

Olin Corporation

Robert H. Eddy
Jennifer L. McKeegan
Joseph Papalardo
Gallagher, Sharp, Fulton &
Norman
7th Floor, Bulkley Buildijng
1501 Euclid Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44115

Carol A. Rutter
Jerry K. Ronecker
Christopher J. Valeriote
Husch & Eppenberger
190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600
St. Louis, MO 63105-3441

Pantasote Corporation

Pantasote Corporation c/o U.S. Corporation 21 East State Street Columbus, OH 43215

Rhone-Poulenc, Inc.

Henry E. Billingsley, II Daniel C. Urban Nicholas C. York Arter & Hadden 1100 Huntington Building 925 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, OH 44115

The Society of the Plastics Industry, Inc.

R. Patrick Baughman Susan S. Henderson Joseph J. Jerse Baughman & Associates Co. 55 Public Square, Suite 2215 Cleveland, OH 44113-1996

Douglas J. Behr Arthur S. Garrett, III Natasha L. Drew Keller and Heckman Suite 500 West 1001 G Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001

Tenneco Automotive, Inc. and Tenneco, Inc.

David E. Pontius Andrews & Pontius 4817 State Road, Suite 100 P.O. Box 10 Ashtabula, OH 44005-0010

Richard J. Lorenz Lorenz & Carter 3511 Clayhead Road Richmond, TX 77469

Whittaker Corporation

Timothy J. Fitzgerald Christopher Steiner Gallagher, Sharp, Fulton & Norman 7th Floor, Bulkley Bldg. 1501 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, OH 44115

TIMOTHY E. McMONAGLE, J.:

{¶1} In this wrongful death action, appellant Beverly M. Mayor, individually and as administratrix of the Estate of Anthony N. Mayor, sued more than 30 defendants seeking recovery for the death of her husband ("decedent") due to a malignant brain tumor. Appellant alleged that decedent's death resulted from his occupational exposure to vinyl chloride and products containing vinyl chloride at and around the facilities of Ford Motor Company in Michigan. Appellant further alleged that defendants-appellees were the manufacturers and suppliers of the vinyl chloride to which her decedent was exposed.

 $\{\P2\}$ Appellant asserted claims for employer intentional tort against Ford Motor Company and breach of duty to warn, strict liability, product liability, fraud and civil conspiracy against all defendants. Appellant's civil conspiracy claim alleged that

defendants conspired to misrepresent and/or conceal material facts about the nature and extent of risks of vinyl chloride and vinyl chloride-containing products from workers.

- {¶3} Defendants-appellees, Goodrich Corporation (f/k/a The B.F. Goodrich Company) and PolyOne Corporation (f/k/a The Geon Company) moved to dismiss the complaint, as did defendant-appellee Ethyl Corporation, arguing that appellant's action was timebarred.¹ Most, but not all, of the defendants filed notices of joinder in the motions filed by Goodrich, PolyOne and Ethyl. On September 6, 2002, the trial court granted the motions to dismiss "based upon the statute of limitations grounds." The trial court declared the other motions to dismiss moot and dismissed the case.
- $\{\P4\}$ Appellant timely appealed, asserting that the trial court erred in dismissing her complaint because her complaint was timely filed. We must dismiss, however, for lack of a final appealable order.
- $\{\P 5\}$ Section 3(B)2), Article IV of the Ohio Constitution, provides that "an appellate court shall have such jurisdiction as may be provided by law to review and affirm, modify or reverse judgment or final orders of the courts of record inferior to the court of appeals." To this end, R.C. 2505.02 defines "final order," in pertinent part, as:
- $\{\P 6\}$ "An order that affects a substantial right in an action that in effect determines the action and prevents a judgment."

¹Several other defendants filed motions to dismiss on the grounds of forum non conveniens and lack of personal jurisdiction.

- {¶7} Here, it is apparent that the trial court's order granting the motions to dismiss did not determine the action nor prevent a judgment because not all of the defendants joined in the motions to dismiss. Specifically, although defendants Allied Signal, Inc. (n/k/a Honeywell International Inc. and the Goodyear Tire and Rubber Co.), Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., Airco, Inc. (n/k/a The BOC Group, Inc.), and Rhone-Poulenc, Inc. (n/k/a Aventis CropScience USA Inc.) filed a stipulation with plaintiff extending their time in which to move, plead or otherwise respond to plaintiff's complaint to 30 days after the trial court ruled on the pending motions to dismiss, they never joined in the pending motions to dismiss. Accordingly, plaintiff's claims against these defendants remained pending even after the trial court granted the motions to dismiss filed by Goodrich, PolyOne and Ethyl.
- $\{\P 8\}$ An order of a court is a final appealable order only if the requirements of both R.C. 2505.02 and, if applicable, Civ.R. 54(B), are met. *Chef Italiano Corp. v. Kent State Univ.* (1989), 44 Ohio St.3d 86, syllabus. Civ.R. 54(B) provides:
- {¶9} "When more than one claim for relief is presented in an action *** or when multiple parties are involved, the court may enter final judgment as to one or more but fewer than all of the claims or parties only upon an express determination that there is no just reason for delay. In the absence of a determination that there is no just reason for delay, any order or other form of decision, however designated, which adjudicates fewer than all the claims or the rights and liabilities of fewer than all the parties,

shall not terminate the action as to any of the claims or parties, and the order or other form of decision is subject to revision at any time before the entry of judgment adjudicating all the claims and the rights and liabilities of all the parties."

- {¶10} Here, it is uncontroverted that the underlying action involves multiple claims and multiple parties. Because several defendants did not join in the motions to dismiss, the trial court's order granting the motions to dismiss did not dispose of appellant's claims against those defendants. The trial judge, however, did not make an express determination of no just reason for delay pursuant to Civ.R. 54(B). Therefore, the trial court's order is not final and appealable.
- $\{\P 11\}$ Lacking a final appealable order pursuant to R.C. 2505.02 and Civ.R. 54(B), we have no jurisdiction to consider appellant's appeal and, therefore, dismiss the appeal.

Appeal dismissed.

This appeal is dismissed.

It is, therefore, ordered that the parties share costs herein taxed.

It is ordered that a special mandate be sent to the Common Pleas Court directing said court to carry this judgment into execution.

A certified copy of this entry shall constitute the mandate pursuant to Rule 27 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure.

TIMOTHY E. McMONAGLE JUDGE

MICHAEL J. CORRIGAN, J. AND

FRANK D. CELEBREZZE, JR., J. CONCUR.

N.B. This entry is an announcement of the court's decision. See App.R. 22(B), 22(D) and 26(A); Loc.App.R. 22. This decision will be journalized and will become the judgment and order of the court pursuant to App.R. 22(E) unless a motion for reconsideration with supporting brief, per App.R. 26(A), is filed within ten (10) days of the announcement of the court's decision. The time period for review by the Supreme Court of Ohio shall begin to run upon the journalization of this court's announcement of decision by the clerk per App.R. 22(E). See, also, S.Ct.Prac.R. II, Section 2(A)(1).