IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO ELEVENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT PORTAGE COUNTY

STATE OF OHIO ex rel. JAMES E. MITCHELL,

CASE NO. 2024-P-0040

Relator,

Original Action for Writ of Procedendo

- VS -

PORTAGE COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT JUDGE LAURIE J. PITTMAN,

Respondent.

PER CURIAM OPINION

Decided: August 26, 2024 Judgment: Dismissed

James E. Mitchell, pro se, PID# A293-032, Marion Correctional Institution, 940 Marion-Williamsport Road, P.O. Box 57, Marion, OH 43302 (Relator).

Victor V. Vigluicci, Portage County Prosecutor, and Theresa M. Scahill, Assistant Prosecutor, 241 South Chestnut Street, Ravenna, OH 44266 (For Respondent).

PER CURIAM.

- {¶1} This matter is before this Court upon motion to dismiss of respondent, Portage County Common Pleas Court Judge Laurie J. Pittman, filed July 25, 2024.
- {¶2} On October 17, 2023, relator James E. Mitchell, filed a Motion for a Final Appealable Order with the Portage County Court of Common Pleas in Case No. 1993 CR 0294. On December 8, 2023, relator filed a Motion to Dismiss Indictment in the same case. Relator then filed a Petition for a Writ of Procedendo with this Court on June 25,

2024, after seven months had passed and there had been no ruling on his motions. On July 5, 2024, this Court issued an alternative writ. On July 18, 2024, Judge Pittman entered a judgment on both motions. Judge Pittman now asks this Court to dismiss this action.

- {¶3} As grounds for dismissal, Juge Pittman argues that the motions were ruled upon, and the issue is now moot. "Neither procedendo nor mandamus will compel the performance of a duty that has already been performed." *State ex rel. Grove v. Nadel*, 84 Ohio St.3d 252, 305 (1998).
- {¶4} Because the duty relator sought has already been performed, respondent cannot be compelled. *Id.* Relator's request is rendered moot. Accordingly, respondent's motion is granted and relator's complaint for writ of procedendo is dismissed.

MATT LYNCH, J., JOHN J. EKLUND, J., ROBERT J. PATTON, J., concur.