

OPINIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

The full texts of the opinions of the Supreme Court of Ohio are being transmitted electronically beginning May 27, 1992, pursuant to a pilot project implemented by Chief Justice Thomas J. Moyer.

Please call any errors to the attention of the Reporter's Office of the Supreme Court of Ohio. Attention: Walter S. Kobalka, Reporter, or Deborah J. Barrett, Administrative Assistant. Tel.: (614) 466-4961; in Ohio 1-800-826-9010. Your comments on this pilot project are also welcome.

NOTE: Corrections may be made by the Supreme Court to the full texts of the opinions after they have been released electronically to the public. The reader is therefore advised to check the bound volumes of Ohio St.3d published by West Publishing Company for the final versions of these opinions. The advance sheets to Ohio St.3d will also contain the volume and page numbers where the opinions will be found in the bound volumes of the Ohio Official Reports.

Cleveland Bar Association v. Jones.

[Cite as Cleveland Bar Assn. v. Jones (1994), Ohio St.3d .]

Attorneys at law -- Misconduct -- Suspended one-year suspension with conditions revoked and respondent attorney suspended from the practice of law for time remaining in one-year suspension when respondent attorney is unable to comply with conditions.

(No. 93-1773 -- Submitted March 29k, 1994 -- Decided May 18, 1994.)

On Report by Relator Regarding Monitoring of Respondent.

Pursuant to our order in Cleveland Bar Assn. v. Jones (1993), 68 Ohio St.3d 89, 623 N.E.2d 1181, respondent, Willie K. Jones of Cleveland, Ohio, Attorney Registration No. 0031440, was suspended from the practice of law in Ohio for one year due to professional misconduct; however, the imposition of this suspension was stayed on various conditions to be met within three months. Relator, the Cleveland Bar Association, has advised us that respondent has been unable to comply with these conditions and has agreed to revocation of the stay and imposition of the suspension for the rest of the one-year period. Accordingly, the stay of respondent's suspension is hereby revoked, and respondent is suspended from the practice of law in Ohio for the time remaining in the one-year suspension. Furthermore, respondent's reinstatement to the practice of law is hereby made subject to his compliance with the conditions previously ordered.

Judgment accordingly.

Moyer, C.J., A.W. Sweeney, Wright, Resnick and F.E. Sweeney, JJ., concur.

Douglas and Pfeifer, JJ., dissent.

Douglas, J., dissenting. I respectfully dissent. I would continue the stay of respondent's suspension during his continued good behavior.

Pfeifer, J., concurs in the foregoing dissenting opinion.