COMMISSION ON THE OHIO JUDICIAL CENTER MINUTES Teleconference July 12, 2011 Supreme Court of Ohio

Members Present: Chad Readler (Chair) (telephone); Richard Wallace (Vice-Chair)(telephone); Neema Bell (telephone); Mick Ball; Rich Simpson, Steve Hollon; Barbara Powers; Marilyn Sheridan (telephone).

Others Present: D. Allan Asbury (Supreme Court)

- 1. Mr. Readler called the meeting of the Commission to order at 10:10 a.m.
- 2. The minutes of the April 27th meeting were approved as submitted.
- 3. The minutes of the June 17th meeting were approved as submitted.

4. The current committee structure was reviewed by Chad Readler. The current committee assignments are:

Civic Education:	Rich Wallace and Jakki Nance
Forum on the Law:	Lane Beougher, Rich Simpson and Chad Readler
Architecture:	Lane Beougher and Barbara Powers
Fine Art:	Mary Gray, Mick Ball, Neema Bell, Barbara Powers, Marilyn Sheridan
Communication:	Jakki Nance and Buck Niehoff

The Architecture committee will assist the Court's Architect and the Court with the Moyer Portrait Display.

5. Mr. Asbury reviewed the future installation of the Tom Schiff photographs. There was some discussion whether the Court was required to approve the artwork. (The Commission is not required to seek Court approval for temporary loans of artwork).

6. A discussion was held regarding vacancies on the Commission/Foundation. There are two openings on the Foundation, but no candidates at this point. Geographic diversity of the membership and general qualifications were considered. This matter will be placed on the next agenda. 7. Mr. Ball reviewed the initial presentation to the Court on of the Moyer Portrait and Display. He indicated the Court is supportive overall of the concept of a display in the Grand Concourse. A discussion took place that the Court required a more simple display. Mr. Ball reviewed a new design by Bob Loversidge. Mr. Hollon reiterated that the Court did agree with the final location for the display in the Grand Concourse.

Ms. Powers raised the issue of the placement artwork in the Grand Concourse and the setting of precedent. Mr. Ball addressed the issue and the grounds for an exception being made to the general prohibition to artwork in the Grand Concourse. Mr. Ball explained the portrait is being placed in the Grand Concourse due to the rededication of the building in honor of the Chief Justice, that the placement is considered a one time exception, and not expected to occur again.

A motion was made by Mr. Ball that the Commission approve the direction that has been set by the second design concept it shall present to the Court a recommended approach to the display and location of the portrait, and that it shall be noted that an exception to a prohibition against new artwork in the Grand Concourse is being made because of the significance or the artwork to the Ohio Judicial Center at this time and the Commission does not anticipate approving the placement of artwork in the Grand Concourse in the future.

Ms. Bell seconded the motion.

The motion was amended by Ms. Sheridan that if there are any future changes to the integrity of the second design plan, the Commission shall be notified.

Ms. Bell seconded the motion. The motion passed.

The Commission will present the second design to the Court at the next available Court Conference.

8. The meeting adjourned at 11:05 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

D. Allan Asbury Secretary