

IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO

IN RE: SUSIE CHRISMAN

Case No. 2023-00658VI

SUSIE CHRISMAN

Judge Lisa L. Sadler

Applicant

ORDER

{¶1} On April 16, 2024, a hearing was held in this matter before a Magistrate of this court. On May 28, 2024, the Magistrate issued a Decision wherein she found that Applicant failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that witnessing the crime scene over twenty years ago proximately caused the need for chronic depression medication that Applicant seeks reimbursement for today. Accordingly, the Magistrate recommended that the Final Decision of the Attorney General be affirmed.

{¶2} Civ.R. 53(D)(3)(b)(i) states, in part: “A party may file written objections to a magistrate’s decision within fourteen days of the filing of the decision, whether or not the court has adopted the decision during that fourteen-day period as permitted by Civ.R. 53(D)(4)(e)(i).” No objections were filed.

{¶3} Upon review of the claim file, and the Magistrate’s Decision, it is the Court’s finding that the Magistrate was correct in her analysis of the issues and application of the law. Accordingly, this Court adopts the Magistrate’s Decision and recommendation as its own.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT

{¶4} The May 28, 2024 Decision of the Magistrate is ADOPTED;

{¶5} The September 25, 2023 Final Decision of the Attorney General is AFFIRMED;

{¶6} This claim is DENIED and judgment entered for State of Ohio;

{¶7} Costs assumed by the reparations fund.

LISA L. SADLER
Judge

Filed 6/13/24
Sent to S.C. Reporter 08/26/24