

[Cite as *In re Gill*, 104 Ohio St.3d 654, 2004-Ohio-6895.]

**IN RE GILL ET AL.**

[Cite as *In re Gill*, 104 Ohio St.3d 654, 2004-Ohio-6895.]

*Former R.C. 5301.234 in Am.Sub.H.B. No. 163 violates the one-subject rule of the Ohio Constitution.*

(No. 2003-2017 — Submitted December 14, 2004 — Decided  
December 22, 2004.)

ON ORDER from the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel of the United States Sixth  
Circuit Court of Appeals Certifying a Question of State Law, No. 03-8020.

---

{¶ 1} The certified question of state law is answered in the affirmative  
on the authority of *In re Nowak*, 104 Ohio St.3d 466, 2004-Ohio-6777, 820  
N.E.2d 335.

MOYER, C.J., RESNICK, F.E. SWEENEY, PFEIFER and O’CONNOR, JJ.,  
concur.

LUNDBERG STRATTON AND O’DONNELL, JJ., dissent.

---

**LUNDBERG STRATTON, J., dissenting.**

{¶ 2} I respectfully dissent for the reasons set forth in my dissenting  
opinion in *In re Nowak*, 104 Ohio St.3d 466, 2004-Ohio-6777, 820 N.E.2d 335.

O’DONNELL, J., concurs in the foregoing dissenting opinion.

---

McFadden & Associates Co., L.P.A., and David A. Freeburg, for  
petitioner, ABN AMRO Mortgage Group, Inc.

Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease L.L.P., and Lisa Pierce Reisz, for  
respondent, Frederick L. Ransier III, trustee.

---